arXiv:math/0605176v3 [math.QA] 9 Feb 2010

On the structure of framed vertex operator algebras and their pointwise frame stabilizers

Ching Hung Lam*

Department of Mathematics, National Cheng Kung University
Tainan, Taiwan 701

Hiroshi Yamauchi†

Graduate School of Mathematical ciences, the University of Tokyo
3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153–8914, Japan

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B69; Secondary 20B25.

Dedicated to Professor Koichiro Harada on his 65th birthday

Abstract

In this paper, we study the structure of a general framed vertex operator algebra (VOA). We show that the structure codes (C, D) of a framed VOA V satisfy certain duality conditions. As a consequence, we prove that every framed VOA is a simple current extension of the associated binary code VOA V_C. This result suggests the feasibility of classifying framed vertex operator algebras, at least if the central charge is small. In addition, the pointwise frame stabilizer of V is studied. We completely determine all automorphisms in the pointwise stabilizer, which are of order 1, 2 or 4. The 4A-twisted sector and the 4A-twisted orbifold theory of the famous moonshine VOA $V^\natural$ are also constructed explicitly. We verify that the top module of this twisted sector is of dimension 1 and of weight $3/4$ and the VOA obtained by 4A-twisted orbifold construction of $V^\natural$ is isomorphic to $V^\natural$ itself.

---

*Partially supported by NSC grant 94-2115-M-006-001 of Taiwan, R.O.C.

†Supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

Contents

1 Introduction 3

2 Preliminaries on simple current extensions 7
  2.1 Fusion algebras . . . 7
  2.2 Simple current extensions . . . 9

3 Ising frame and framed VOA 12
  3.1 Miyamoto involutions . . . 12
  3.2 Framed VOAs and their structure codes . . . 13

4 Representation of code VOAs 14
  4.1 Central extension of codes . . . 14
  4.2 Structure of modules . . . 16
  4.3 Dual module . . . 21
  4.4 Fusion rules . . . 23

5 Structure of framed VOAs 25
  5.1 Simple current structure . . . 26
  5.2 Construction of a framed VOA . . . 32

6 Frame stabilizers and order four symmetries 37

7 4A-twisted orbifold construction 45

1 Introduction

A framed vertex operator algebra V is a simple vertex operator algebra (VOA) which contains a sub VOA F called a *Virasoro frame* isomorphic to a tensor product of n-copies of the simple Virasoro VOA $L(1/2, 0)$ such that the conformal element of F is the same as the conformal element of V. There are many important examples such as the moonshine VOA $V^\natural$ and the Leech lattice VOA. In [DGH], a basic theory of framed VOAs was established. A general structure theory about the automorphism group and the *frame stabilizer*, the subgroup which stabilizes F setwise, was also included. Moreover, Miyamoto [M3] showed that if $V = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} V_n$ is a framed VOA over $\mathbb{R}$ such that V has a positive definite invariant bilinear form and $V_1 = 0$, then the full automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ is finite (see also [M1, M2]). Hence, the theory of framed VOA is very useful in studying certain finite groups such as the Monster.

It is well-known (cf. [DMZ, DGH, M3]) that for any framed VOA V with a frame F, one can associate two binary codes C and D to V as follows.

Since $F \simeq L(1/2, 0)^{\otimes n}$ is a rational vertex operator algebra, V is completely reducible as an F-module. That is,

$$V = \bigoplus_{h_i\in\{0,1/2,1/16\}} m_{h_1,\dots,h_n} L(1/2, h_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(1/2, h_n),$$

where $m_{h_1,\dots,h_r}$ is the multiplicity of the F-module $L(1/2, h_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(1/2, h_n)$ in V. In particular, all the multiplicities are finite and $m_{h_1,\dots,h_r}$ is at most 1 if all h_i are different from 1/16.

Let $M = L(1/2, h_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(1/2, h_n)$ be an irreducible module over F. The *1/16-word (or τ-word)* $\tau(M)$ of M is a binary codeword $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ such that

$$\beta_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ h_i = 0 \text{ or } 1/2, \\ 1 & \text{if } \ h_i = 1/16. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, define V^α as the sum of all irreducible submodules M of V such that $\tau(M) = \alpha$. Denote $D := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n \mid V^\alpha \neq 0\}$. Then D is an even linear subcode of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ and we obtain a D-graded structure on $V = \oplus_{\alpha\in D} V^\alpha$ such that $V^\alpha \cdot V^\beta = V^{\alpha+\beta}$. In particular, V^0 itself is a subalgebra and V can be viewed as a D-graded extension of V^0.

For any $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, denote $V(\gamma) := L(1/2, h_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(1/2, h_n)$ where $h_i = 1/2$ if $\gamma_i = 1$ and $h_i = 0$ elsewhere. Set

$$C := \{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n \mid m_{\gamma_1/2,\dots,\gamma_n/2} \neq 0\}.$$

Then $V(0) = F$ and $V^0 = \oplus_{\gamma\in C} V(\gamma)$. The sub VOA V^0 forms a C-graded simple current extension of F which has a unique simple VOA structure [M2]. A VOA of the form $V^0 = \oplus_{\gamma\in C} V(\gamma)$ is often referred to as a *code VOA* associated to C.

The codes C and D are very important parameters for V and we shall call them the *structures codes* of V with respect to the frame F. One of the main purposes of this paper is to study the precise relations between the structure codes C and D. As our main result, we shall show in Theorem 5.5 that for any $\alpha \in D$, the subcode $C_\alpha := \{\beta \in C \mid \mathrm{supp}(\beta) \subset \mathrm{supp}(\alpha)\}$ contains a doubly even subcode which is self-dual with respect to α. From this we can prove that every framed VOA forms a D-graded simple current extension of a code VOA associated to C in Theorem 5.6. This shows that one can obtain any framed VOA by performing simple current extensions in two steps: first extend F to a code VOA V_C associated to C, then form a D-graded simple current extension of V_C by adjoining suitable irreducible V_C-modules. The structure and representation theory of simple current extensions is well-developed by many authors [DM1, M2, L3, Y1, Y2]. It is known that a simple current extension has a unique structure of a simple vertex operator algebra. Since F is rational, this implies there exist only finitely many inequivalent framed VOAs with a given central charge. Therefore, together with the conditions on (C, D) in Theorem 5.5, our results provide a method for determining all framed VOAs with a fixed central charge, at least if the central charge is small. It is well-known that the structure codes (C, D) of a holomorphic framed VOA must satisfy $C = D^\perp$ (cf. [DGH, M3]). In this case, we shall describe some necessary and sufficient conditions which C has to satisfy. Namely, we shall show in Theorem 5.17 that there exists a holomorphic framed VOA with structure codes $(C, C^\perp)$ if and only if C satisfies the following.

(1) The length of C is divisible by 16.

(2) C is even, every codeword of $C^\perp$ has a weight divisible by 8, and $C^\perp \subset C$.

(3) For any $\alpha \in C^\perp$, the subcode C_α of C contains a doubly even subcode which is self-dual with respect to α.

We shall call such a code an *F-admissible code*.

Since the conditions above provide quite strong restrictions on a code C, it is possible to classify all the codes satisfying these conditions if the length is small. Once the classification of the F-admissible codes of a fixed length is done, one can consider the classification of holomorphic framed VOAs with the corresponding central charge since a holomorphic framed VOA is always a simple current extension of a code VOA. Based on the results of the present paper, one can also characterize the moonshine vertex operator algebra as the unique holomorphic framed vertex operator algebra of central charge 24 with trivial weight one subspace (cf. [LY], see also Remark 5.18). It is a special case of the famous uniqueness conjecture of Frenkel-Lepowsky-Meurman [FLM].

In our argument, doubly even self-dual codes play an important role in prescribing structures of framed VOAs, and it is also revealed that if we omit the doubly even property, then we lose the self-duality of certain summands V^α of V which will give rise to an involutive symmetry analogous to the lift of the (-1)-isometry on a lattice VOA V_L. By the standard notation as in [FLM], a lattice VOA has a form

$$V_L = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in L} M_{\mathfrak{h}}(\alpha), \tag{1.2}$$

where $M_{\mathfrak{h}}(\alpha)$ denotes the irreducible highest weight representation over the free bosonic vertex operator algebra $M_{\mathfrak{h}}(0)$ associated to the vector space $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$ with highest weight $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^* = \mathfrak{h}$. Since the fusion algebra associated to $M_{\mathfrak{h}}(0)$ is canonically isomorphic to the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$, one has a duality relation $M_{\mathfrak{h}}(\alpha)^* \simeq M_{\mathfrak{h}}(-\alpha)$. This shows that there exists an order two symmetry inside the decomposition (1.2), namely, we can define an involution $\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(V_L)$ such that $\theta M_{\mathfrak{h}}(\alpha) = M_{\mathfrak{h}}(-\alpha)$ which is an extension of an involution on $M_{\mathfrak{h}}(0)$. However, since a framed VOA V has a decomposition $V = \oplus_{\alpha \in D} V^\alpha$ graded by an elementary abelian 2-group D, one cannot see the analogous symmetry directly from the decomposition. We shall show that by breaking the doubly even property in (C, D), we can find a pair of structure subcodes (C^0, D^0) with $[C : C^0] = [D : D^0] = 2$ such that one can obtain a decomposition

$$V = \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in D^0} V^{\alpha +} \oplus V^{\alpha -} \right) \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in D^1} V^{\alpha +} \oplus V^{\alpha -} \right) \tag{1.3}$$

which forms a $(D^0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4)$-graded simple current extension of a code VOA associated to C^0, where D^1 is the complement of D^0 in D. Actually, the main motivation of the present work is to obtain the decomposition above. In the study of McKay's E_8-observation on the Monster simple group [LYY1, LYY2], the authors found that McKay's E_8-observation is related the conjectural $\mathbb{Z}_p$-orbifold construction of the moonshine VOA from the Leech lattice VOA for $p > 2$, where the case $p = 2$ is solved in [FLM, Y3]. Based on the decomposition (1.3), we can perform a $\mathbb{Z}_4$-twisted orbifold construction on $V^\natural$.

The order four symmetry defined by the decomposition in (1.3) can be found as an automorphism fixing F pointwise. The group of automorphisms which fixes F pointwise is referred to as the *pointwise frame stabilizer* of V. We shall show that the pointwise frame stabilizer only has elements of order 1, 2 or 4 and it is completely determined by the structure codes (C, D). As an example, we compute the pointwise stabilizer of the Moonshine VOA $V^\natural$ associated with a frame given in [DGH, M3]. A 4A-element of the Monster is described as an element of the pointwise frame stabilizer and the associated McKay-Thompson series is computed in the proof of Theorem 7.5. In addition, the 4A-twisted sector and the 4A-twisted orbifold theory of $V^\natural$ are constructed. We shall verify that the lowest degree subspace of this twisted sector is of dimension 1 and of weight 3/4, and the VOA obtained by the 4A-twisted orbifold construction of $V^\natural$ is isomorphic to $V^\natural$ itself.

Acknowledgment The authors thank Masahiko Miyamoto for discussions and valuable comments on the proof of Theorem 5.5. They also thank Masaaki Kitazume and Hiroki Shimakura for discussions on binary codes. The second-named author wishes to thank Markus Rosellen for information on the associativity and the locality of vertex operators. Part of the work was done when the second author was visiting the National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Taiwan on February 2006. He thanks the staff of the center for their hospitality.

Notation and Terminology In this article, $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ denote the set of non-negative integers, integers, and the complex numbers, respectively. For disjoint subsets A and B of a set X, we use $A \sqcup B$ to denote the disjoint union. Every vertex operator algebra is defined over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$ unless otherwise stated. A VOA V is called *of CFT-type* if it has the grading $V = \oplus_{n\geq 0} V_n$ with $V_0 = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{1}$. For a VOA structure $(V, Y(\cdot, z), \mathbb{1}, \omega)$ on V, the vector ω is called the *conformal vector*[1] of V. For simplicity, we often use (V, ω) to denote the structure $(V, Y(\cdot, z), \mathbb{1}, \omega)$. The vertex operator $Y(a, z)$ of $a \in V$ is expanded as $Y(a, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a_{(n)} z^{-n-1}$. For subsets $A \subset V$ and $B \subset M$ of a V-module M, we set

$$A \cdot B := \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{a_{(n)} v \mid a \in A, v \in B, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

If M has an $L(0)$-weight space decomposition $M = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M_{n+h}$ with $M_h \neq 0$, we call M_h the *top level* or *top module* of M and h the *top weight* of M. The top level and top weight of a twisted module can be defined similarly.

For $c, h \in \mathbb{C}$, let $L(c, h)$ be the irreducible highest weight module over the Virasoro algebra with central charge c and highest weight h. It is well-known that $L(c, 0)$ has a simple VOA structure. An element $e \in V$ is referred to as a *Virasoro vector with central charge* $c_e \in \mathbb{C}$ if $e \in V_2$ and it satisfies $e_{(1)} e = 2e$ and $e_{(3)} e = (1/2) c_e \mathbb{1}$. It is well-known that by setting $L^e(n) := e_{(n+1)}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain a representation of the Virasoro algebra on V (cf. [M1]), i.e.,

$$[L^e(m), L^e(n)] = (m - n) L^e(m + n) + \delta_{m+n,0} \frac{m^3 - m}{12} c_e.$$

Therefore, a Virasoro vector together with the vacuum element generates a Virasoro VOA inside V. We shall denote this subalgebra by $\mathrm{Vir}(e)$.

---

[1] We have changed the definition of the conformal vector and the Virasoro vector. In our past works, their definitions are opposite.

In this paper, we define a sub VOA of V to be a pair (U, e) such that U is a subalgebra of V containing the vacuum element $\mathbb{1}$ and e is the conformal vector of U. Note that (U, e) inherits the grading of V, that is, $U = \oplus_{n\geq 0} U_n$ with $U_n = V_n \cap U$, but e may not be the conformal vector of V. In the case that e is also the conformal vector of V, we shall call the sub VOA (U, e) a *full* sub VOA[2].

For a positive definite even lattice L, we shall denote the lattice VOA associated to L by V_L (cf. [FLM]). We adopt the standard notation for V_L as in [FLM]. In particular, V_L^+ denotes the fixed point subalgebra of V_L by a lift of (-1)-isometry on L. The letter Λ always denotes the Leech lattice, the unique even unimodular lattice of rank 24 without roots.

Given an automorphism group G of V, we denote by V^G the fixed point subalgebra of G in V. The subalgebra V^G is called the *G-orbifold* of V in the literature. For a V-module $(M, Y_M(\cdot, z))$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(V)$, we set $Y_M^\sigma(a, z) := Y_M(\sigma a, z)$ for $a \in V$. Then the *σ-conjugate module* M^σ of M is defined to be the module $(M, Y_M^\sigma(\cdot, z))$.

We denote the ring $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbb{Z}_p$ with $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and often identify the integers $0, 1, \ldots, p-1$ with their images in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. An additive subgroup C of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ together with the standard $\mathbb{Z}_2$-bilinear form is called a *linear code*. For a codeword $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in C$, we define the *support* of α by $\mathrm{supp}(\alpha) := \{i \mid \alpha_i = 1\}$ and the *weight* by $\mathrm{wt}(\alpha) := |\mathrm{supp}(\alpha)|$. For a subset A of C, we define $\mathrm{supp}(A) := \cup_{\alpha\in A} \mathrm{supp}(\alpha)$. For a binary codeword $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ and for any linear code $C \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, we denote $C_\gamma := \{\alpha \in C \mid \mathrm{supp}(\alpha) \subset \mathrm{supp}(\gamma)\}$ and $C^{\perp_\gamma} := \{\beta \in C^\perp \mid \mathrm{supp}(\beta) \subset \mathrm{supp}(\gamma)\}$, where $C^\perp = \{\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n \mid \langle \alpha, \delta\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\}$. A subcode H of C is called *self-dual with respect to* $\beta \in C$ if $\mathrm{supp}(H) = \mathrm{supp}(\beta)$ and $H^{\perp_\beta} = H$ (see also Definition 4.16). The *all-one vector* is a codeword $(11\ldots 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ and $\beta \in (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, we define

$$\alpha \cdot \beta := (\alpha_1\beta_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n.$$

That is, the product $\alpha \cdot \beta$ is taken in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. Note that $\alpha \cdot \beta \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^n)_\alpha \cap (\mathbb{Z}_2^n)_\beta$.

2 Preliminaries on simple current extensions

We shall present some basic facts on simple current extensions of a rational C_2-cofinite vertex operator algebra of CFT-type.

2.1 Fusion algebras

We recall the notion of the fusion algebra associated to a rational VOA V. It is known that a rational VOA V has finitely many inequivalent irreducible modules (cf. [DLM2]).

---

[2] It is also called a *conformal* sub VOA in the literature.

Let $\mathrm{Irr}(V) = \{X^i \mid 1 \le i \le r\}$ be the set of inequivalent irreducible V-modules. It is shown in [HL] that the fusion product $X^i \boxtimes_V X^j$ exists for a rational VOA V. The irreducible decomposition of $X^i \boxtimes_V X^j$ is referred to as the *fusion rule*

$$X^i \underset{V}{\boxtimes} X^j = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{r} N_{ij}^k \, X^k, \tag{2.1}$$

where the integer $N_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{Z}$ denotes the multiplicity of X^k in the fusion product, and is called the *fusion coefficient* which is also the dimension of the space of all V-intertwining operators of type $X^i \times X^j \to X^k$. We shall denote by $\binom{X^k}{X^i \ X^j}_V$ the space of V-intertwining operators of type $X^i \times X^j \to X^k$. We define the *fusion algebra* (or the *Verlinde algebra*) associated to V by the linear space $\mathcal{V}(V) = \oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{C}X^i$ spanned by a formal basis $\{X^i \mid 1 \le i \le r\}$ equipped with a product defined by the fusion rule (2.1). By the symmetry of fusion coefficients, the fusion algebra $\mathcal{V}(V)$ is commutative (cf. [FHL]). Moreover, it is shown in [H3] that if V is rational, C_2-cofinite and of CFT-type, then $\mathcal{V}(V)$ is associative. In this subsection, we assume that V is rational, C_2-cofinite and of CFT-type.

A V-module M is called a *simple current* if for any irreducible V-module X, the fusion product $M \boxtimes_V X$ is also irreducible. In other words, a simple current V-module M induces a permutation on $\mathrm{Irr}(V)$ via $X \mapsto M \boxtimes_V X$ for $X \in \mathrm{Irr}(V)$. Note that V itself is a simple current V-module.

Next we shall recall the notion of the dual module. For a graded V-module $M = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} M_{n+h}$ such that $\dim M_{n+h} < \infty$, define its restricted dual by $M^* = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} M_{n+h}^*$, where $M_{n+h}^* := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_{n+h}, \mathbb{C})$ is the dual space of M_{h+n}. Let $Y_M(\cdot, z)$ be the vertex operator on M. We can introduce the *contragredient* vertex operator $Y_M^*(\cdot, z)$ on M^* defined by

$$\langle Y_M^*(a,z)x, v\rangle := \langle x, Y_M(e^{zL(1)}(-z^{-2})^{L(0)}a, z^{-1})v\rangle \tag{2.2}$$

for $a \in V$, $x \in M^*$ and $v \in M$ (cf. [FHL]). The module $(M^*, Y_M^*(\cdot, z))$ is called the *dual* (or *contragredient*) *module* of M.

Note that if the dual module M^* of M is isomorphic to N, there exists a V-isomorphism $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_V(N, M^*)$. Then f induces a V-intertwining operator of type $V \times N \to M^*$. This implies that $\binom{V^*}{M \ N}_V \ne 0$ or equivalently $M \boxtimes_V N \supset V^*$. A V-module M is called *self-dual* if $M^* \simeq M$. It is obvious that the space of V-invariant bilinear forms on an irreducible self-dual V-module is one-dimensional.

Lemma 2.1. *([Y2]) Let U, W be V-modules such that $U \boxtimes_V W = V$ in the fusion algebra. Then both U and W are simple current V-modules.*

Proof: First, we show that $U \boxtimes_V M \ne 0$ for any V-module M. We may assume that M is irreducible as V is rational. Since the fusion product is commutative and associative, we have $(U \boxtimes_V M) \boxtimes_V W = (U \boxtimes_V W) \boxtimes_V M = V \boxtimes_V M = M$. This shows that $U \boxtimes_V M \ne$

0. Similarly, $W \boxtimes_V M \neq 0$. Now assume that $U \boxtimes_V M = M^1 \oplus M^2$ for V-submodules M^1 and M^2. Then $W \boxtimes_V (U \boxtimes_V M) = (W \boxtimes_V M^1) \oplus (W \boxtimes_V M^2)$. On the other hand,

$$W \underset{V}{\boxtimes} (U \underset{V}{\boxtimes} M) = (W \underset{V}{\boxtimes} U) \underset{V}{\boxtimes} M = V \underset{V}{\boxtimes} M = M.$$

Therefore, $(W \boxtimes_V M^1) \oplus (W \boxtimes_V M^2) = M$. Since $W \boxtimes_V M^i \neq 0$ if $M^i \neq 0$, we see that $U \boxtimes_V M$ is irreducible if M is. This shows that U, and also W, are simple current V-modules. ∎

Corollary 2.2. *Assume that V is simple, rational, C_2-cofinite, of CFT-type and self-dual. Then the following hold.*
(1) Every simple current V-module is irreducible.
(2) A V-module U is simple current if and only if $U \boxtimes_V U^* = V$.
(3) The set of simple current V-modules forms a multiplicative abelian group in $\mathcal{V}(V)$ under the fusion product.

Proof: Let U be a simple current V-module. Then $U = V \boxtimes_V U$ is irreducible as V is simple. By the symmetry of fusion rules $\binom{U}{V\ U}_V \simeq \binom{U}{U\ V}_V \simeq \binom{V^*}{U\ U^*}_V$ (cf. [FHL]) and the assumption $V^* \simeq V$, we have $U \boxtimes_V U^* \supset V$. Since U and U^* are irreducible, we have $U \boxtimes_V U^* = V$. This shows (1) and (2). Now let $\mathcal{A}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{V}(V)$ consisting of all the (inequivalent) simple current V-modules. Since a fusion product of simple current modules is again a simple current, $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under the fusion product. Clearly $V \in \mathcal{A}$ so that $\mathcal{A}$ contains a unit element. Finally, if $U \in \mathcal{A}$, then $U \boxtimes_V U^* = V$ so that the inverse $U^* \in \mathcal{A}$ by (2). This completes the proof. ∎

2.2 Simple current extensions

We review some basic results about simple current extensions from [L3, Y1]. Let V^0 be a simple rational C_2-cofinite VOA of CFT type and let $\{V^\alpha \mid \alpha \in D\}$ be a set of inequivalent irreducible V^0-modules indexed by an abelian group D. A simple VOA $V_D = \oplus_{\alpha \in D} V^\alpha$ is called a *D-graded extension* of V^0 if V^0 is a full sub VOA of V_D and V_D carries a D-grading, i.e., $V^\alpha \cdot V^\beta \subset V^{\alpha+\beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in D$. In this case, the dual group D^* of D acts naturally and faithfully on V_D. If all V^α, $\alpha \in D$, are simple current V^0-modules, then V_D is referred to as a *D-graded simple current extension* of V^0. The abelian group D is automatically finite since V^0 is rational (cf. [DLM2]).

Proposition 2.3. *([ABD, DM2, L3, Y1]) Let V^0 be a simple rational C_2-cofinite VOA of CFT type. Let $V_D = \oplus_{\alpha \in D} V^\alpha$ be a D-graded simple current extension of V^0. Then*
(1) V_D is rational and C_2-cofinite.
(2) If $\tilde{V}_D = \oplus_{\alpha \in D} \tilde{V}^\alpha$ is another D-graded simple current extension of V^0 such that $\tilde{V}^\alpha \simeq V^\alpha$ as V^0-modules for all $\alpha \in D$, then V_D and $\tilde{V}_D$ are isomorphic VOAs over $\mathbb{C}$.

(3) For any subgroup E of D, a subalgebra $V_E := \oplus_{\alpha\in E} V^\alpha$ is an E-graded simple current extension of V^0. Moreover, V_D is a D/E-graded simple current extension of V_E.

A representation theory of simple current extensions is developed in [L3, Y1]. It is shown that each irreducible module over a simple current extension corresponds to an irreducible module over a finite dimensional semisimple associative algebra. Moreover, it is also proved that any V^0-module can be extended to certain twisted modules over V_D.

Let M be an irreducible V_D-module. Since V^0 is rational, we can take an irreducible V^0-submodule W of M. Define $D_W := \{\alpha \in D \mid V^\alpha \boxtimes_{V^0} W \simeq_{V^0} W\}$. Then D_W is a subgroup of D. Note that the subgroup D_W is independent of the choice of the irreducible V^0-module W in M. In other words, $D_W = D_{W'}$ for any irreducible V^0-submodules W and W' of M. We call M *D-stable* if $D_W = 0$. In this case, $V^\alpha \boxtimes_{V^0} W \simeq_{V^0} V^\beta \boxtimes_{V^0} W$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta$ and by setting $M^\alpha := V^\alpha \boxtimes_{V^0} W$, we have a D-graded isotypical decomposition $M = \oplus_{\alpha\in D} M^\alpha (\simeq V \boxtimes_{V^0} W)$ as a V^0-module.

Theorem 2.4. *([L3, Y1]) Let W be an irreducible V^0-module. Then there exists a unique $\chi_W \in D^* \subset \mathrm{Aut}(V_D)$ such that W can be extended to an irreducible χ_W-twisted V_D-module. If $D_W = 0$, then the extension of W to an irreducible χ_W-twisted V_D-module is unique and D-stable. Moreover, the extension of W is given by $V_D \boxtimes_{V^0} W$ as a V^0-module.*

One can easily compute fusion rules among irreducible D-stable modules.

Proposition 2.5. *([SY, Y1]) Let V_D be a D-graded simple current extension of a simple rational C_2-cofinite VOA V^0 of CFT-type. Let M^i, $i = 1, 2, 3$ be irreducible D-stable V_D-modules. Denote by $M^i = \oplus_{\alpha\in D} (M^i)^\alpha$ a D-graded isotypical decomposition of M^i. Then the following linear isomorphism holds:*

$$\binom{M^3}{M^1 \quad M^2}_{V_D} \simeq \binom{(M^3)^\gamma}{(M^1)^\alpha \quad (M^2)^\beta}_{V^0},$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in D$ are arbitrary.

We shall need the following result on $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded simple current extensions.

Proposition 2.6. *Let V^0 be a simple rational C_2-cofinite self-dual VOA of CFT-type. Let V^1 be a simple current V^0-module not isomorphic to V^0 such that $V^1 \boxtimes_{V^0} V^1 = V^0$. Assume that V^1 has an integral top weight and the invariant bilinear form on V^1 is symmetric. Then there exists a unique simple VOA structure on $V = V^0 \oplus V^1$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded simple current extension of V^0.*

Proof: For $a, b \in V^0$ and $u, v \in V^1$, define a vertex operator $Y(\cdot, z)$ as follows:

$$Y(a,z)b := Y_{V^0}(a,z)b,\ Y(a,z)u := Y_{V^1}(a,z)u,\ Y(u,z)a := e^{zL(-1)}Y(a,-z)u,$$

and $Y(u,z)v$ is defined by means of the matrix coefficients

$$\langle Y(u,z)v,a\rangle_{V^0} = \langle v, Y(e^{zL(1)}(-z^{-2})^{L(0)}u, z^{-1})a\rangle_{V^1},$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{V^i}$ denotes the invariant bilinear form on V^i, $i=0,1$. Since the invariant bilinear form on V^1 is symmetric, we have the skew-symmetry $Y(u,z)v = e^{zL(-1)}Y(v,-z)u$ for any $u,v\in V^1$ by Proposition 5.6.1 of [FHL]. It is also shown in [FHL, Li2] that $(V^0\oplus V^1, Y(\cdot,z))$ forms a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded simple vertex operator algebra if and only if we have a locality for any three elements in V^1, that is, for any $u,v\in V^1$, there exists $N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that for any $w\in V^1$ we have

$$(z_1-z_2)^N Y(u,z_1)Y(v,z_2)w = (z_1-z_2)^N Y(v,z_2)Y(u,z_1)w.$$

By Huang [H3, Theorem 3.5] (see also Theorem 3.2 and 3.5 of [H1]), it is shown that there exists $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}^*$ such that for any $u,v,w\in V^1$ and sufficiently large $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$(z_0+z_2)^k Y(u,z_0+z_2)Y(v,z_2)w = \lambda(z_2+z_0)^k Y(Y(u,z_0)v,z_2)w. \tag{2.3}$$

We shall show that the associativity above leads to the locality. The idea of the following argument comes from [R]. Let $N\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $z^N Y(u,z)v\in V^0[\![z]\!]$. Take sufficiently large $s,t\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $z^s Y(v,z)w\in V^0[\![z]\!]$ and (2.3) holds for (u,v,w) and (v,u,w) with $k=t,s$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&z_1^t z_2^s (z_1-z_2)^N Y(u,z_1)Y(v,z_2)w\\
&= e^{-z_2\partial_{z_1}}\left((z_1+z_2)^t z_2^s z_1^N Y(u,z_1+z_2)Y(v,z_2)w\right)\\
&= \lambda e^{-z_2\partial_{z_1}}\left((z_2+z_1)^t z_2^s z_1^N Y(Y(u,z_1)v,z_2)w\right)\\
&= \lambda e^{-z_2\partial_{z_1}}\left((z_2+z_1)^t z_2^s z_1^N Y(e^{z_1L(-1)}Y(v,-z_1)u,z_2)w\right)\\
&= \lambda e^{-z_2\partial_{z_1}}e^{z_1\partial_{z_2}}\left(z_2^t (z_2-z_1)^s z_1^N Y(Y(v,-z_1)u,z_2)w\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Define $p(z_1,z_2) := z_2^t(z_2-z_1)^s z_1^N Y(Y(v,-z_1)u,z_2)w$. The equations above show that $p(z,w)\in V^1[\![z_1,z_2]\!]$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&z_1^t z_2^s(-z_2+z_1)^N Y(v,z_2)Y(u,z_1)w\\
&= e^{-z_1\partial_{z_2}}\left(z_1^t(z_2+z_1)^s(-z_2)^N Y(v,z_2+z_1)Y(u,z_1)w\right)\\
&= \lambda e^{-z_1\partial_{z_2}}\left(z_1^t(z_1+z_2)^s(-z_2)^N Y(Y(v,z_2)u,z_1)w\right)\\
&= \lambda e^{-z_1\partial_{z_2}}p(-z_2,z_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the locality follows from

$$e^{-w\partial_z}e^{z\partial_w}p(z,w) = e^{-w\partial_z}p(z,w+z) = e^{-w\partial_z}p(z,z+w) = p(z-w,z)$$

and $e^{-z\partial_w}p(-w,z) = p(-w+z,z) = p(z-w,z)$.

The uniqueness has already been shown in [DM2] in a general fashion. ∎

Later, we shall consider a construction of framed VOAs. The following extension property will be used frequently.

Theorem 2.7. *(Extension property [Y2, Theorem 4.6.1]) Let* $V^{(0,0)}$ *be a simple rational* C_2*-cofinite VOA of CFT-type, and let* D_1, D_2 *be finite abelian groups. Assume that we have a set of inequivalent irreducible simple current* $V^{(0,0)}$*-modules* $\{V^{(\alpha,\beta)} \mid (\alpha,\beta) \in D_1 \oplus D_2\}$ *with* $D_1 \oplus D_2$*-graded fusion rules* $V^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1)} \boxtimes_{V^{(0,0)}} V^{(\alpha_2,\beta_2)} = V^{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\beta_1+\beta_2)}$ *for any* $(\alpha_1,\beta_1), (\alpha_2,\beta_2) \in D_1 \oplus D_2$*. Further assume that all* $V^{(\alpha,\beta)}$, $(\alpha,\beta) \in D_1 \oplus D_2$, *have integral top weights and we have* D_1*- and* D_2*-graded simple current extensions* $V_{D_1} = \oplus_{\alpha \in D_1} V^{(\alpha,0)}$ *and* $V_{D_2} = \oplus_{\beta \in D_2} V^{(0,\beta)}$*. Then* $V_{D_1 \oplus D_2} := \oplus_{(\alpha,\beta) \in D_1 \oplus D_2} V^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ *possesses a unique structure of a simple vertex operator algebra as a* $(D_1 \oplus D_2)$*-graded simple current extension of* $V^{(0,0)}$*.*

3 Ising frame and framed VOA

We shall review the notion of an Ising frame and a framed vertex operator algebra.

3.1 Miyamoto involutions

We begin by the definition of an Ising vector.

Definition 3.1. A Virasoro vector e is called an *Ising vector* if $\mathrm{Vir}(e) \simeq L(1/2, 0)$. Two Virasoro vectors $u, v \in V$ are called *orthogonal* if $[Y(u, z_1), Y(v, z_2)] = 0$. A decomposition $\omega = e^1 + \cdots + e^n$ of the conformal vector ω of V is called *orthogonal* if e^i are mutually orthogonal Virasoro vectors.

Let $e \in V$ be an Ising vector. By definition, $\mathrm{Vir}(e) \simeq L(1/2, 0)$. It is well-known that $L(1/2, 0)$ is rational, C_2-cofinite and has three irreducible modules $L(1/2, 0)$, $L(1/2, 1/2)$ and $L(1/2, 1/16)$. The fusion rules of $L(1/2, 0)$-modules are computed in [DMZ]:

$$\begin{aligned} &L(1/2,1/2) \boxtimes L(1/2,1/2) = L(1/2,0), \quad L(1/2,1/2) \boxtimes L(1/2,1/16) = L(1/2,1/16), \\ &L(1/2,1/16) \boxtimes L(1/2,1/16) = L(1/2,0) \oplus L(1/2,1/2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

By (3.1), one can define some involutions in the following way. Let $V_e(h)$ be the sum of all irreducible $\mathrm{Vir}(e)$-submodules of V isomorphic to $L(1/2, h)$ for $h = 0, 1/2, 1/16$. Then one has the isotypical decomposition

$$V = V_e(0) \oplus V_e(1/2) \oplus V_e(1/16).$$

Define a linear automorphism τ_e on V by

$$\tau_e = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } V_e(0) \oplus V_e(1/2), \\ -1 & \text{on } V_e(1/16). \end{cases}$$

Then by the fusion rules in (3.1), τ_e defines an automorphism on the VOA V (cf. [M1]). On the fixed point subalgebra $V^{\langle \tau_e \rangle} = V_e(0) \oplus V_e(1/2)$, one can define another linear automorphism σ_e by

$$\sigma_e = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } V_e(0), \\ -1 & \text{on } V_e(1/2). \end{cases}$$

Then σ_e also defines an automorphism on $V^{\langle \tau_e \rangle}$ (cf. [M1]). The automorphisms $\tau_e \in \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ and $\sigma_e \in \mathrm{Aut}(V^{\langle \tau_e \rangle})$ are often called *Miyamoto involutions.*

3.2 Framed VOAs and their structure codes

Let us define the notion of a framed VOA.

Definition 3.2. ([DGH, M3]) A simple vertex operator algebra (V, ω) is called *framed* if there exists a set $\{e^1, \dots, e^n\}$ of Ising vectors of V such that $\omega = e^1 + \cdots + e^n$ is an orthogonal decomposition. The full sub VOA F generated by $e^1, \dots, e^n$ is called an *Ising frame* or simply a *frame* of V. By abuse of notation, we sometimes call the set of Ising vectors $\{e^1, \dots, e^n\}$ a *frame*, also.

Let (V, ω) be a framed VOA with an Ising frame F. Then

$$F \simeq \mathrm{Vir}(e^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Vir}(e^i) \simeq L(1/2, 0)^{\otimes n}$$

and V is a direct sum of irreducible F-submodules $\otimes_{i=1}^n L(1/2, h_i)$ with $h_i \in \{0, 1/2, 1/16\}$. For each irreducible F-module $W = \otimes_{i=1}^n L(1/2, h_i)$, we define its binary 1/16-*word* (or τ-*word*) $\tau(W) = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ by $\alpha_i = 1$ if and only if $h_i = 1/16$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, denote by V^α the sum of all irreducible F-submodules of V whose 1/16-words are equal to α. Define a linear code $D \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ by $D = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n \mid V^\alpha \neq 0\}$. Then we have the *1/16-word decomposition* $V = \oplus_{\alpha \in D} V^\alpha$. By the fusion rules of $L(1/2, 0)$-modules, it is easy to see that $V^\alpha \cdot V^\beta \subset V^{\alpha+\beta}$. Hence, the dual group D^* of D acts on V. In fact, the action of D^* coincides with the action of the elementary abelian 2-group generated by Miyamoto involutions $\{\tau_{e^i} \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$. Therefore, all V^α, $\alpha \in D$, are irreducible V^0-modules by [DM1]. Since there is no $L(1/2, 1/16)$-component in V^0, the fixed point subalgebra $V^{D^*} = V^0$ has the following shape:

$$V^0 = \bigoplus_{h_i \in \{0, 1/2\}} m_{h_1, \dots, h_n} L(1/2, h_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(1/2, h_n),$$

where $m_{h_1, \dots, h_n} \in \mathbb{N}$ denotes the multiplicity. On V^0 we can define Miyamoto involutions σ_{e^i} for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Denote by Q the elementary abelian 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(V^0)$ generated by $\{\sigma_{e^i} \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$. Then the fixed point subalgebra $(V^0)^Q = F$ and each $m_{h_1, \cdots, h_n} L(1/2, h_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(1/2, h_n)$ is an irreducible F-submodule again by [DM1]. Thus

$m_{h_1,\cdots,h_n} \in \{0,1\}$ and we obtain an even linear code $C := \{(2h_1,\cdots,2h_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n \mid h_i \in \{0, {}^1\!/\!_2\},\ m_{h_1,\cdots,h_n} \neq 0\}$, namely,

$$V^0 = \bigoplus_{\alpha=(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n)\in C} L({}^1\!/\!_2, \alpha_1/2) \otimes \cdots \otimes L({}^1\!/\!_2, \alpha_n/2). \tag{3.2}$$

Since $L({}^1\!/\!_2, 0)$ and $L({}^1\!/\!_2, {}^1\!/\!_2)$ are simple current $L({}^1\!/\!_2, 0)$-modules, V^0 is a C-graded simple current extension of F. By Proposition 2.3, the simple VOA structure on V^0 is unique. The simple VOA V^0 of the form (3.2) is called the *code VOA associated to* C and denoted by V_C. It is clear that V_C is simple, rational, C_2-cofinite and of CFT-type. Since $L(1)(V_C)_1 = 0$ by its F-module structure, V_C has a non-zero invariant form and thus is self-dual as a V_C-module by [Li1]. Similarly, we also have $L(1)V_1 = 0$ and V is self-dual as a V-module.

Summarizing, there exists a pair (C, D) of even linear codes such that V is an D-graded extension of a code VOA V_C associated to C. We call the pair (C, D) the *structure codes* of a framed VOA V associated with the frame F. Since the powers of z in an $L({}^1\!/\!_2, 0)$-intertwining operator of type $L({}^1\!/\!_2, {}^1\!/\!_2) \times L({}^1\!/\!_2, {}^1\!/\!_2) \to L({}^1\!/\!_2, {}^1\!/\!_{16})$ are half-integral, the structure codes (C, D) satisfy $C \subset D^\perp$.

Notation Let V be a framed VOA with the structure codes (C, D), where $C, D \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^n$. For a binary codeword $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, we define:

$$\sigma_\beta := \prod_{i\in\mathrm{supp}(\beta)} \sigma_{e^i} \in \mathrm{Aut}(V^0) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_\beta := \prod_{i\in\mathrm{supp}(\beta)} \tau_{e^i} \in \mathrm{Aut}(V). \tag{3.3}$$

Namely, by associating Miyamoto involutions to a codeword of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, $\sigma : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \to \mathrm{Aut}(V^0)$ and $\tau : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \to \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ define group homomorphisms. It is also clear that $\ker\sigma = C^\perp$ and $\ker\tau = D^\perp$.

4 Representation of code VOAs

Since every framed VOA is an extension of its code sub VOA, it is quite natural to study a framed VOA as a module over its code sub VOA. Let us first review a structure theory for the irreducible modules over a code VOA.

4.1 Central extension of codes

Let $\nu^1 = (10\ldots0)$, $\nu^2 = (010\ldots0), \ldots, \nu^n = (0\ldots01) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$. Define $\varepsilon : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \times \mathbb{Z}_2^n \to \mathbb{C}^*$ by

$$\varepsilon(\nu^i, \nu^j) := -1 \quad \text{if} \quad i > j \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \quad \text{otherwise}, \tag{4.1}$$

and extend to $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ linearly. Then ε defines a 2-cocycle in $Z^2(\mathbb{Z}_2^n, \mathbb{C}^*)$. By definition,

$$\varepsilon(\alpha,\beta)\varepsilon(\beta,\alpha) = (-1)^{\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle+\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)\mathrm{wt}(\beta)} \text{ and } \varepsilon(\alpha,\alpha) = (-1)^{\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)(\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)-1)/2} \tag{4.2}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$. In particular, $\varepsilon(\alpha, \alpha) = (-1)^{\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)/2}$ and $\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)\varepsilon(\beta, \alpha) = (-1)^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}$ if $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ are even.

Let G be the central extension of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ by $\mathbb{C}^*$ with associated 2-cocycle ε. Recall that $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^n \times \mathbb{C}^*$ as a set, but the group operation is given by

$$(\alpha, u)(\beta, v) = (\alpha\beta, \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)uv)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Let C be a binary even linear code of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. Since ε takes values in $\{\pm 1\}$, we can take a subgroup $\tilde{C} = \{(\alpha, u) \in G \mid \alpha \in C,\, u \in \{\pm 1\}\}$ of G so that $\tilde{C}$ forms a central extension of C by $\{\pm 1\}$:

$$1 \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow \tilde{C} \xrightarrow{\pi} C \longrightarrow 1. \tag{4.3}$$

We shall note that the radical of the standard bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on C is given by $R = C \cap C^{\perp}$ and thus by (4.2), the preimage $\tilde{R} = \pi^{-1}(C \cap C^{\perp})$ is the center of $\tilde{C}$. Take a subgroup D of C such that $C = R \oplus D$. Then the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is non-degenerate on D. It follows from (4.2) that the preimage $\tilde{D} := \pi^{-1}(D)$ is an extra-special 2-subgroup of $\tilde{C}$. The central extension $\tilde{C}$ is then isomorphic[3] to the central product of $\tilde{D}$ and $\tilde{R}$ over $\{\pm 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ which we shall denote by $\tilde{D} *_{\{\pm 1\}} \tilde{R}$.

We identify the multiplicative group $\mathbb{C}^*$ with the central subgroup $(0, \mathbb{C}^*) = \{(0, u) \in G \mid u \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$ of G, and let $\mathbb{C}^*\tilde{C} = \{(\alpha, u) \in G \mid \alpha \in C, u \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$ be the subgroup of G generated by $\mathbb{C}^* = (0, \mathbb{C}^*)$ and $\tilde{C}$. Then we have the exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*\tilde{C} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{C}^*}} C \longrightarrow 1. \tag{4.4}$$

Since $\mathbb{C}^*$ is injective in the category of abelian groups, the preimage of $C \cap C^{\perp}$ in $\mathbb{C}^*\tilde{C}$ splits and one has an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}^*\tilde{C} \simeq (C \cap C^{\perp}) \times (\mathbb{C}^* *_{\{\pm 1\}} \tilde{D}).$$

Now let $\psi : C \to \mathrm{End}(V)$ be a ε-projective representation of C on V, that is, $\psi(\alpha)\psi(\beta) = \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)\psi(\alpha + \beta)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in C$. Then one defines a linear representation $\tilde{\psi}$ of $\mathbb{C}^*\tilde{C}$ via $\tilde{\psi}(\alpha, u) := u\psi(\alpha) \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ for $\alpha \in C$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Since $\mathbb{C}^*\tilde{C}$ is isomorphic to a direct product of $R = C \cap C^{\perp}$ and $\mathbb{C}^* *_{\{\pm 1\}} \tilde{D}$, $\tilde{\psi}$ is a tensor product of a linear character of R and an irreducible non-linear character of $\tilde{D}$ if $\tilde{\psi}$ is irreducible. Since $\tilde{D}$ is an extra-special 2 group, D has only one non-linear irreducible character up to isomorphisms (cf. [Go] and [FLM, Theorem 5.5.1]). Therefore, the number of inequivalent irreducible ε-projective representation of C is equal to the order of $R = C \cap C^{\perp}$.

---

[3] Note that the isomorphism type of $\tilde{D}$ is determined by the dimension of maximal isotropic subspaces of D with respect to the quadratic form $q(\alpha) = \varepsilon(\alpha, \alpha)$ (cf. [Go] and [FLM, Section 5.3]), which depends on the choice of the complement D if R is not doubly even. For example, we can take $C = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\{(11000), (00110), (00101)\}$. Then the radical $R = \{(00000), (11000)\}$. Set $D = \{(00000), (00110), (00101), (00011)\}$ and $D' = \{(00000), (11110), (00101), (11011)\}$. Then both D and D' are complement of R in C but $\tilde{D} \not\simeq \tilde{D}'$, for $\tilde{D}$ is a quaternion group whereas $\tilde{D}'$ is a dihedral group of order 8. Nevertheless, the central product $\tilde{D} *_{\{\pm 1\}} \tilde{R}$ is still uniquely determined by C up to isomorphisms.

Let us review the structure of the irreducible non-linear character of $\tilde{D}$ in more detail. Let H be a maximal self-orthogonal subcode of D. Then by (4.2) the preimage $\pi_{\mathbb{C}^*}^{-1}(H)$ of H in $\mathbb{C}^*\tilde{C}$ splits. Hence, there exists a map $\iota : H \to \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) = (\partial\iota)(\alpha, \beta) = \iota(\alpha)\iota(\beta)/\iota(\alpha + \beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in H$. Since $\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) \in \{\pm 1\}$, one also has $\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) = \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)^{-1} = \iota(\alpha + \beta)/\iota(\alpha)\iota(\beta)$. Then the section map $H \ni \alpha \mapsto (\alpha, \iota(\alpha)) \in \pi_{\mathbb{C}^*}^{-1}(H)$ is a group homomorphism. Let χ be a linear character of H and define a linear character $\tilde{\chi}$ of $\pi_{\mathbb{C}^*}^{-1}(H)$ by $\tilde{\chi}(\alpha, \iota(\alpha)u) = u\chi(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in H$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Since the preimage $\tilde{H} := \pi^{-1}(H)$ is a subgroup of $\pi_{\mathbb{C}^*}^{-1}(H)$, we may view $\tilde{\chi}$ as a linear character of $\tilde{H}$. Then the irreducible non-linear character of $\tilde{D}$ is realized by the induced module $\mathrm{Ind}_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{D}}\tilde{\chi}$ (cf. Theorem 5.5.1 of [FLM]). Summarizing, we have:

Proposition 4.1. *(Theorem 5.5.1 of [FLM]) Let ψ be an irreducible ε-projective representation of C. Then the associated linear representation $\tilde{\psi}$ of $\mathbb{C}^*\tilde{C}$ is of the form $\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Ind}_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{D}}\tilde{\chi}$, where λ is a linear character of $C \cap C^{\perp}$, $\tilde{H}$ is the preimage of a maximal self-orthogonal subcode H of D in $\tilde{C}$, and $\tilde{\chi}$ is a linear character of $\tilde{H}$ such that $\tilde{\chi}(0, -1) = -1$. In particular, $\tilde{\psi}$ is induced from a linear character of a maximal abelian subgroup of $\tilde{C}$.*

4.2 Structure of modules

Let C be an even linear code of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. For a codeword $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in C$, we set

$$V(\alpha) := L(1/2, \alpha_1/2) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(1/2, \alpha_n/2).$$

Let $V_C = \oplus_{\alpha \in C} V(\alpha)$ be the code VOA associated to C. Since $V(0) = L(1/2, 0)^{\otimes n}$ is a rational full sub VOA of V_C, every V_C-module is completely reducible as a $V(0)$-module. We shall review the structure theory of irreducible V_C-modules from [M2, L3, Y1, Y2].

Let M be an irreducible V_C-module. Take an irreducible $V(0)$-submodule W of M, which is possible as $V(0)$ is rational. Let $\tau(W) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ be the binary 1/16-word of W as defined in (1.1) (see also Section 3.2). Then it follows from the fusion rules of $L(1/2, 0)$-modules that $\tau(W) \in C^{\perp}$ and $\tau(W) = \tau(W')$ for any irreducible $V(0)$-submodule W' of M. Set $C_W := \{\alpha \in C \mid V(\alpha) \boxtimes_{V(0)} W \simeq W\}$. Then $C_W = \{\alpha \in C \mid \mathrm{supp}(\alpha) \subset \mathrm{supp}(\tau(W))\}$ and $C_{W'} = C_W$ for any irreducible $V(0)$-submodule W' of M. Let $\{\alpha_i \mid 1 \le i \le r\}$ be the coset representatives for C_W in C. By the definition of C_W, it follows $V(\alpha_i) \boxtimes_{V(0)} W \not\simeq V(\alpha_j) \boxtimes_{V(0)} W$ if $i \ne j$, because if $V(\beta) \boxtimes_{V(0)} W = V(\gamma) \boxtimes_{V(0)} W$ in the fusion algebra then $W = V(\beta) \boxtimes_{V(0)} V(\gamma) \boxtimes_{V(0)} W = V(\beta + \gamma) \boxtimes_{V(0)} W$ for $\beta, \gamma \in C$. Note that the fusion algebra associated to $V(0)$ is associative and $V(\beta) \boxtimes_{V(0)} V(\gamma) = V(\beta + \gamma)$. For simplicity, we set $W^i := V(\alpha_i) \boxtimes_{V(0)} W$. Then we have the following isotypical decomposition:

$$M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} W^i \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{V(0)}(W^i, M).$$

In the decomposition above, each homogeneous component

$$W^i \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{V(0)}(W^i, M)$$

of M forms an irreducible V_{C_W}-submodule, where V_{C_W} is the code VOA associated to C_W. Let $U := \mathrm{Hom}_{V(0)}(W, M)$. It is shown in [M2, L3, Y2] that U is an irreducible ε-projective representation of C_W so that U is also an irreducible $\mathbb{C}^*\tilde{C}_W$-module. Moreover, the V_C-module structure on M is uniquely determined by the $\mathbb{C}^*\tilde{C}_W$-module structure on U.

Theorem 4.2. *([M2, L3, Y2]) Let C be an even linear code and $V_C = \oplus_{\alpha \in C} V(\alpha)$ the associated code VOA. Let W be an irreducible $V(0)$-module such that $\tau(W) \in C^{\perp}$. Then there is a one to one correspondence between the isomorphism classes of irreducible ε-projective representations of C_W and the isomorphism classes of irreducible V_C-modules containing W as a V^0-submodule.*

In the following, we shall give an explicit construction of irreducible V_C-modules from irreducible ε-projective C_W-modules.

An explicit construction Let W be an irreducible $V(0)$-module such that the 1/16-word $\tau(W) \in C^{\perp}$. Let H be a maximal self-orthogonal subcode of $C_W = \{\alpha \in C \mid \mathrm{supp}(\alpha) \subset \mathrm{supp}(\tau(W))\}$. Since the preimage $\pi_{\mathbb{C}^*}^{-1}(H)$ of H in (4.4) splits, there is a map $\iota : H \to \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $(\alpha, \iota(\alpha))(\beta, \iota(\beta)) = (\alpha + \beta, \iota(\alpha + \beta))$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in H$. Let χ be a linear character of H. Then we can define a linear character $\tilde{\chi}_\iota$ of $\pi_{\mathbb{C}^*}^{-1}(H)$ by

$$\tilde{\chi}_\iota(\alpha, \iota(\alpha)u) = u\chi(\alpha) \quad \text{for } \ \alpha \in H, \ u \in \mathbb{C}^*. \tag{4.5}$$

In this case, $\tilde{\chi}_\iota$ is also a linear character on the preimage $\tilde{H} = \pi^{-1}(H)$ of H in (4.3). Let $\mathbb{C}^{\varepsilon}[C]$ be the twisted group algebra associated to the 2-cocycle $\varepsilon \in Z^2(C, \mathbb{C}^*)$ defined in (4.1). That means $\mathbb{C}^{\varepsilon}[C] = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e^{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in C\}$ as a linear space and $e^{\alpha}e^{\beta} = \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)e^{\alpha+\beta}$. By (4.2), we have

$$e^{\alpha}e^{\beta} = (-1)^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} e^{\beta}e^{\alpha}. \tag{4.6}$$

It is clear that $\mathbb{C}^{\varepsilon}[C_W] = \oplus_{\alpha \in C_W} \mathbb{C}e^{\alpha}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{\varepsilon}[H] = \oplus_{\beta \in H} \mathbb{C}e^{\beta}$ are subalgebras of $\mathbb{C}^{\varepsilon}[C]$. Moreover, $\mathbb{C}^{\varepsilon}[H] \simeq \mathbb{C}[H]$ as $\mathbb{C}$-algebras. Let $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ be a set of coset representatives for C_W in C and let $\{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_s\}$ be a set of coset representatives for H in C_W. Consider an induced module $\mathrm{Ind}_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{C}} \tilde{\chi}_\iota$. As a linear space, it is defined by

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{C}} \tilde{\chi}_\iota = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} \mathbb{C}\, e^{\alpha_i + \beta_j} \underset{\mathbb{C}^{\varepsilon}[\tilde{H}]}{\otimes} v_{\tilde{\chi}_\iota},$$

where $\mathbb{C}v_{\tilde{\chi}_\iota}$ is a $\mathbb{C}^\varepsilon[H]$-module affording the character $\tilde{\chi}_\iota$, that is, $\iota(\alpha)e^\alpha \cdot v_{\tilde{\chi}_\iota} = \chi(\alpha)v_{\tilde{\chi}_\iota}$ for all $\alpha \in H$. Note also that the components

$$U^i := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} \mathbb{C}e^{\alpha_i+\beta_j} \underset{\mathbb{C}^\varepsilon[\tilde{H}]}{\otimes} v_{\tilde{\chi}_\iota}, \quad 1 \le i \le r,$$

are irreducible $\mathbb{C}^\varepsilon[C_W]$-modules. Set $W^i := V(\alpha_i) \boxtimes_{V(0)} W$ for $1 \le i \le r$. Let $I^{\alpha,i}(\cdot,z)$ be a $V(0)$-intertwining operator of type $V(\alpha) \times W^i \to V(\alpha) \boxtimes_{V(0)} W^i$. Since all $V(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in C$, are simple current $V(0)$-modules, $I^{\alpha,i}(\cdot,z)$ are unique up to scalars. It is possible to choose these intertwining operators such that

$$\begin{aligned}&(z_0+z_2)^m I^{\alpha,j'}(x^\alpha, z_0+z_2) I^{\beta,j}(x^\beta, z_2) w^j \\ &= \varepsilon(\alpha,\beta)(z_2+z_0)^m I^{\alpha+\beta,j}(Y_{V_C}(x^\alpha,z_0)x^\beta, z_2) w^j\end{aligned}$$

for $x^\alpha \in V^\alpha$, $x^\beta \in V^\beta$, $w^j \in W^j$, $\alpha_{j'} + C_W = \beta + \alpha_j + C_W$ and $m \gg 0$ (cf. [M2, Y2]). We can also choose $I^{0,i}(\cdot,z)$ so that $I^{0,i}(\mathbb{1},z) = \mathrm{id}_{W^i}$. Now put

$$M = \mathrm{Ind}_{V_H}^{V_C}(W,\tilde{\chi}_\iota) := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} W^i \underset{\mathbb{C}}{\otimes} U^i$$

and define a vertex operator $Y(\cdot,z) : V_C \times M \to M(\!(z)\!)$ by

$$Y(x^\alpha,z)w^i \underset{\mathbb{C}}{\otimes} u^i := I^{\alpha,i}(x^\alpha,z)w^i \underset{\mathbb{C}}{\otimes} (e^\alpha \cdot u^i)$$

for $x^\alpha \in V^\alpha$, $w^i \in W^i$ and $u^i \in U^i$.

Theorem 4.3. *([M2, L3, Y1]) The induced module $\mathrm{Ind}_{V^0}^{V_C}(W,\tilde{\chi}_\iota)$ equipped with the vertex operator defined above is an irreducible V_C-module. Moreover, every irreducible V_C-module is isomorphic to an induced module.*

Remark 4.4. *Even if $\tau(W) \notin C^\perp$, one can still define an irreducible $\mathbb{Z}_2$-twisted V_C-module structure on $\mathrm{Ind}_{V_H}^{V_C}(W,\tilde{\chi})$ (cf. [L1, Y1]).*

Parameterization by a pair of binary codewords The irreducible V_C-modules can also be parameterized by a pair of binary codewords. For given $\beta \in C^\perp$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, we define a weight vector $h_{\beta,\gamma} = (h^1_{\beta,\gamma},\dots,h^n_{\beta,\gamma})$, $h^i_{\beta,\gamma} \in \{0, 1/2, 1/16\}$ by

$$h^i_{\beta,\gamma} := \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{16} & \text{if } \beta_i = 1, \\ \dfrac{\gamma_i}{2} & \text{if } \beta_i = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$L(h_{\beta,\gamma}) := L(1/2, h^1_{\beta,\gamma}) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(1/2, h^n_{\beta,\gamma})$$

be the irreducible $L(1/2,0)^{\otimes n}$-module with the weight $h_{\beta,\gamma}$. Set $C_\beta := \{\alpha \in C \mid \mathrm{supp}(\alpha) \subset \mathrm{supp}(\beta)\}$ and let $R^\beta = C_\beta \cap (C_\beta)^\perp$ be the radical of C_β. Fix a map $\iota : R^\beta \to \mathbb{C}^*$ such that the section map $R^\beta \ni \alpha \mapsto (\alpha, \iota(\alpha)) \in \pi_{\mathbb{C}^*}^{-1}(R^\beta)$ is a group homomorphism. Take a maximal self-orthogonal subcode H of C_β. Then $R^\beta \subset H$ and we can extend ι to H such that the section map $H \ni \alpha \mapsto (\alpha, \iota(\alpha)) \in \pi_{\mathbb{C}^*}^{-1}(H)$ is a group homomorphism. For, there exists a map $\jmath : H \to \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $H \ni \alpha \mapsto (\alpha, \jmath(\alpha)) \in \pi_{\mathbb{C}^*}^{-1}(H)$ is a group homomorphism. Then $\mu = \iota/\jmath$ restricted on R^β is a character since $\partial\mu = \partial\iota/\partial\jmath = \varepsilon/\varepsilon = 1$ on R^β. Take a complement K such that $H = R^\beta \oplus K$ and extend μ to H by letting $\mu(K) = 1$. Then $\mu\jmath$ coincides with ι on R^β as desired. Define a character χ_γ of H by $\chi_\gamma(\alpha) := (-1)^{\langle \gamma,\alpha\rangle}$ for $\alpha \in H$ and extend to a character $\tilde{\chi}_{\gamma;\iota}$ of $\pi_{\mathbb{C}^*}^{-1}(H)$ by $\tilde{\chi}_{\gamma;\iota}(\alpha, \iota(\alpha)u) := \chi_\gamma(\alpha)u$ for $\alpha \in H$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Note that $\tilde{\chi}_{\gamma;\iota}$ also defines a linear character on $\tilde{H}$. Moreover, every character φ of $\tilde{H}$ such that $\varphi(0,-1) = -1$ is of the form $\tilde{\chi}_{\gamma;\iota}$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$. Then by Theorem 4.3, the pair (β,γ) determines an irreducible V_C-module

$$M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota) := \mathrm{Ind}_{V_H}^{V_C}(L(h_{\beta,\gamma}), \tilde{\chi}_{\gamma;\iota}).$$

Note that if C is self-orthogonal and $\mathrm{supp}(C) \subset \mathrm{supp}(\beta)$, then $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota) \simeq L(h_{\beta,\gamma})$ as a $V(0)$-module. If $\beta = 0$, then $H = 0$ and ι is trivial. We shall simply denote $M_C(0,\gamma;\iota)$ by $M_C(0,\gamma)$. It is also obvious that

$$M_C(0,\gamma) = \bigoplus_{\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)\in C+\gamma} L(1/2, \alpha_1/2) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(1/2, \alpha_n/2).$$

This module is called a *coset module* in [M2][4]. We sometimes denote $M_C(0,\gamma)$ by $V_{C+\gamma}$, also.

We shall review some basic properties of $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$.

Lemma 4.5. *([DGL]) The module structure of $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$ is independent of the choice of the maximal self-orthogonal subcode H of C_β and the choice of the extension of ι from R^β to H.*

Proof: Let H' be a maximal self-orthogonal subcode of C_β and $\iota' : H' \to \mathbb{C}^*$ an extension of ι to H' such that the section map $H' \ni \alpha \mapsto (\alpha, \iota'(\alpha)) \in \pi_{\mathbb{C}^*}^{-1}(H')$ is a group homomorphism. Define a linear character $\tilde{\chi}'_{\gamma;\iota'}$ of $\pi_{\mathbb{C}^*}^{-1}(H')$ by $\tilde{\chi}'_{\gamma;\iota'}(\alpha, \iota'(\alpha)u) := (-1)^{\langle\gamma,\alpha\rangle}u$ for $\alpha \in H'$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Then $\tilde{\chi}'_{\gamma;\iota'}$ is also a linear character of the preimage $\tilde{H}'$ of H' in (4.3). We shall show that

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{V_{H'}}^{V_C}(L(h_{\beta,\gamma}), \tilde{\chi}_{\gamma;\iota'}) \simeq \mathrm{Ind}_{V_H}^{V_C}(L(h_{\beta,\gamma}), \tilde{\chi}_{\gamma,\iota}).$$

---

[4] This name has nothing to do with so-called the *coset construction* (cf. [FZ, GKO]) of a commutant subalgebra.

For this, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Ind}_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{C}_\beta}\tilde{\chi}_{\gamma;\iota} \simeq \mathrm{Ind}_{\tilde{H}'}^{\tilde{C}_\beta}\tilde{\chi}'_{\gamma;\iota'}$ by Theorem 4.2 and the construction of induced modules. By definition, it is clear that $\tilde{\chi}_{\gamma;\iota}|_{R^\beta} = \tilde{\chi}'_{\gamma;\iota'}|_{R^\beta}$. For simplicity, we denote it by λ.

Let K be a compliment of R^β in $H + H'$ and take D be a complement of R^β in C_β such that $K \subset D$. Then $H = R^\beta \oplus (H \cap K)$, $H' = R^\beta \oplus (H' \cap K)$ and $\tilde{C}_\beta \simeq \tilde{R}^\beta *_{\{\pm 1\}} \tilde{D}$. It is obvious that both $H_1 = H \cap K$ and $H_2 = H' \cap K$ are maximal self-orthogonal subcodes of D. Therefore, by Proposition 4.1, we have $\mathrm{Ind}_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{C}_\beta}\tilde{\chi}_{\gamma;\iota} \simeq \lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Ind}_{\tilde{H}_1}^{\tilde{D}}\tilde{\chi}_{\gamma;\iota}|_{\tilde{H}_1}$ and $\mathrm{Ind}_{\tilde{H}'}^{\tilde{C}_\beta}\tilde{\chi}'_{\gamma;\iota'} \simeq \lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Ind}_{\tilde{H}_2}^{\tilde{D}}\tilde{\chi}'_{\gamma;\iota'}|_{\tilde{H}_2}$. Since there is only one linear representation of $\tilde{D}$ such that $(0,-1)$ acts non-trivially, we have $\mathrm{Ind}_{\tilde{H}_1}^{\tilde{D}}\tilde{\chi}_{\gamma;\iota} \simeq \mathrm{Ind}_{\tilde{H}_2}^{\tilde{D}}\tilde{\chi}'_{\gamma;\iota'}$ and $\mathrm{Ind}_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{C}_\beta}\tilde{\chi}_{\gamma;\iota} \simeq \mathrm{Ind}_{\tilde{H}'}^{\tilde{C}_\beta}\tilde{\chi}'_{\gamma;\iota}$ as desired ∎

Remark 4.6. *If we choose another map* $\iota' : R^\beta \to \mathbb{C}^*$ *such that the section map* $R^\beta \ni \alpha \mapsto (\alpha, \iota'(\alpha)) \in \pi_{\mathbb{C}^*}^{-1}(R^\beta)$ *is a group homomorphism, then* ι/ι' *is a linear character of* R^β. *Thus, there exists* $\xi \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^n)_\beta$ *such that* $\iota(\alpha)/\iota'(\alpha) = (-1)^{\langle\alpha,\xi\rangle}$ *for* $\alpha \in R^\beta$. *Hence, for any* $\alpha \in R^\beta$ *and* $u \in \mathbb{C}^*$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\chi}_{\gamma;\iota'}(\alpha,\iota(\alpha)u) &= \tilde{\chi}_{\gamma;\iota'}(\alpha,(-1)^{\langle\alpha,\xi\rangle}\iota'(\alpha)u) = (-1)^{\langle\alpha,\xi\rangle}\cdot(-1)^{\langle\alpha,\gamma\rangle}u \\ &= (-1)^{\langle\alpha,\gamma+\xi\rangle}u = \tilde{\chi}_{\gamma+\xi;\iota}(\alpha,\iota(\alpha)u).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\tilde{\chi}_{\gamma;\iota'} = \tilde{\chi}_{\gamma+\xi;\iota}$ *on* $\tilde{R}^\beta$ *and we have* $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota') \simeq M_C(\beta,\gamma+\xi;\iota)$.

Similarly, one can show the following by considering linear characters of $\tilde{H}$.

Lemma 4.7. *([DGL]) Let* $\beta,\beta' \in C^\perp$ *and* $\gamma,\gamma' \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$. *Then the irreducible* V_C*-modules* $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$ *and* $M_C(\beta',\gamma';\iota)$ *are isomorphic if and only if*

$$\beta = \beta' \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma + \gamma' \in C + H^{\perp_\beta},$$

where H *is a maximal self-orthogonal subcode of* C_β *and* $H^{\perp_\beta} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n \mid \mathrm{supp}(\alpha) \subset \mathrm{supp}(\beta)$ *and* $\langle\alpha,\delta\rangle = 0$ *for all* $\delta \in H\}$.

Proof: Assume that $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota) \simeq M_C(\beta',\gamma';\iota)$. Then clearly $\beta = \beta'$ by 1/16-word decompositions. It is also obvious from the definition of $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$ that $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota) \simeq M_C(\beta,\gamma+\delta;\iota)$ for any $\delta \in H^{\perp_\beta}$. Let $\{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r\}$ and $\{\delta_1,\ldots,\delta_s\}$ be transversals for C_β in C and H in C_β, respectively. Then by definition we have a decomposition

$$M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r}\bigoplus_{j=1}^{s}\left(V(\alpha_i) \underset{V(0)}{\boxtimes} L(h_{\beta,\gamma})\right) \underset{\mathbb{C}}{\otimes} \left(e^{\alpha_i+\delta_j} \underset{\mathbb{C}^\varepsilon[H]}{\otimes} \tilde{\chi}_{\gamma;\iota}\right).$$

It follows from (4.6) that

$$\left(V(\alpha_i) \underset{V(0)}{\boxtimes} L(h_{\beta,\gamma})\right) \underset{\mathbb{C}}{\otimes} \left(e^{\alpha_i+\delta_j} \underset{\mathbb{C}^\varepsilon[H]}{\otimes} \tilde{\chi}_{\gamma;\iota}\right) \simeq M_H(\beta,\gamma+\alpha_i+\delta_j;\iota) \tag{4.7}$$

as a V_H-submodule. Therefore, we have the following decompositions:

$$\begin{aligned} M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota) &= \bigoplus_{\delta+H\in C/H} M_H(\beta,\gamma+\delta;\iota), \\ M_C(\beta,\gamma';\iota) &= \bigoplus_{\delta+H\in C/H} M_H(\beta,\gamma'+\delta;\iota). \end{aligned}$$

Since $H = C_\beta \cap H^{\perp_\beta}$ by the maximality of H, all $M_H(\beta,\gamma+\delta;\iota)$, $\delta\in C/H$, are inequivalent irreducible V_H-submodules. Thus, if $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)\simeq M_C(\beta,\gamma';\iota)$, then $\tilde{\chi}_{\gamma';\iota} = \tilde{\chi}_{\gamma+\delta;\iota}$ for some $\delta\in C$. This is possible if and only if $\gamma+\gamma'\in C+H^{\perp_\beta}$. Conversely, if $\gamma+\gamma'\in C+H^{\perp_\beta}$, then $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$ and $M_C(\beta,\gamma';\iota)$ contain isomorphic irreducible V_H-submodules. Since V_C-module structures on $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$ and $M_C(\beta,\gamma';\iota)$ are uniquely determined by their V_H-module structures, they are isomorphic. ∎

In the proof above, we have shown the following useful fact.

Corollary 4.8. *Let $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$ be an irreducible V_C-module. Let H be a maximal self-orthogonal subcode of C_β. Then as a V_H-module,*

$$M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota) = \bigoplus_{\delta+H\in C/H} M_H(\beta,\gamma+\delta;\iota).$$

In particular, every irreducible V_H-submodule of $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$ is multiplicity-free.

Lemma 4.9. *Let R be the radical of C_β with respect to the standard bilinear form and H a maximal self-orthogonal subcode of C_β. Then $C_\beta + H^{\perp_\beta} = R^{\perp_\beta}$ and hence the code $C + H^{\perp_\beta} = C + R^{\perp_\beta}$ is again independent of the choice of the maximal self-orthogonal subcode H.*

Proof: Since $R\subset H$, we have $H^{\perp_\beta}\subset R^{\perp_\beta}$. By definition, it is also clear that $C_\beta\subset R^{\perp_\beta}$ and we have $C_\beta + H^{\perp_\beta}\subset R^{\perp_\beta}$. Since the bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ restricted on the quotient space C_β/R is non-degenerate and H/R is a maximal self-orthogonal subspace, we have $\dim C_\beta/R = 2\dim H/R$ and hence $\dim C_\beta = 2\dim H - \dim R$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(C_\beta + H^{\perp_\beta}) &= \dim C_\beta + \dim H^{\perp_\beta} - \dim(C_\beta\cap H^{\perp_\beta}) \\ &= \dim C_\beta + \operatorname{wt}\beta - 2\dim H = \operatorname{wt}\beta - \dim R = \dim R^{\perp_\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $C_\beta + H^{\perp_\beta} = R^{\perp_\beta}$ ∎

4.3 Dual module

We shall determine the structure of the dual module of a V_C-module $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$. Recall that the dual module of a V-module $M = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} M_{n+h}$ is defined to be its restricted dual $M^* = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} M^*_{n+h}$ equipped with a vertex operator $Y^*_M(\cdot,z)$ defined by (2.2).

First, we consider the case when the code is self-orthogonal. Let H be a self-orthogonal code of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. In this case, one can define a character φ of H by $\varphi(\alpha) = (-1)^{\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)/2}$ for $\alpha \in H$. So there exists a codeword $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ such that $\varphi(\alpha) = (-1)^{\langle \kappa, \alpha \rangle}$ for all $\alpha \in H$.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ be a self-orthogonal code. For any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, the dual module of $M_H((1^n), \gamma; \iota)$ is isomorphic to $M_H((1^n), \gamma + \kappa; \iota)$, where $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is given by $(-1)^{\langle \kappa, \alpha \rangle} = (-1)^{\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)/2}$ for all $\alpha \in H$.*

Proof: By assumption, $M_H((1^n), \gamma; \iota) = L(1/2, 1/16)^{\otimes n} \otimes \tilde{\chi}_{\gamma;\iota}$ is an irreducible $V(0) = L(1/2, 0)^{\otimes n}$-module. Therefore,

$$M_H((1^n), \gamma; \iota)^* \simeq M_H((1^n), \gamma'; \iota) \quad \text{for some } \gamma' \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n.$$

Since $L(1/2, 1/16)^{\otimes n}$ is a self-dual $V(0)$-module, we have a $V(0)$- isomorphism $f : M \to M^*$. Let $Y(\cdot, z)$ and $Y^*(\cdot, z)$ be the vertex operators on M and M^*, respectively. For $\alpha \in H$, let $x^\alpha \in V(\alpha)$ be a non-zero highest weight vector of weight $\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)/2$. Then $Y^*(x^\alpha, z)f$ and $fY(x^\alpha, z)$ are $V(0)$-intertwining operators of type

$$V(\alpha) \times M_H((1^n), \gamma; \iota) \to M_H((1^n), \gamma; \iota)^*.$$

Since the space of $V(0)$-intertwining operators of this type is one-dimensional, there exists a scalar $\lambda_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $Y^*(x^\alpha, z)f = \lambda_\alpha f Y(x^\alpha, z)$. Let v be a non-zero highest weight vector of $M_H((1^n), \gamma; \iota)$. Then by (2.2), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Y^*(x^\alpha, z) f v, v \rangle &= (-1)^{\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)/2} z^{-\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)} \langle f v, Y(x^\alpha, z^{-1}) v \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)/2} z^{-\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)/2} \langle f v, x^\alpha_{(\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)/2-1)} v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Y^*(x^\alpha, z) f v, v \rangle &= \lambda_\alpha \langle f Y(x^\alpha, z) v, v \rangle \\ &= \lambda_\alpha z^{-\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)/2} \langle f x^\alpha_{(\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)/2-1)} v, v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x^\alpha_{(\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)/2-1)} v = t v$ for some $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\langle f v, v \rangle \neq 0$, we have $\lambda_\alpha = (-1)^{\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)/2} = (-1)^{\langle \kappa, \alpha \rangle}$. Therefore, by considering the linear character associated to $M_H((1^n), \gamma; \iota)^*$, we see that $M_H((1^n), \gamma; \iota)^* \simeq M_H((1^n), \gamma + \kappa; \iota)$. ∎

Proposition 4.11. *Let C be an even linear code, $\beta \in C^\perp$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$. Let H be a maximal self-orthogonal subcode of C_β. Then the dual module $M_C(\beta, \gamma; \iota)^*$ is isomorphic to $M_C(\beta, \gamma + \kappa_H; \iota)$ where $\kappa_H \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is such that* $\mathrm{supp}(\kappa_H) \subset \mathrm{supp}(\beta)$ *and* $(-1)^{\langle \kappa_H, \alpha \rangle} = (-1)^{\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)/2}$ *for all $\alpha \in H$.*

Proof: By Corollary 4.8, $M_C(\beta, \gamma; \iota)$ contains a V_H-submodule

$$M_H(\beta, \gamma; \iota) \simeq L(h_{\beta,\gamma}) \otimes \tilde{\chi}_{\gamma;\iota}.$$

By the previous lemma, the dual module $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)^*$ contains a V_H-submodule isomorphic to

$$M_H(\beta,\gamma;\iota)^* \simeq M_H(\beta,\gamma+\kappa_H;\iota) = L(h_{\beta,\gamma})\otimes\tilde{\chi}_{\gamma+\kappa_H;\iota}.$$

Therefore, by the structure of irreducible V_C-modules,

$$M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)^* \simeq \mathrm{Ind}_{V_H}^{V_C}(L(h_{\beta,\gamma}),\tilde{\chi}_{\gamma+\kappa_H;\iota})$$

and hence $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)^* \simeq M_C(\beta,\gamma+\kappa_H;\iota)$. ∎

As an immediate corollary, we have:

Corollary 4.12. *With reference to the proposition above, $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$ is self-dual if and only if $\kappa_H\in C$. In particular, $M_C(0,\gamma)$ is self-dual for all $\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}_2^n$.*

4.4 Fusion rules

We shall compute the fusion rules among some irreducible V_C-modules. First, we recall a result from [M2] which is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.5.

Lemma 4.13. *([M2]) For $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, $M_C(0,\alpha)\boxtimes_{V_C}M_C(0,\beta)=M_C(0,\alpha+\beta)$.*

By the lemma above, we see that $M_C(0,\alpha)\boxtimes_{V_C}M_C(0,\alpha)=M_C(0,0)\simeq V_C$. Therefore, all $M_C(0,\alpha)$, $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, are simple current V_C-modules by Corollary 2.2. It also follows that $M_C(0,\alpha)\boxtimes_{V_C}M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$ is an irreducible V_C-module with the 1/16-word β. The corresponding fusion rules are also computed by Miyamoto [M3] in the case $\mathrm{supp}(\alpha)\subset\mathrm{supp}(\beta)$.

Lemma 4.14. *([M3]) Let $\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ with $\beta\in C^\perp$. Then*

$$M_C(0,\alpha)\underset{V_C}{\boxtimes}M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)=M_C(\beta,\alpha+\gamma;\iota).$$

Moreover, the difference of the top weight of $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$ and the top weight of $M_C(\beta,\alpha+\gamma;\iota)$ is congruent to $\langle\alpha,\alpha+\beta\rangle/2$ modulo $\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof: The assertion is proved in Lemma 3.27 of [M3] in the case $\mathrm{supp}(\alpha)\subset\mathrm{supp}(\beta)$. We generalize his argument to obtain the desired fusion rule. Since $M_C(0,\alpha)$ is a simple current V_C-module, we know that there exists $\gamma'\in\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ such that

$$M_C(0,\alpha)\underset{V_C}{\boxtimes}M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)\simeq M_C(\beta,\gamma';\iota).$$

Therefore, if we can construct a non-zero V_C-intertwining operator of type $M_C(0,\alpha)\times M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)\to M_C(\beta,\alpha+\gamma;\iota)$, then we are done. To do this, we have to extend V_C to a larger algebra. The case $\alpha\in C$ is trivial so that we assume that $\alpha\notin C$. Set

$C' = C \sqcup (C+\alpha)$. We can define a simple vertex operator (super)algebra structure on the space $V_{C'} = V_C \oplus V_{C+\alpha} = M_C(0,0) \oplus M_C(0,\alpha)$. This is a VOA if α is even, and an SVOA if α is odd.

Set $H' := C'_\beta \cap H^\perp$, which is the unique maximal subcode of C'_β containing H such that its preimage $\tilde{H}'$ is a maximal abelian subgroup of $\tilde{C}'_\beta$ in the extension (4.3). We can take $\jmath : H' \to \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\jmath|_H = \iota$ and the section map $H' \ni \delta \mapsto (\delta, \jmath(\delta)) \in \pi_{\mathbb{C}^*}^{-1}(H')$ defines a group homomorphism. In the definition of the induced module $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota) = \mathrm{Ind}_{V_H}^{V_C} L(h_{\beta,\gamma}, \tilde{\chi}_{\gamma;\iota})$, if we use $\mathbb{C}^\varepsilon[C']$ instead of $\mathbb{C}^\varepsilon[C]$ and replace ι by $\jmath$, then we obtain an irreducible $V_{C'}$-module

$$M_{C'}(\beta,\gamma;\jmath) := \mathrm{Ind}_{V_{H'}}^{V_{C'}}(L(h_{\beta,\gamma}, \tilde{\chi}_{\gamma,\jmath})$$

which contains $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota) = \mathrm{Ind}_{V_H}^{V_C}(L(h_{\beta,\gamma}, \tilde{\chi}_{\gamma,\jmath|_H})$ as a V_C-submodule. The induced module $M_{C'}(\beta,\gamma;\jmath)$ is an untwisted $V_{C'}$-module if $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$ and otherwise it is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-twisted $V_{C'}$-module (cf. [Y2]). Nevertheless, the subspace $M = V_{C+\alpha} \cdot M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$ of $M_{C'}(\beta,\gamma;\jmath)$ is an irreducible V_C-submodule. It follows from (4.6) and (4.7) that there exists an irreducible V_H-submodule of M isomorphic to $M_H(\beta,\alpha+\gamma;\jmath|_H)$. Then $M \simeq M_C(\beta,\alpha+\gamma;\jmath|_H)$ by the structure of an irreducible V_C-module. Since $M \simeq M_C(0,\alpha) \boxtimes_{V_C} M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$, we obtain the desired fusion rule.

Since the $L(1/2,0)$-intertwining operators of types $L(1/2,h) \times L(1/2,1/16) \to L(1/2,1/16)$, $h \in \{0, 1/2\}$, keep the top weights but the $L(1/2,0)$-intertwining operators of type $L(1/2,1/2) \times L(1/2,0) \to L(1/2,1/2)$ and $L(1/2,1/2) \times L(1/2,1/2) \to L(1/2,0)$ change the top weights by $1/2$ or $-1/2$, the difference of top weights is as in the assertion. ∎

By this lemma, we can compute the following fusion rule.

Proposition 4.15. *Let $\beta \in C^\perp$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$. Let H be a maximal self-orthogonal subcode of C_β. Then*

$$M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota) \underset{V_C}{\boxtimes} M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)^* = \sum_{\delta + C \in C + H^{\perp_\beta}} M_C(0,\delta),$$

where $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ runs over a transversal for C in $C + H^{\perp_\beta}$.

Proof: It follows from the 1/16-word decomposition that the fusion product

$$M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota) \underset{V_C}{\boxtimes} M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)^*$$

contains only modules of type $M_C(0,\delta)$. Now assume that $\binom{M_C(0,\delta)}{M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota) \quad M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)^*}_{V_C} \neq 0$. Then by the symmetry of fusion rules, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} & M_C(0,\delta) & \\ M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota) & & M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)^* \end{pmatrix}_{V_C} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} & M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota) & \\ M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota) & & M_C(0,\delta) \end{pmatrix}_{V_C} \neq 0.$$

Since $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota) \boxtimes_{V_C} M_C(0,\delta) = M_C(\beta,\gamma+\delta;\iota)$ by the previous lemma, this is possible if and only if $\delta \in C + H^{\perp_\beta}$ by Lemma 4.7. Therefore, we have the fusion rule as stated. ∎

By the lemma above, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 4.16. Let $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ and H a subcode with $\mathrm{supp}(H) \subset \mathrm{supp}(\beta)$. H is said to be *self-dual with respect to* β if $H = H^{\perp_\beta}$.

Remark 4.17. *Note that if* H *is a self-dual subcode of* C_β *w.r.t.* β *then* $C + H^{\perp_\beta} = C$.

By Corollary 2.2 and Proposition 4.15, we have

Corollary 4.18. $M_C(\beta, \gamma; \iota)$ *is a simple current module if and only if* C_β *contains a self-dual subcode w.r.t.* β.

Remark 4.19. *Now suppose* $M_C(\beta, 0; \iota) \boxtimes_{V_C} M_C(\beta, 0; \iota)^* = \sum_{i=1}^p M_C(0, \delta_i)$. *Let* H *be a maximal self-orthogonal subcode of* C_β, *and let* $\kappa_H \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^n)_\beta$ *such that* $\langle \kappa_H, \alpha \rangle = \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle / 2 \mod 2$ *for all* $\alpha \in H$ *as in Proposition 4.11. Then*

$$M_C(\beta, 0; \iota)^* = M_C(\beta, \kappa_H; \iota) = M_C(0, \kappa_H) \boxtimes_{V_C} M_C(\beta, 0; \iota)$$

and thus $M_C(\beta, 0; \iota) = M_C(0, \kappa_H) \boxtimes_{V_C} M_C(\beta, 0; \iota)^*$. *Using this, we can compute the following fusion rule:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& M_C(\beta, \gamma_1; \iota) \boxtimes_{V_C} M_C(\beta, \gamma_2; \iota) \\
&= \left\{ M_C(0, \gamma_1) \boxtimes_{V_C} M_C(\beta, 0; \iota) \right\} \boxtimes_{V_C} \left\{ M_C(0, \gamma_2) \boxtimes_{V_C} M_C(\beta, 0; \iota) \right\} \\
&= M_C(0, \gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \boxtimes_{V_C} M_C(\beta, 0; \iota) \boxtimes_{V_C} M_C(\beta, 0; \iota) \\
&= M_C(0, \gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \boxtimes_{V_C} M_C(\beta, 0; \iota) \boxtimes_{V_C} \left\{ M_C(0, \kappa_H) \boxtimes_{V_C} M_C(\beta, 0; \iota)^* \right\} \\
&= M_C(0, \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \kappa_H) \boxtimes_{V_C} M_C(\beta, 0; \iota) \boxtimes_{V_C} M_C(\beta, 0; \iota)^* \\
&= M_C(0, \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \kappa_H) \boxtimes_{V_C} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p M_C(0, \delta_i) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^p M_C(0, \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \kappa_H + \delta_i).
\end{aligned}$$

5 Structure of framed VOAs

We shall prove that every framed VOA is a simple current extension of a code VOA. This result has many fruitful consequences. For example, the irreducible representations of a framed VOA can be determined by a notion of induced modules. Another interesting result is the conditions on possible structure codes of holomorphic framed VOAs, namely we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for a pair of codes (C, D) to be the structure codes of some holomorphic framed VOAs in Theorem 5.17.

5.1 Simple current structure

In this subsection we discuss how a code VOA can be extended to a framed VOA. First, we give a construction of a non-trivial simple current extension.

Lemma 5.1. *Let C be an even linear subcode of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ and $\beta \in C^\perp$ a non-zero codeword. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ be a binary codeword such that the irreducible V_C-module $M_C(\beta, \gamma; \iota)$ has an integral top weight. If C_β contains a doubly even self-dual subcode w.r.t. β, then there exists a unique structure of a framed VOA on $V_C \oplus M_C(\beta, \gamma; \iota)$ which forms a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded simple current extension of V_C.*

Proof: Let H be a doubly even self-dual subcode of C_β w.r.t. β. By Proposition 4.11, $M_C(\beta, \gamma; \iota)$ is self-dual, and by Corollary 4.18, $M_C(\beta, \gamma; \iota)$ is a simple current V_C-module. By Corollary 4.8, $M_C(\beta, \gamma; \iota)$ has a V_H-module structure

$$M_C(\beta, \gamma; \iota) = \bigoplus_{\delta + H \in C/H} M_H(\beta, \gamma + \delta; \iota)$$

where all irreducible V_H-submodules $M_H(\beta, \gamma + \delta; \iota)$ are self-dual by Proposition 4.11. It is clear that a V_C-invariant bilinear form on $M_C(\beta, \gamma; \iota)$ induces a non-degenerate V_H-invariant bilinear form on $M_H(\beta, \gamma + \delta; \iota)$. It is shown in [Li1] that a V_C-invariant bilinear form on $M_C(\beta, \gamma; \iota)$ is either symmetric or skew-symmetric. Since the top level of $M_H(\beta, \gamma + \delta; \iota)$ is one-dimensional, the V_C-invariant bilinear form on $M_C(\beta, \gamma; \iota)$ must be symmetric. Therefore, $V_C \oplus M_C(\beta, \gamma; \iota)$ forms a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded simple current extension of V_C by Proposition 2.6. ∎

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\beta \in C^\perp$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ with $\beta \neq 0$. Assume that $V = V_C \oplus M_C(\beta, \gamma; \iota)$ forms a framed VOA. Then there exists a maximal self-orthogonal subcode K of C_β which is doubly even .*

Proof: Let H be a maximal self-orthogonal subcode of C_β. If H is doubly even, then we are done. So we assume that H contains a codeword whose weight is congruent to 2 modulo 4. Since $V = V_C \oplus M_C(\beta, \gamma; \iota)$ forms a simple VOA, $M_C(\beta, \gamma; \iota)$ is a self-dual V_C-module. Therefore, by Corollary 4.12, there exists a codeword $\kappa_H \in C_\beta$ such that $(-1)^{\langle \kappa_H, \alpha \rangle} = (-1)^{\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)/2}$ for all $\alpha \in H$. By Corollary 4.8, $M_C(\beta, \gamma; \iota)$ has the following decomposition as a V_H-module:

$$M_C(\beta, \gamma; \iota) = \bigoplus_{\delta + H \in C/H} M_H(\beta, \gamma + \delta; \iota).$$

By our choice of H, $\kappa_H \notin H^{\perp_\beta}$ so that $M_H(\beta, \gamma; \iota)$ and its dual $M_H(\beta, \gamma + \kappa_H; \iota)$ are inequivalent irreducible V_H-submodules of V. We consider a sub VOA U generated by

$M_H(\beta,\gamma;\iota) \oplus M_H(\beta,\gamma+\kappa_H;\iota)$. By the fusion rule given in Proposition 4.15, U has the following shape as a V_H-module:

$$U = M_H(0,0) \oplus M_H(0,\kappa_H) \oplus M_H(\beta,\gamma;\iota) \oplus M_H(\beta,\gamma+\kappa_H;\iota). \tag{5.1}$$

Note that $H = C \cap H^{\perp_\beta}$ by the maximality of H. Set $H' := H \sqcup (H+\kappa_H)$, $H_0 := H \cap \langle \kappa_H \rangle^\perp$ and take any $\alpha' \in H \setminus H_0$. Then

$$H' = H_0 \sqcup (H_0+\alpha') \sqcup (H_0+\kappa_H) \sqcup (H_0+\alpha'+\kappa_H).$$

We set $K := H_0 \sqcup (H_0+\kappa_H)$. It is clear that U also possesses a symmetric invariant bilinear form which we shall denote by $\langle \cdot,\cdot \rangle_U$. Since $M_H(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$ and $M_H(\beta,\gamma+\kappa_H;\iota)$ are dual to each other, we have

$$\langle M_H(\beta,\gamma;\iota), M_H(\beta,\gamma;\iota)\rangle_U = \langle M_H(\beta,\gamma+\kappa_H;\iota), M_H(\beta,\gamma+\kappa_H;\iota)\rangle_U = 0.$$

By Lemma 4.7, $M_H(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$ and $M_H(\beta,\gamma+\kappa_H;\iota)$ are isomorphic irreducible V_{H_0}-modules and there exists a V_{H_0}-isomorphism $\varphi : M_H(\beta,\gamma;\iota) \to M_H(\beta,\gamma+\kappa_H;\iota)$. Let u be a non-zero highest weight vector of $M_H(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$. Since the top level of $M_H(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$ is one-dimensional, we may assume that $\langle u, \varphi(u)\rangle_U = 1$. Now consider the decomposition (5.1) of U with respect to a series of sub VOAs $V_{H_0} \subset V_K \subset V_{H'}$ of U. It is clear that $M_H(0,0) \oplus M_H(0,\kappa_H) = M_K(0,0) \oplus M_K(0,\alpha')$. Therefore, there exists a decomposition of U as a V_K-module

$$U = M_K(0,0) \oplus M_K(0,\alpha') \oplus W$$

with $W = M_H(\beta,\gamma;\iota) \oplus M_H(\beta,\gamma+\kappa_H;\iota)$. Let W^0 be an irreducible V_K-submodule of W. Since K is a self-orthogonal subcode of C_β, the top level of W^0 is one-dimensional. Let $v \in W^0$ be a non-zero highest weight vector. As we mentioned, $M_H(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$ and $M_H(\beta,\gamma+\kappa_H;\iota)$ are isomorphic V_{H_0}-submodules. But $M_H(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$ and $M_H(\beta,\gamma+\kappa_H;\iota)$ *cannot* form V_K-submodules by the fusion rule of V_H-modules. Therefore, we can write $v = c_1 u + c_2 \varphi(u)$ with $c_1, c_2 \neq 0$. This shows that $\langle v, v\rangle_U = 2c_1c_2 \neq 0$ so that W^0 is a self-dual V_K-submodule. Then K is a doubly even code by Corollary 4.12. Since $|K| = |H| = 2|H_0|$, K is a maximal self-orthogonal subcode of C_β. Therefore, C_β contains the desired subcode K. ∎

We recall the following fact from the coding theory.

Theorem 5.3. *([McST]) Let n be divisible by 8 and H a doubly even code of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ containing the all-one vector $(11\ldots1) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$. Then there exists a doubly even self-dual code H' such that $H \subset H'$.*

Now we begin to prove that every framed VOA is a simple current extension of a code VOA. For this, it suffices to show the following proposition.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\beta \in C^\perp$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$. Assume that $V = V_C \oplus M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$ forms a framed VOA. Then C_β contains a doubly even self-dual subcode w.r.t. β.*

Proof: By Lemma 5.2, C_β contains a maximal self-orthogonal subcode H which is doubly even. By Corollary 4.8, V has a decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{\delta+H\in C/H} M_H(0,\delta) \oplus M_H(\beta,\gamma+\delta;\iota)$$

as a V_H-module. By the fusion rule in Proposition 4.15, the subspace

$$U := V_H \oplus M_H(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$$

forms a sub VOA of V, since $H = C_\beta \cap H^{\perp_\beta}$. If H is not self-dual, then there exists a doubly even self-dual subcode H' of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ w.r.t. β such that $H \cup (H+\beta) \subset H'$ by Theorem 5.3. Note that the weight of β is divisible by 8 since $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$ has an integral top weight. Let us consider the code VOA $V_{H'}$ associated to H'. Since $H \subset H'$, it is clear that $V_{H'}$ contains V_H as a sub VOA. We can also take a map $\jmath : H' \to \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\jmath|_H = \iota$ and the section map $H' \ni \alpha \mapsto (\alpha, \jmath(\alpha)) \in \pi_{\mathbb{C}^*}^{-1}(H')$ is a group homomorphism. By the structure theory in Theorem 4.3, we can define an irreducible $V_{H'}$-module $M_{H'}(\beta,\gamma;\jmath)$ such that $M_{H'}(\beta,\gamma;\jmath)|_{V_H} \simeq M_H(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$ as a V_H-module. For simplicity, we shall denote $M_{H'}(\beta,\gamma;\jmath)$ by W. Since the top level of W is one-dimensional, the $V_{H'}$-invariant bilinear form on W is symmetric. Therefore, by Proposition 2.5, we can define a framed VOA structure on

$$U' := V_{H'} \oplus W.$$

We denote the vertex operator on U' by $Y'(\cdot,z)$. Now suppose H is a proper subcode of H'. Then

$$V_{H'} = \bigoplus_{\delta+H\in H'/H} V_{\delta+H}, \quad V_{\delta+H} = M_H(0,\delta),$$

as a V_H-module. Let $\pi_{\delta+H} : V_{H'} \to V_{\delta+H}$ be the projection map. Then for $u, v \in W$, we have

$$Y'(u,z)v = \sum_{\delta+H\in H'/H} \pi_{\delta+H} Y'(u,z)v.$$

Since the simple VOA structure is unique on $V_{H'} \oplus W$, we may assume that $\pi_H Y'(u,z)v = Y_V(u,z)v$. Take any $\alpha \in H' \setminus H$ and set $K := H \sqcup (H+\alpha)$. We shall show the following claim:

Claim For $u, v \in W$, there exists $N = N(u,v) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(z_1-z_2)^N Y'(u,z_1)\pi_{H+\alpha} Y'(v,z_2)w = (z_1-z_2)^N Y'(v,z_2)\pi_{H+\alpha} Y'(u,z_1)w \tag{5.2}$$

for any $w \in W$.

Take any $a \in V_{H+\alpha}$. Since $U = V_H \oplus M_H(\beta, \gamma; \iota)$ forms a framed VOA by assumption, there exists $N = N(u, v) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(z_1 - z_2)^N Y'(u, z_1)\pi_H Y'(v, z_2)w = (z_1 - z_2)^N Y'(v, z_2)\pi_H Y'(u, z_1)w. \tag{5.3}$$

Take a sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&(z_1 - z_2)^N (z_0 - z_1)^k (z_0 - z_2)^k Y'(u, z_1)\pi_{H+\alpha} Y'(v, z_2) Y'(a, z_0)w \\
&= (z_1 - z_2)^N (z_0 - z_1)^k (z_0 - z_2)^k Y'(a, z_0) Y'(u, z_1)\pi_H Y'(v, z_2)w \\
&= (z_1 - z_2)^N (z_0 - z_1)^k (z_0 - z_2)^k Y'(a, z_0) Y'(v, z_2)\pi_H Y'(u, z_1)w \\
&= (z_1 - z_2)^N (z_0 - z_1)^k (z_0 - z_2)^k Y'(v, z_2)\pi_{H+\alpha} Y'(u, z_1) Y'(a, z_0)w.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the expansions of both sides of the equations have only finitely many negative powers of z_0, we get

$$(z_1 - z_2)^N Y'(u, z_1)\pi_{H+\alpha} Y'(v, z_2)w = (z_1 - z_2)^N Y'(v, z_2)\pi_{H+\alpha} Y'(u, z_1)w.$$

Note that $Y'(a, z)\pi_H = \pi_{H+\alpha} Y'(a, z)$ on $V_{H'}$ and $W = V_{H+\alpha} \cdot W$.

By the Claim above, we can introduce a framed VOA structure on

$$X := V_K \oplus M_K(\beta, \gamma; \jmath|_K)$$

as follows. Since W as a V_K-module is isomorphic to $M_K(\beta, \gamma; \jmath|_K)$, we can identify these structures. For $a, b \in V_K$ and $u, v \in M_K(\beta, \gamma; \jmath|_K)$, we define the vertex operator map $Y_X(\cdot, z)$ by

$$Y_X(a, z)b := Y'(a, z)b, \quad Y_X(a, z)u := Y'(a, z)u, \quad Y_X(u, z)a := Y'(u, z)a,$$

and

$$Y_X(u, z)v := \pi_H Y'(u, z)v + \pi_{H+\alpha} Y'(u, z)v.$$

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{U'}$ be an non-zero invariant bilinear form on U', which is unique up to scalar multiples. Since V_K is a subalgebra of U', we can define an invariant bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V_K}$ on V_K by $\langle a, b \rangle_{V_K} := \langle a, b \rangle_{U'}$. In addition, since W as a V_K-module is isomorphic to $M_K(\beta, \gamma; \jmath|_K)$, we may view $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{U'}$ restricted on W as a V_K-invariant bilinear form on $M_K(\beta, \gamma; \jmath|_K)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle a, Y_X(u, z)v \rangle_{V_K} &= \langle a, \pi_H Y'(u, z)v \rangle_{V_K} + \langle a, \pi_{H+\alpha} Y'(u, z)v \rangle_{V_K} \\
&= \langle a, \pi_H Y'(u, z)v \rangle_{U'} + \langle a, \pi_{H+\alpha} Y'(u, z)v \rangle_{U'} \\
&= \langle a, Y'(u, z)v \rangle_{U'} \\
&= \langle Y'(e^{zL(1)}(-z^{-2})^{L(0)}u, z^{-1})a, v \rangle_{U'}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the equality above, it follows from Section 5.6 of [FHL] and [Li2] that $Y_X(\cdot,z)$ satisfies the Jacobi identity if and only if we have a locality for any three elements in $M_K(\beta,\gamma;\jmath|_K)$, which follows from (5.2) and (5.3). Therefore, $(X, Y_X(\cdot,z))$ is also a framed VOA. In fact, one can define a framed VOA structure on $V_E \oplus M_E(\beta,\gamma;\jmath|_E)$ for any subcode E of H' containing H in a similar way. We shall deduce a contradiction from this observation.

Let W^1 and W^2 be V_H-modules isomorphic to $M_H(\beta,\gamma;\iota)$. Since W^i and $M_K(\beta,\gamma;\jmath|_K)$ are isomorphic V_H-modules, we have V_H-isomorphisms $\varphi_i : W^i \to M_K(\beta,\gamma;\jmath|_K) \subset X$ for $i=1,2$. Set

$$X' := V_H \oplus V_{H+\alpha} \oplus W^1 \oplus W^2.$$

We shall define a vertex operator $Y_{X'}$ on X' as follows.

For $a^0, b^0 \in V_H$, $a^1, b^1 \in V_{H+\alpha}$, $u^1, v^1 \in W^1$ and $u^2, v^2 \in W^2$, define

$$Y_{X'}(a^0,z) := \begin{bmatrix} Y_X(a^0,z) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Y_X(a^0,z) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi_1^{-1}Y_X(a^0,z)\varphi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi_2^{-1}Y_X(a^0,z)\varphi_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Y_{X'}(a^1,z) := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Y_X(a^1,z) & 0 & 0 \\ Y_X(a^1,z) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi_1^{-1}Y_X(a^1,z)\varphi_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi_2^{-1}Y_X(a^1,z)\varphi_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} &Y_{X'}(u^1,z) \\ &:= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \pi_H Y_X(\varphi_1 u^1,z)\varphi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \pi_{H+\alpha}Y_X(\varphi_1 u^1,z)\varphi_2 \\ \varphi_1^{-1}Y_X(\varphi_1 u^1,z) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_2^{-1}Y_X(\varphi_1 u^1,z) & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &Y_{X'}(u^2,z) \\ &:= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \pi_H Y_X(\varphi_2 u^2,z)\varphi_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \pi_{H+\alpha}Y_X(\varphi_2 u^2,z)\varphi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_1^{-1}Y_X(\varphi_2 u^2,z) & 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_2^{-1}Y_X(\varphi_2 u^2,z) & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

on ${}^t[b^0,b^1,v^1,v^2] \in V_H \oplus V_{H+\alpha} \oplus W^1 \oplus W^2$. Note that $Y_X(\cdot,z)$ is considered as a V_H-intertwining operator and $\pi_H : X \to V_H$ and $\pi_{\alpha+H} : X \to V_{\alpha+H}$ are V_H-projections on V_H and $V_{\alpha+H}$, respectively. By (5.2) and (5.3), it is straightforward to check that $Y_{X'}(\cdot,z)$ satisfies the locality and hence $(X', Y_{X'}(\cdot,z))$ itself forms a VOA. In fact, we have defined a VOA structure on X' such that

$$V^1\cdot W^1 = W^2,\ V^1\cdot W^2 = W^1,\ W^1\cdot W^1 = W^2\cdot W^2 = V^0 \quad \text{and} \quad W^1\cdot W^2 = V^2$$

based on the framed VOA structure on X.

Now take a subspace

$$Z := \{a^0 + b^0 + u^1 + \varphi_2^{-1}\varphi_1 u^1 \in X' \mid a^0 \in V_H, b^0 \in V_{H+\alpha}, u^1 \in W^1\}.$$

Then it follows from the definition of $Y_{X'}(\cdot, z)$ that Z is a subalgebra of X' and the linear isomorphism

$$\psi : a^0 + b^0 + u^1 + \varphi_2^{-1}\varphi_1 u^1 \longmapsto a^0 + b^0 + \sqrt{2}\varphi_1 u^1, \quad a^0 \in V_H,\ b^0 \in V_{H+\alpha},\ u^1 \in W^1,$$

defines a vertex operator algebra isomorphism between Z and X. Since X is a framed VOA and every framed VOA is rational, X' is a completely reducible Z-module. However, since the quotient X'/Z has no 1/16-word component corresponding to a codeword 0, we obtain $\psi^{-1}(M_K(\beta, \gamma; \jmath|_K)) \cdot (X'/Z) = 0$ which is a contradiction by Proposition 11.9 of [DL]. This contradiction comes from the assumption that $H \neq H'$. Hence, $H = H'$ as desired. ∎

Now we present the main theorem of this paper:

Theorem 5.5. *Let $V = \oplus_{\alpha\in D} V^\alpha$ be a framed VOA with structure codes (C, D). Then*
(1) For every non-zero $\alpha \in D$, the subcode C_α of C contains a doubly even self-dual subcode w.r.t. α.
(2) C is even, every codeword of D has a weight divisible by 8, and $D \subset C \subset D^\perp$.

Proof: (1) follows from Proposition 5.4 since $V^0 \oplus V^\alpha$ is a framed sub VOA of V for any non-zero $\alpha \in D$. (2) follows from (1), since a self-dual subcode of C_α w.r.t. α always contains the codeword α. ∎

As a corollary, we can also prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $V = \oplus_{\alpha\in D} V^\alpha$ be a framed VOA with structure codes (C, D). Then $V = \oplus_{\alpha\in D} V^\alpha$ is a D-graded simple current extension of the code VOA $V^0 = V_C$.*

Proof: The assertion follows immediately from Theorem 5.5 and Corollary 4.18. ∎

There are many applications of Theorems 5.5 and 5.6.

Corollary 5.7. *For a positive integer n, the number of isomorphism classes of framed VOAs with a fixed central charge $n/2$ is finite.*

Proof: By Theorem 5.6, every framed VOA is a simple current extension of a code VOA. A code VOA is uniquely determined by its structure code by Proposition 2.3, and it has finitely many irreducible representations as it is rational. In particular, there are finitely many inequivalent simple current modules over a code VOA. Therefore, the number of isomorphism classes of framed VOAs of given central charge is finite by the uniqueness of a simple current extension in Proposition 2.3. ∎

By Theorem 5.6, we can immediately classify all irreducible (both untwisted and $\mathbb{Z}_2$-twisted) modules over a framed VOA.

Corollary 5.8. *Let $V = \oplus_{\alpha\in D} V^\alpha$ be a framed VOA with structure codes (C, D). Let W be an irreducible V^0-module. Then there exists $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, which is unique modulo $D^\perp$, such that W can be uniquely extended to an irreducible τ_η-twisted V-module which is given by $V \boxtimes_{V^0} W$ as a V^0-module. In particular, every irreducible untwisted V-module is D-stable.*

Proof: Let $\beta \in C^\perp$ be the 1/16-word of W. Since all V^α, $\alpha \in D$, are simple current V^0-submodules, the fusion product $W^\alpha := V^\alpha \boxtimes_{V^0} W$ is again irreducible. It is clear that the binary 1/16-word of W^α is $\alpha + \beta$ so that all W^α, $\alpha \in D$, are inequivalent V^0-modules. Therefore, there exists a unique untwisted or $\mathbb{Z}_2$-twisted V-module structure on $\mathrm{Ind}_{V^0}^V W = V \boxtimes_{V^0} W = \oplus_{\alpha\in D} W^\alpha$ by Theorem 2.4. Since any element in the dual group $D^* \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^n / D^\perp$ is realized as a Miyamoto involution τ_η associated to a codeword $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, the induced module $\mathrm{Ind}_{V^0}^V W$ is indeed a τ_η-twisted V-module. ∎

Remark 5.9. *By the corollary above and Proposition 2.5, we can compute the fusion rules of V-modules from those of V_C-modules,*

Corollary 5.10. *([DGH, M3]) A framed VOA V with structure codes (C, D) is holomorphic if and only if $C = D^\perp$.*

Proof: That a framed VOA having a structure code $(D^\perp, D)$ is holomorphic is proved in [M3] by showing that every module contains a vacuum-like vector (cf. [Li1]). The converse is also proved in [DGH] by using modular forms. Here we give another, rather representation-theoretical proof. Let V be a holomorphic framed VOA with structure codes (C, D) and the 1/16-word decomposition $V = \oplus_{\alpha\in D} V^\alpha$. Take any codeword $\delta \in D^\perp$. By the previous corollary, a V_C-module $M_C(0, \delta)$ can be uniquely extended to either an untwisted or $\mathbb{Z}_2$-twisted V-module. As a V^0-module, it is given by an induced module

$$V \underset{V_C}{\boxtimes} M_C(0,\delta) = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in D} V^\alpha \underset{V_C}{\boxtimes} M_C(0,\delta).$$

By Lemma 4.14, the top weight of V^α and that of $V^\alpha \boxtimes_{V_C} M_C(0, \delta)$ are congruent modulo $\mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha \in D$. Therefore, the induced module $V \boxtimes_{V_C} M_C(0, \delta)$ is an irreducible untwisted V-module and thus isomorphic to V itself, as V is holomorphic. Then by considering the 1/16-word decomposition we see that $M_C(0, \delta) = V^0 = M_C(0, 0)$. Therefore, $\delta \in C$ by Lemma 4.7 and hence $D^\perp = C$. ∎

5.2 Construction of a framed VOA

In [M3, Y2], certain constructions of a framed VOA are discussed. Assume the following:

(1) (C, D) is a pair of even linear codes of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ such that

(1-i) $C \subset D^\perp$,

(1-ii) for each $\alpha \in D$, there is a subcode $E^\alpha \subset C_\alpha$ such that E^α is a direct sum of the [8,4,4]-Hamming codes.

(2) V^0 is a code VOA associated to C.

(3) $\{V^\alpha \mid \alpha \in D\}$ is a set of irreducible V^0-modules such that

(3-i) the 1/16-word of V^α is α,

(3-ii) all V^α, $\alpha \in D$, have integral top weights,

(3-iii) the fusion product $V^\alpha \boxtimes_{V^0} V^\beta$ contains $V^{\alpha+\beta}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in D$.

Then it is shown in [M3, Y2] that the space $V := \oplus_{\alpha\in D} V^\alpha$ forms a framed VOA with structure codes (C, D). Instead of the condition (1-ii), assume that

(1-iii) for each $\alpha \in D$, C_α contains a doubly even self-dual subcode w.r.t. α.

Then we have already shown in Lemma 5.1 that $V^0 \oplus V^\alpha$ forms a framed VOA. So by the extension property of simple current extensions in Theorem 2.7, we can again show that $V = \oplus_{\alpha\in D} V^\alpha$ forms a framed VOA with structure codes (C, D) under the other conditions. The key idea in [M3, Y2] is to use a special symmetry of the code VOA associated to the [8,4,4]-Hamming code to form a minimal $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded extension $V^0 \oplus V^\alpha$. Thanks to Lemma 5.1, we can transcend this step without the [8,4,4]-Hamming code.

Theorem 5.11. *With reference to the conditions (1)–(3) above, assume the condition (1-iii) instead of (1-ii). Then* $V = \oplus_{\alpha\in D} V^\alpha$ *forms a framed VOA with structure codes* (C, D).

Proof: Let $\{\alpha^i \mid 1 \le i \le r\}$ be a linear basis of D and set $D^{(j)} := \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\{\alpha_j \mid 1 \le j \le i\}$. By induction on i, we show that the space $V[i] = \oplus_{\alpha\in D^{(i)}} V^\alpha$ forms a framed VOA with structure codes $(C, D^{(i)})$. The case $i = 0$ is trivial, and the case $i = 1$ is done in Lemma 5.1. Now assume that $V[i]$ forms a framed VOA for $i \ge 1$. Then we have two simple current extensions $V[i] = \oplus_{\alpha\in D^{(i)}} V^\alpha$ and $V^0 \oplus V^{\alpha^{i+1}}$. Applying Theorem 2.7 to a set $\{V^\alpha \mid \alpha \in D^{(i+1)}\}$ of simple current V^0-modules, we obtain a $D^{(i+1)}$-graded simple current extension $V[i+1] = \oplus_{\alpha\in D^{(i+1)}} V^\alpha$ of V^0. Repeating this, finally we shall obtain the desired framed VOA structure on $V[r] = \oplus_{\alpha\in D} V^\alpha$. ∎

We can also generalize Theorem 7.4.9 of [Y2] as follows:

Theorem 5.12. *Let* $V = \oplus_{\alpha\in D} V^\alpha$ *be a framed VOA with structure codes* (C, D)*. For any even subcode* E *such that* $C \subset E \subset D^\perp$*, the space*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_C^E V := \bigoplus_{\alpha\in D} \mathrm{Ind}_{V_C}^{V_E} V^\alpha = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in D} V_E \underset{V_C}{\boxtimes} V^\alpha$$

forms a framed VOA with structure codes (E, D).

Proof: The idea of the proof is almost the same as that of Theorem 7.4.9 of [Y2]. Let $\{\gamma^i + C \mid 1 \le i \le r\}$ be a transversal for C in E. It is clear that $V_E = \oplus_{i=1}^r V_{C+\gamma^i}$ is an E/C-graded simple current extension of V_C by Proposition 2.3. First, we show that V^α is uniquely extended to an untwisted V_E-module. For this, it suffices to show that $V_{C+\gamma^i} \boxtimes_{V_C} V^\alpha$, $1 \le i \le r$, are inequivalent V_C-module. Assume $V_{C+\gamma} \boxtimes_{V_C} V^\alpha \simeq V_{C+\delta} \boxtimes_{V_C} V^\alpha$. It follows from a given framed VOA structure and Theorem 5.5 that $V^\alpha \boxtimes_{V_C} V^\alpha \simeq V^0 \simeq V_C$. Since the fusion product is commutative and associative, by multiplying the both sides of $V_{C+\gamma} \boxtimes_{V_C} V^\alpha \simeq V_{C+\delta} \boxtimes_{V_C} V^\alpha$ by V^α with respect to the fusion product, we have

$$V_{C+\gamma} \simeq V_{C+\gamma} \underset{V_C}{\boxtimes} V^\alpha \underset{V_C}{\boxtimes} V^\alpha \simeq V_{C+\delta} \underset{V_C}{\boxtimes} V^\alpha \underset{V_C}{\boxtimes} V^\alpha \simeq V_{C+\delta}.$$

Thus, $\gamma \equiv \delta \mod C$ and hence all $V_{C+\gamma^i} \boxtimes_{V_C} V^\alpha$, $1 \le i \le r$, are inequivalent V_C-modules. Since $E \subset D^\perp$, the top weight of V^α and that of $V_{C+\gamma^i} \boxtimes_{V_C} V^\alpha$ are congruent modulo $\mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 4.14. Therefore, V^α is uniquely extended to an irreducible untwisted V_E-module $\mathrm{Ind}_{V_C}^{V_E} V^\alpha = V_E \boxtimes_{V_C} V^\alpha$ by Theorem 2.4. Since all $\mathrm{Ind}_{V_C}^{V_E} V^\alpha$, $\alpha \in D$, are E/C-stable V_E-modules, we have the fusion rule

$$\left(\mathrm{Ind}_{V_C}^{V_E} V^\alpha\right) \underset{V_E}{\boxtimes} \left(\mathrm{Ind}_{V_C}^{V_E} V^\beta\right) \simeq \mathrm{Ind}_{V_C}^{V_E}\left(V^\alpha \underset{V_C}{\boxtimes} V^\beta\right) \simeq \mathrm{Ind}_{V_C}^{V_E} V^{\alpha+\beta}$$

by Proposition 2.5. Therefore, the space

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{V_C}^{V_E} V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in D} \mathrm{Ind}_{V_C}^{V_E} V^\alpha$$

forms a framed VOA with structure codes (E, D) by Theorem 5.11. ∎

By Theorem 5.5, its corollaries and Theorem 5.11, a pair of structure codes $(C, C^\perp)$ of a holomorphic framed VOA satisfies the following conditions.

Condition 1. (F-admissible condition)

(1) The length of C is divisible by 16.

(2) C is even, every codeword of $C^\perp$ has a weight divisible by 8, and $C^\perp \subset C$.

(3) For any $\alpha \in C^\perp$, the subcode C_α of C contains a doubly even self-dual subcode w.r.t. α.

For simplicity, we will call a code C *F-admissible* if it satisfies Condition 1. Indeed, we can construct a holomorphic framed VOA starting from an F-admissible code.

Remark 5.13. *A linear code C is F-admissible if and only if its dual $C^\perp$ satisfies the following three conditions:*

(i) the length of $C^{\perp}$ is divisible by 16,

(ii) $C^{\perp}$ contains the all-one vector,

(iii) $C^{\perp}$ is triply even, that is, $\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)$ *is divisible by 8 for any $\alpha \in C^{\perp}$.*

For, let D satisfy the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) above. Then for any $\alpha, \beta \in D$, the weight of their intersection $\alpha \cdot \beta$ is divisible by 4 and so $\alpha \cdot D$ is doubly even. Then there exists a doubly even code E containing $\alpha \cdot D$ such that E is self-dual w.r.t. α by Theorem 5.3. For any $\delta \in (\alpha \cdot D)^{\perp_\alpha}$, we have $\langle \delta, D\rangle = \langle \delta \cdot \alpha, D\rangle = \langle \delta, \alpha \cdot D\rangle = 0$, showing $E \subset (\alpha \cdot D)^{\perp_\alpha} \subset (D^{\perp})_\alpha$. Therefore, $D^{\perp}$ is F-admissible.

Let C be an F-admissible code. Then the all-one vector $\mathbf{1} = (11\dots 1)$ is contained in $C^{\perp}$. Since $n = \mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{1})$ is divisible by 16, all irreducible V_C-modules with the 1/16-word $\mathbf{1}$ have integral top weights. Let $V^{\mathbf{1}}$ be an irreducible V_C-modules with the 1/16-word $\mathbf{1}$. Then $V^{\mathbf{1}}$ is a self-dual simple current V_C-module.

Lemma 5.14. *Let C be an F-admissible code. For $\alpha \in C^{\perp}$, $\alpha \notin \{0, \mathbf{1}\}$, there exists an irreducible V_C-module W^α such that $\tau(W^\alpha) = \alpha$ and both W^α and the fusion product $V^{\mathbf{1}} \boxtimes_{V_C} W^\alpha$ have integral top weights.*

Proof: Clearly, we can find an irreducible V_C-module X such that $\tau(X) = \alpha$ and X has an integral top weight. The 1/16-word of the fusion product $V^{\mathbf{1}} \boxtimes_{V_C} X$ is then $\mathbf{1} + \alpha$ and its weight is divisible by 8. Thus, the top weight of $V^{\mathbf{1}} \boxtimes_{V_C} X$ is in either $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z} + 1/2$. In the former case, we just set $W^\alpha = X$. If the top weight is in $\mathbb{Z} + 1/2$, we take a codeword $\delta \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^n)_\alpha$ such that δ is odd. By Lemma 4.14, the V_C-module $(V^{\mathbf{1}} \boxtimes_{V_C} X) \boxtimes_{V_C} M_C(0, \delta)$ has an integral top weight. Set $W^\alpha = X \boxtimes_{V_C} M_C(0, \delta)$. Since $\mathrm{supp}(\delta) \subset \mathrm{supp}(\alpha)$, the top weight of X is congruent to that of W^α modulo $\mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 4.14, which is integral. Moreover, $V^{\mathbf{1}} \boxtimes_{V_C} W^\alpha \simeq V^{\mathbf{1}} \boxtimes_{V_C} (X \boxtimes_{V_C} M_C(0, \delta)) \simeq (V^{\mathbf{1}} \boxtimes_{V_C} X) \boxtimes_{V_C} M_C(0, \delta)$ also has an integral top weight as desired. ∎

Proposition 5.15. *Let C be an F-admissible code and D a proper subcode of $C^{\perp}$ containing $\mathbf{1}$. Suppose that we have a framed VOA $V = \oplus_{\alpha \in D} V^\alpha$ with structure codes (C, D). Then for $\beta \in C^{\perp} \setminus D$, there exist a self-dual simple current V-module W such that W has the 1/16-word decomposition $W = \oplus_{\alpha \in D} W^{\alpha + \beta}$ and $\tilde{V} = V \oplus W$ forms a framed VOA with structure codes $(C, D + \langle \beta \rangle)$.*

Proof: By the previous lemma, we can take an irreducible V_C-module W^β such that $\tau(W^\beta) = \beta$ and both W^β and $V^{\mathbf{1}} \boxtimes_{V_C} W^\beta$ are of integral weights. Since $\beta \in C^{\perp}$, it follows from (3) of Condition 1 that W^β is a self-dual simple current V_C-module. Then the induced module $\mathrm{Ind}_{V^0}^{V} W^\beta = \oplus_{\alpha \in D} V^\alpha \boxtimes_{V_C} W^\beta$ is an irreducible τ_η-twisted V-module for some $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ by Corollary 5.8. If $\eta \in D^{\perp}$, then the space $V \oplus \mathrm{Ind}_{V^0}^{V} W^\beta$ forms a framed VOA with structure codes $(C, D + \langle \beta \rangle)$ by Theorem 5.11.

If $\eta \notin D^{\perp}$, then τ_η is not trivial. Set $D^{+} := \{\alpha \in D \mid \langle \alpha, \eta \rangle = 0\}$ and $D^{-} := \{\alpha \in D \mid \langle \alpha, \eta \rangle = 1\}$. Then $D = D^{+} \sqcup D^{-}$ and $D^{\pm} \neq \emptyset$. By our choice of W^{β}, the all-one vector $\mathbf{1}$ is in D^{+} so that η is an even codeword. We set

$$V^{\pm} := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in D^{\pm}} V^{\alpha}, \quad W^{\pm} := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in D^{\pm}} V^{\alpha} \underset{V_C}{\boxtimes} W^{\beta}.$$

Then all $V^{\pm}$, $W^{\pm}$ are irreducible V^{+}-modules. The top weight of W^{+} is integral but the top weight of W^{-} is in $\mathbb{Z}+1/2$. We shall deform W^{-} so that it has an integral top weight, also.

Since $[(D^{+})^{\perp} \cap \langle \beta \rangle^{\perp}, D^{\perp} \cap \langle \beta \rangle^{\perp}] = 2$, there exists a codeword $\gamma \in (D^{+})^{\perp} \cap \langle \beta \rangle^{\perp}$ such that $\langle \gamma, D^{-} \rangle = 1 \mod 2$. Then it follows from Corollary 5.8 that

$$\tilde{W}^{\pm} := V_{C+\gamma} \underset{V_C}{\boxtimes} W^{\pm}$$

are irreducible untwisted V^{+}-modules. Moreover, by our choice of γ, both of $\tilde{W}^{\pm}$ have integral top weights since the top weight of $\tilde{W}^{+}$ is congruent to $\langle \gamma, \gamma + \beta \rangle/2$ modulo $\mathbb{Z}$, whereas the top weight of $\tilde{W}^{-}$ is congruent to $\langle \gamma, \beta + \gamma + D^{-} \rangle/2 + 1/2$ modulo $\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, by Theorem 5.11, we have a framed VOA structure on

$$\tilde{V} := V^{+} \oplus V^{-} \oplus \tilde{W}^{+} \oplus \tilde{W}^{-}$$

with structure codes $(C, D + \langle \beta \rangle)$. Now setting $W := \tilde{W}^{+} \oplus \tilde{W}^{-}$, we have the desired extension of V. This completes the proof. ∎

Remark 5.16. *In the proof above, we can construct another extension of* V^{+} *which also has the structure codes* $(C, D + \langle \beta \rangle)$ *in the following way. Take a codeword* $\gamma \in D^{\perp}$ *with* $\langle \gamma, \beta \rangle = 1$, *which is possible as* $[D^{\perp} : D^{\perp} \cap \langle \beta \rangle^{\perp}] = 2$, *and set* $\tilde{V}^{-} = V_{C+\gamma} \boxtimes_{V_C} V^{-}$ *and* $\tilde{W}^{-} = V_{C+\gamma} \boxtimes_{V_C} W^{-}$. *Then one can similarly verify that the space* $V^{+} \oplus \tilde{V}^{-} \oplus W^{+} \oplus \tilde{W}^{-}$ *also forms a framed VOA with structure codes* $(C, D + \langle \beta \rangle)$.

Theorem 5.17. *There exists a holomorphic framed VOA with structure codes* $(C, C^{\perp})$ *if and only if* C *is* F*-admissible, i.e.,* C *satisfies Condition 1.*

Proof: Let $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ be a linear basis of $C^{\perp}$ with $\alpha_1 = \mathbf{1}$ and set $D[i] := \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\{\alpha_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. By Lemma 5.1 we can construct a framed $V[1] := V_C \oplus V^{\mathbf{1}}$ with structure codes $(C, D[1])$. By Proposition 5.15, we can construct a framed VOA $V[2]$ with structure codes $(C, D[2])$ which is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded simple current extension of $V[1]$. Recursively, we can construct a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded simple current extension $V[i+1]$ of $V[i]$ which has structure codes $(C, D[i+1])$ and we shall obtain a holomorphic framed VOA $V[r]$ with structure codes $(C, D[r]) = (C, C^{\perp})$. ∎

Remark 5.18. *Condition 1, especially (2) and (3), give quite strong restrictions on a code C. Roughly speaking, C must be much bigger than its dual $C^{\perp}$ by (3) of Condition 1. In addition, if we assume that the minimum weight of C is greater than 2, then the corresponding framed VOA may have a finite full automorphism group (cf. [LSY, Corollary 3.9]). It seems possible to classify all F-admissible codes C if the length is small. It suggests a possibility for classifying all holomorphic framed VOAs of small central charge. The most interesting (and the first non-trivial) case would be the classification of $c = 24$ holomorphic framed VOAs. In fact, one can prove that the moonshine vertex operator algebra $V^{\natural}$ is the unique holomorphic framed VOA of central charge 24 whose weight one subspace is trivial, which is a variant of the famous uniqueness conjecture of the moonshine vertex operator algebra proposed in [FLM] (see also [DGL]). The key point is that the structure codes of $V^{\natural}$ (or any holomorphic framed VOA V of central charge 24 and $V_1 = 0$) are closely related to those of the Leech lattice VOA V_{Λ}. If $V = \oplus_{\alpha\in C^{\perp}} V^{\alpha}$ with $V^0 \simeq V_C$ is a holomorphic framed VOA of central charge 24 and $V_1 = 0$, then the minimal weight of C is greater than or equal to 4. In this case, for any $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{48}$ of weight 2, the τ_δ-twisted orbifold construction yields a VOA*

$$V(\tau_\delta) = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in D} \left(V^{\alpha} \oplus V_{C+\delta} \underset{V_C}{\boxtimes} V^{\alpha} \right), \quad D = \{\alpha \in C^{\perp} \mid \langle \alpha, \delta\rangle = 0\},$$

which is isomorphic to the Leech lattice V_{Λ} and a pair $(C \sqcup (\delta + C), D)$ will be the structure codes of V_{Λ}. Note that the weight one subspace of $V(\tau_\delta)$ forms an abelian Lie algebra with respect to the bracket $[a, b] = a_{(0)}b$. We shall give more details on this point in our next work [LY].

6 Frame stabilizers and order four symmetries

In Section 5, we have seen that structure codes (C, D) of a framed VOA $V = \oplus_{\alpha\in D} V^{\alpha}$ satisfy certain duality conditions. The main property is that for any $\alpha \in D$, the subcode C_α contains a doubly even self-dual subcode w.r.t. α and V^{α} is a simple current V^0-module. However, it is shown in Corollary 4.18 that V^{α} is a simple current module without the assumption on the doubly even property. In this section, we shall discuss the role of the doubly even property. It turns out that by relaxing the doubly even property, we can obtain a refinement of the 1/16-word decomposition and define an automorphism of order four in the pointwise frame stabilizer.

We begin by defining the frame stabilizer and the pointwise frame stabilizer of a framed VOA.

Definition 6.1. Let V be a framed VOA with a frame $F = \mathrm{Vir}(e^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Vir}(e^n)$. The *frame stabilizer* of F is the subgroup of all automorphisms of V which stabilizes the frame F setwise. The *pointwise frame stabilizer* is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ which fixes F pointwise. The frame stabilizer and the pointwise frame stabilizer of F are denoted by $\mathrm{Stab}_V(F)$ and $\mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$, respectively.

Let (C, D) be the structure code of V with respect to F, i.e.,

$$V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in D} V^\alpha, \quad \tau(V^\alpha) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad V^0 = V_C.$$

For any $\theta \in \mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$, it is easy to see that $\tau_{e^i} = \tau_{\theta e^i} = \theta \tau_{e^i} \theta^{-1}$ and thus θ centralizes $\langle \tau_{e^1}, \dots, \tau_{e^n} \rangle$. Therefore, the group $\tau(\mathbb{Z}_2^n) = \langle \tau_{e^1}, \dots, \tau_{e^n} \rangle$ generated by the τ-involutions is a central subgroup of $\mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2^n / D^\perp$. In addition, we have $\theta V^\alpha = V^\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in D$ and hence $\theta|_{V^0}$ is an automorphism of V^0.

The following results can be proved easily using the fusion rules.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $V = \oplus_{\alpha \in D} V^\alpha$ be a framed VOA.*
(1) Let $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(V^0)$ such that $\phi|_F = \mathrm{id}_F$. Then $\phi \in \sigma(\mathbb{Z}_2^n) = \langle \sigma_{e^1}, \dots, \sigma_{e^n} \rangle$.
(2) Let $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ such that $g|_{V^0} = \mathrm{id}_{V^0}$. Then $g \in \tau(\mathbb{Z}_2^n) = \langle \tau_{e^1}, \dots, \tau_{e^n} \rangle$.

Proof: (1) Consider the 1/2-word decomposition

$$V^0 = \bigoplus_{\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in C} L(1/2, \beta_1/2) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(1/2, \beta_n/2)$$

of V^0 as an $F = \mathrm{Vir}(e^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Vir}(e^n)$-module. Since $\phi|_F = \mathrm{id}_F$, it follows from Schur's lemma that $\phi|_{V^0}$ acts on $L(1/2, \beta_1/2) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(1/2, \beta_n/2)$ by a non-zero scalar a_α for each $\alpha \in C$. Moreover, it follows from the fusion rules of $L(1/2, 0)$-modules in (3.1) that $a_\alpha a_\beta = a_{\alpha+\beta}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in C$. Thus the association $C \ni \alpha \mapsto a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ defines a character of C and hence there is a codeword $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ such that $a_\alpha = (-1)^{\langle \xi, \alpha \rangle}$. Now it is easy to see that $\phi|_{V^0}$ is realizable as a product of σ_{e^i}, $1 \le e^i \le n$, that is, $\phi|_{V^0} = \sigma_\xi$.

(2) Since each V^α, $\alpha \in D$, is an irreducible V^0-module, it follows from Schur's lemma that g acts on V^α by a non-zero scalar $t_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Then again by the fusion rules of $L(1/2, 0)$-modules in (3.1) we have $t_\alpha t_\beta = t_{\alpha+\beta}$ so that the map $\alpha \mapsto t_\alpha$ defines a character of D. Therefore, there exists a codeword $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ such that $g = \tau_\eta \in \langle \tau_{e^1}, \dots, \tau_{e^n} \rangle$. ∎

As a corollary, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6.3. *Let V be a framed VOA with a frame $F = \mathrm{Vir}(e^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Vir}(e^n)$. For any $\theta \in \mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$, there exist ξ and $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ such that*

$$\theta|_{V^0} = \sigma_\xi \qquad \text{and} \qquad \theta^2 = \tau_\eta.$$

In particular, we have $\theta^4 = 1$.

Let $\theta \in \mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$. Then $\theta|_{V^0} = \sigma_\xi$ for some $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$. That means θ is an extension of a σ-involution on V^0 to the whole framed VOA V. In this section, we shall give a necessary and sufficient condition on whether a σ-involution σ_ξ can be extended to the whole V. Our argument is based on the representation theory of code VOAs developed in Section 4 and 5.

First let us consider $\theta \in \mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$ such that $\theta|_{V^0} = \sigma_\xi \neq \mathrm{id}_{V^0}$, i.e., $\xi \notin C^\perp$. Set $C^0 := \{\alpha \in C \mid \langle \xi, \alpha \rangle = 0\}$ and $C^1 := \{\alpha \in C \mid \langle \xi, \alpha \rangle = 1\}$. Then C^0 is a subcode of C, $[C : C^0] = 2$ and $C = C^0 \sqcup C^1$. Note also that V_{C^0} is fixed by θ and θ acts by -1 on V_{C^1}. In other words, $V^0 = V_{C^0} \oplus V_{C^1}$ is the eigenspace decomposition of θ on V^0.

Now assume that $\theta^2 = \tau_\eta$ for some $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$. For each non-zero $\alpha \in D$, it is clear that $V^0 \oplus V^\alpha$ is a subalgebra of V and θ stabilizes $V^0 \oplus V^\alpha$. If $\alpha \in D \cap \langle \eta \rangle^\perp$, then θ^2 acts as an identity on $V^0 \oplus V^\alpha$ and the eigenvalues of θ on V^α are ± 1. Let $V^{\alpha+}$ and $V^{\alpha-}$ be the eigenspaces of θ with eigenvalues $+1$ and -1, respectively. Note that both $V^{\alpha\pm}$ are non-zero inequivalent irreducible V^{0+}-submodules. For if $V^{\alpha+} = 0$, then $V^{0-} \cdot V^{\alpha-} = V^{\alpha+} = 0$, which contradicts Proposition 11.9 of [DL]. Since the subalgebra $V^0 \oplus V^\alpha = V^{0+} \oplus V^{0-} \oplus V^{\alpha+} \oplus V^{\alpha-}$ affords a faithful action of a group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ of order 4, $V^{\alpha\pm}$ are inequivalent irreducible V^{0+}-submodules by the quantum Galois theory [DM1].

If $\alpha \in D \setminus \langle \eta \rangle^\perp$, then $\theta^2 = -1$ on V^α and the eigenvalues of θ on V^α are $\pm\sqrt{-1}$. Let $V^{\alpha\pm}$ be the eigenspace of θ of eigenvalues of $\pm\sqrt{-1}$ on V^α. Then $V^\alpha = V^{\alpha+} \oplus V^{\alpha-}$. $V^{\alpha\pm}$ are again non-zero inequivalent irreducible V^{0+}-submodules. The argument above actually shows that θ is of order 2 if and only if $\langle \eta, D \rangle = 0$, i.e., $\eta \in D^\perp$.

By the observation above, we have

Lemma 6.4. *For any $\alpha \in D$, let $V^{\alpha\pm}$ be defined as above. Then the dual V^{0+}-module $(V^{\alpha\pm})^*$ is isomorphic to $V^{\alpha\pm}$ if and only if $\alpha \in \langle \eta \rangle^\perp$. Otherwise, $(V^{\alpha\pm})^*$ is isomorphic to $V^{\alpha\mp}$.*

Proof: Since any framed VOA is self-dual, the sub VOA $V^0 \oplus V^\alpha$ of V is also self-dual. Since $V^{\alpha\pm} \cdot V^{\alpha\pm} = V^{0+}$ if and only if $\alpha \in \langle \eta \rangle^\perp$, the duality is as in the assertion. ∎

We have shown that for any $\theta \in \mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F) \setminus \tau(\mathbb{Z}_2^n)$, $|\theta| = 2$ if and only if all irreducible V^{0+}-submodules of V are self-dual, and otherwise $|\theta| = 4$. We rewrite this condition in terms of the structure codes as follows.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $\theta \in \mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$ such that $\theta|_{V^0} = \sigma_\xi$ and $\theta^2 = \tau_\eta$ for some $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n \setminus C^\perp$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$. Set $C^0 = \{\alpha \in C \mid \langle \xi, \alpha \rangle = 0\}$ and $C^1 = \{\alpha \in C \mid \langle \xi, \alpha \rangle = 1\}$.*
(1) For $\alpha \in D \cap \langle \eta \rangle^\perp$, $(C^0)_\alpha$ contains a doubly even self-dual subcode w.r.t. α.
(2) For $\alpha \in D \setminus \langle \eta \rangle^\perp$, $(C^0)_\alpha$ contains a self-dual subcode w.r.t. α, but $(C^0)_\alpha$ does not contain any doubly even self-dual subcode w.r.t. α.

Proof: (1) For $\alpha \in D \cap \langle \eta \rangle^\perp$, let $V^\alpha = V^{\alpha+} \oplus V^{\alpha-}$ be the eigenspace decomposition such that θ acts on $V^{\alpha\pm}$ by ± 1. In this case the subspace $V^{0+} \oplus V^{\alpha+}$ forms a framed sub VOA of V. By Proposition 5.4, $(C^0)_\alpha$ contains a doubly even self-dual subcode w.r.t. α.

(2) For $\alpha \in D \setminus \langle \eta \rangle^\perp$, let $V^\alpha = V^{\alpha+} \oplus V^{\alpha-}$ be the eigenspace decomposition such that θ acts on $V^{\alpha\pm}$ by $\pm\sqrt{-1}$. In this case the restriction of θ on the sub VOA

$$V^0 \oplus V^\alpha = V^{0+} \oplus V^{0-} \oplus V^{\alpha+} \oplus V^{\alpha-}$$

is of order 4. By the quantum Galois theory [DM1], $V^{\alpha+}$ and $V^{\alpha-}$ are inequivalent irreducible $V^{0+} = V_{C^0}$-modules. By Lemma 6.4, $V^{\alpha+}$ and $V^{\alpha-}$ are dual to each other. Therefore, by Proposition 4.11, any maximal self-orthogonal subcode of $(C^0)_\alpha$ is not doubly even. Let H be a doubly even self-dual subcode of C_α w.r.t. α and H^0 a maximal self-orthogonal subcode of $(C^0)_\alpha$. Since $(C^0)_\alpha$ does not contain a doubly even self-dual subcode w.r.t. α, $(C^0)_\alpha$ is a proper subgroup of C_α so that $[C_\alpha : (C^0)_\alpha] = 2$. It follows from Theorem 4.3 that V^α is a direct sum of $[C : C_\alpha]$ inequivalent $V(0)$-submodules with the multiplicity $[C_\alpha : H]$. Similarly, each of $V^{\alpha\pm}$ is a direct sum of $[C^0 : (C^0)_\alpha]$ inequivalent irreducible F-submodules with the multiplicity $[(C^0)_\alpha : H^0]$. Since $V^{\alpha+}$ and $V^{\alpha-}$ are dual to each other, they are isomorphic as F-modules. Therefore, by counting multiplicity of irreducible F-submodules of V^α and $V^{\alpha\pm}$, one has $[C_\alpha : H] = 2[(C^0)_\alpha : H^0]$. Combining with $|C_\alpha| = 2|(C^0)_\alpha|$, we obtain $|H| = |H^0| = 2^{\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)/2}$. Therefore, H^0 is a self-dual subcode of $(C^0)_\alpha$ w.r.t. α. ∎

Lemma 6.6. *Let C be an even code and $\beta \in C^\perp$. Assume that $V = V_C \oplus M_C(\beta, \gamma; \iota)$ forms a framed VOA and C contains a subcode E with index two such that E contains a self-dual subcode w.r.t. β. Then V decomposes into a direct sum of four inequivalent simple current V_E-submodules*

$$V = M_E(0,0) \oplus M_E(0,\delta) \oplus M_E(\beta,\gamma;\jmath) \oplus M_E(\beta,\gamma+\delta;\jmath),$$

where δ is an element of C such that $C = E \sqcup (E+\delta)$ and $\jmath : E \cap E^\perp \to \mathbb{C}^*$ is a map such that $\jmath|_{E\cap C^\perp} = \iota$ and $(\alpha, \jmath(\alpha)) \cdot (\beta, \jmath(\beta)) = (\alpha+\beta, \jmath(\alpha+\beta)) \in \pi_{\mathbb{C}^*}^{-1}(E)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in E \cap E^\perp$. Moreover, all irreducible V_E-submodules of V are self-dual if and only if E contains a doubly even self-dual subcode w.r.t. β.

Proof: Let $\delta \in C$ such that $C = E \sqcup (E+\delta)$. Then the decomposition $V_C = M_E(0,0) \oplus M_E(0,\delta)$ is obvious. Let H be a self dual subcode of E_β w.r.t. β. Then H is still a maximal self-orthogonal subcode of C_β. Let $\jmath : H \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be an extension of $\iota : C \cap C^\perp \to \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $(\alpha, \jmath(\alpha)) \cdot (\beta, \jmath(\beta)) = (\alpha+\beta, \jmath(\alpha+\beta))$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in H$. Then $\jmath|_{E\cap C^\perp} = \iota$ and the decomposition $M_C(\beta,\gamma;\iota) = M_E(\beta,\gamma;\jmath) \oplus M_E(\beta,\gamma+\delta;\jmath)$ follows from Corollary 4.8. That all irreducible V_E-submodules are simple currents follows from Corollary 4.18. If E

contains a doubly even self-dual subcode w.r.t. β, then all irreducible V_E-submodules of V are self-dual by Proposition 4.11. Conversely, if all irreducible V_E-submodules of V are self-dual, then $V_E \oplus M_E(\beta, \gamma; \jmath)$ forms a sub VOA of V so that E contains a doubly even self-dual subcode w.r.t. β by Proposition 5.4. This completes the proof. ∎

Theorem 6.7. *Let V be a framed VOA with a frame $F = \mathrm{Vir}(e^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Vir}(e^n)$ and let (C, D) be the corresponding structure codes. For a codeword $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n \setminus C^\perp$, there exists $\theta \in \mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$ such that $\theta|_{V^0} = \sigma_\xi$ if and only if $\alpha \cdot \xi \in C$ for all $\alpha \in D$. Moreover, $|\theta| = 2$ if and only if $\mathrm{wt}(\alpha \cdot \xi) \equiv 0 \mod 4$ for all $\alpha \in D$, and otherwise $|\theta| = 4$.*

Proof: Let $\theta \in \mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$ such that $\theta|_{V^0} = \sigma_\xi$ with $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n \setminus C^\perp$. Set $C^0 := C \cap \langle \xi \rangle^\perp$ and $C^1 := C \setminus C^0$. Then by Lemma 6.5, $(C^0)_\alpha$ contains a self-dual subcode w.r.t. α for any $\alpha \in D$. Since

$$(C^0)_\alpha = \{\beta \in C \mid \langle \beta, \xi \rangle = 0 \ \text{ and } \ \mathrm{supp}(\beta) \subset \mathrm{supp}(\alpha)\}$$

and $\langle \beta, \xi \rangle = \langle \beta, \alpha \cdot \xi \rangle = 0$ for all $\beta \in (C^0)_\alpha$, $\alpha \cdot \xi \in ((C^0)_\alpha)^\perp$ for all $\alpha \in D$. Therefore, $\alpha \cdot \xi$ is contained in all self-dual subcodes of $(C^0)_\alpha$ w.r.t. α and hence $\alpha \cdot \xi \in (C^0)_\alpha \subset C$ as claimed.

Conversely, assume that a codeword $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n \setminus C^\perp$ satisfies $\alpha \cdot \xi \in C$ for all $\alpha \in D$. Then $C^0 = C \cap \langle \xi \rangle^\perp$ is a proper subcode of C with index 2. By definition, $\alpha \cdot \xi \in ((C^0)_\alpha)^\perp$ for all $\alpha \in D$. Therefore, any maximal self-orthogonal subcode of $(C^0)_\alpha$ contains $\alpha \cdot \xi$. Set $D^0 := \{\alpha \in D \mid \mathrm{wt}(\alpha \cdot \xi) \equiv 0 \mod 4\}$ and $D^1 := \{\alpha \in D \mid \mathrm{wt}(\alpha \cdot \xi) \equiv 2 \mod 4\}$. It is clear that $D = D^0 \sqcup D^1$. If $\alpha \in D^0$, then there exists a doubly even self-dual subcode of $(C^0)_\alpha$ w.r.t. α. For, let H be a doubly even self-dual subcode of C_α, which exists by Proposition 5.4. If H is contained in $(C^0)_\alpha$, then we are done. If not, then $\alpha \cdot \xi \notin H$ and $H \cap (C^0)_\alpha = H \cap \langle \xi \rangle^\perp$ is a subcode of H with index 2 so that

$$(H \cap \langle \xi \rangle^\perp) \sqcup (H \cap \langle \xi \rangle^\perp + \alpha \cdot \xi)$$

gives a doubly even self-dual subcode of $(C^0)_\alpha$ w.r.t. α. Similarly, we can show that $(C^0)_\alpha$ contains a self-dual subcode w.r.t. α for any $\alpha \in D^1$. But in this case any self-dual subcode of $(C^0)_\alpha$ w.r.t. α is not doubly even, as it always contains $\alpha \cdot \xi$. We have shown that for each $\alpha \in D$, $(C^0)_\alpha$ contains a self-dual subcode w.r.t. α so that one has a V_{C^0}-module decomposition $V^\alpha = V^{\alpha,1} \oplus V^{\alpha,2}$ and $V^{\alpha,p}$, $p = 1, 2$, are simple current V_{C^0}-submodules by Lemma 6.6.

Let $\{\alpha^1, \ldots, \alpha^r\}$ be a linear basis of D^0. For each i, $1 \le i \le r$, choose an irreducible V_{C^0}-submodule U^{α^i} of V^{α^i} arbitrary. Then for $\alpha = \alpha^{i_1} + \cdots + \alpha^{i_k} \in D^0$, set

$$U^\alpha := U^{\alpha^{i_1}} \underset{V_{C^0}}{\boxtimes} \cdots \underset{V_{C^0}}{\boxtimes} U^{\alpha^{i_k}}. \tag{6.1}$$

Since all U^{α^i}, $1 \le i \le r$, are simple current self-dual V_{C^0}-modules, U^α is uniquely defined by (6.1) for all $\alpha \in D^0$. Note that $U^0 = V_{C^0}$. Since $\oplus_{\alpha\in D^0} V^\alpha$ is a sub VOA of V, U^α are irreducible V_{C^0}-submodules of V^α for all $\alpha \in D^0$. Therefore, we obtain a framed sub VOA $U := \oplus_{\alpha\in D^0} U^\alpha$ of V with structure codes (C^0, D^0). It is easy to see that $V^\alpha = U^\alpha \oplus (V_{C^1} \boxtimes_{V_{C^0}} U^\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in D^0$ by Lemma 6.6.

If $D = D^0$, then we have $V = U \oplus (V_{C^1} \boxtimes_{V_{C^0}} U)$ as a V_{C^0}-module. In this case we define a linear automorphism θ_ξ on V by

$$\theta_\xi := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } U, \\ -1 & \text{on } V_{C^1} \boxtimes_{V_{C^0}} U. \end{cases}$$

Then it follows from Lemma 6.6 and Proposition 4.15 that $\theta_\xi \in \mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$ and $\theta_\xi|_{V^0} = \sigma_\xi$. Therefore, σ_ξ can be extended to an involution on V.

If $D \neq D^0$, then $D = D^0 \sqcup D^1$ with $D^1 \neq \emptyset$. In this case, take one $\beta \in D^1$ and an irreducible V_{C^0}-submodule W^β of V^β. Since W^β and all U^α, $\alpha \in C^0$, are simple current V_{C^0}-modules, we have a V_{C^0}-module decomposition

$$V^{\alpha+\beta} = (U^\alpha \underset{V_{C^0}}{\boxtimes} W^\beta) \oplus (V_{C^1} \underset{V_{C^0}}{\boxtimes} U^\alpha \underset{V_{C^0}}{\boxtimes} W^\beta)$$

of $V^{\alpha+\beta}$ for all $\alpha \in C^0$ by Lemma 6.6. Since $(C^0)_{\alpha+\beta}$ contains no doubly even self-dual subcode w.r.t. $\alpha+\beta$, the decomposition

$$V^0 \oplus V^{\alpha+\beta} = V_{C^0} \oplus V_{C^1} \oplus (U^\alpha \underset{V_{C^0}}{\boxtimes} W^\beta) \oplus (V_{C^1} \underset{V_{C^0}}{\boxtimes} U^\alpha \underset{V_{C^0}}{\boxtimes} W^\beta)$$

induces an order four automorphism on a sub VOA $V^0 \oplus V^{\alpha+\beta}$ of V by Lemma 6.6 and Proposition 4.15. Set

$$W := \bigoplus_{\alpha\in C^0} U^\alpha \underset{V_{C^0}}{\boxtimes} W^\beta.$$

Then we have obtained the following decomposition of V as a V_{C^0}-module:

$$V = U \oplus (V_{C^1} \underset{V_{C^0}}{\boxtimes} U) \oplus W \oplus (V_{C^1} \underset{V_{C^0}}{\boxtimes} W).$$

We define a linear automorphism θ_ξ on V by

$$\theta_\xi := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } U, \\ -1 & \text{on } V_{C^1} \boxtimes_{V_{C^0}} U, \\ \sqrt{-1} & \text{on } W, \\ -\sqrt{-1} & \text{on } V_{C^1} \boxtimes_{V_{C^0}} W. \end{cases}$$

Then it follows from the argument above that $\theta_\xi \in \mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$ and $\theta_\xi|_{V^0} = \sigma_\xi$. Therefore, σ_ξ gives rise to an automorphism of order 4.

Summarizing, we have shown that there exists $\theta \in \mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$ such that $\theta|_{V^0} = \sigma_\xi$ if and only if $\alpha \cdot \xi \in C$ for all $\alpha \in D$. It remains to show that for such θ, $|\theta| = 2$ if and only if $\mathrm{wt}(\alpha \cdot \xi) \equiv 0 \mod 4$. But this is almost obvious by the preceding argument. ∎

Remark 6.8. *Let $V = \oplus_{\alpha \in D} V^\alpha$ be a framed VOA with structure codes (C, D). It was conjectured in [M3, Conjecture 1] that for any codeword $\beta \in C$, the σ-type involution $\sigma_\beta \in \mathrm{Aut}(V^0)$ can be extended to an automorphism of V, that means there exists $g \in \mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$ such that $g|_{V^0} = \sigma_\beta$. By the theorem above, we know that this is not correct; we have to take a codeword β such that $\alpha \cdot \beta \in C$ for all $\alpha \in D$.*

Motivated by Theorem 6.7, we define $P := \{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n \mid \alpha \cdot \xi \in C \text{ for all } \alpha \in D\}$. It is clear that P is a linear subcode of C. Moreover, we have

Lemma 6.9. *$C^\perp \subset P$.*

Proof: Let $\delta \in C^\perp$. For $\alpha \in D$, one has $\langle \delta, C_\alpha \rangle = 0$ by definition and hence $\langle \alpha \cdot \delta, C_\alpha \rangle = 0$. Since C_α contains a self-dual subcode w.r.t. α by Theorem 5.5, $\alpha \cdot \delta \in C_\alpha \subset C$. ∎

For each codeword $\xi \in P$, there exists $\theta_\xi \in \mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$ such that $\theta_\xi|_{V^0} = \sigma_\xi$ by Theorem 6.7. However, the construction of θ_ξ in the proof of Theorem 6.7 is not unique since we have to choose a linear basis of D^0 and irreducible V_{C^0}-submodules. Nevertheless, the following holds.

Lemma 6.10. *Let $\theta, \phi \in \mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$ such that $\theta|_{V^0} = \phi|_{V^0} = \sigma_\xi$. Then $\phi = \theta\tau_\eta$ for some $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$.*

Proof: Since $\theta|_{V^0} = \phi|_{V^0}$, we have $\theta^{-1}\phi|_{V^0} = \mathrm{id}_{V^0}$. By Lemma 6.2, there exists $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ such that $\theta^{-1}\phi = \tau_\eta$ and hence $\phi = \theta\tau_\eta$ as desired. ∎

In other words, θ_ξ is only determined modulo τ-involutions. We have also seen in Lemma 6.2 that $\theta_\xi \in \tau(\mathbb{Z}_2^n)$ if and only if $\xi \in C^\perp$. Since $C^\perp \subset P$ by Lemma 6.9, the association $\xi + C^\perp \mapsto \theta_\xi \tau(\mathbb{Z}_2^n)$ defines a group isomorphism between $P/C^\perp$ and $\mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)/\tau(\mathbb{Z}_2^n)$. Therefore, we have the following central extension:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & \tau(\mathbb{Z}_2^n) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & \mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)/\tau(\mathbb{Z}_2^n) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}_2^n/D^\perp \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F) \arrow[r] & P/C^\perp \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

The commutator relation in $\mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$ can also be described as follows.

Theorem 6.11. *For $\xi^1, \xi^2 \in P$, let $\theta_{\xi^i}, i = 1, 2$, be extensions of σ_{ξ^i} to $\mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$. Then $[\theta_{\xi^1}, \theta_{\xi^2}] = 1$ if and only if $\langle \alpha \cdot \xi^1, \alpha \cdot \xi^2 \rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha \in D$.*

Proof: Since the case $\theta_{\xi^1} \in \theta_{\xi^2}\tau(\mathbb{Z}_2^n)$ is trivial, we assume that $\sigma_{\xi^1} \neq \sigma_{\xi^2}$. For $i = 1, 2$, set $C^{0,\xi^i} := \{\alpha \in C \mid \langle \alpha, \xi^i \rangle = 0\}$ and $E := C^{0,\xi^1} \cap C^{0,\xi^2}$. Then C^{0,ξ^i} are subcodes of C with index 2 and E is a subcode of C with index 4. Let $\delta^1, \delta^2 \in C$ such that $C^{0,\xi^i} = E \sqcup (E + \delta^i)$. By definition, $\alpha \cdot \xi^1$, $\alpha \cdot \xi^2 \in (E_\alpha)^\perp$ for all $\alpha \in D$ so that E_α contains a self-dual subcode w.r.t. α if and only if $\langle \alpha \cdot \xi^1, \alpha \cdot \xi^2 \rangle = 0$. We have seen that θ_{ξ^i} acts semisimply on each V^α, $\alpha \in D$, with two eigenvalues, and these eigenspaces are inequivalent irreducible $V_{C^{0,\xi^i}}$-submodules. For an irreducible V_E-submodule W of V^α, the subspace $W + (V_{E+\delta^i} \cdot W)$ forms a $V_{C^{0,\xi^i}}$-submodule so that θ_{ξ^i} acts on W by an eigenvalue. Therefore, θ_{ξ^1} commutes with θ_{ξ^2} if and only if V^α splits into a direct sum of 4 irreducible V_E-submodules for all $\alpha \in D$. Let m_α be the number of irreducible V_E-submodules of V^α. For $\alpha \in D$, let H_α and H_α^0 be maximal self-orthogonal subcodes of C_α and E_α, respectively. By the structure of irreducible modules over a code VOA shown in Theorem 4.3, V^α is a direct sum of $[C : H]$ irreducible F-submodules. Moreover, again by Theorem 4.3 any irreducible V_E-submodule of V^α is a direct sum of $[E : H_\alpha^0]$ irreducible F-submodules. By counting the number of irreducible F-submodules of V^α, we have $m_\alpha |H_\alpha| = 4|H_\alpha^0|$ as $[C : E] = 4$. Thus, E_α contains a self-dual subcodes w.r.t. α if and only if $m_\alpha = 4$. Hence, θ_{ξ^1} commutes with θ_{ξ^2} if and only if $\langle \alpha \cdot \xi^1, \alpha \cdot \xi^2 \rangle = 0$. ∎

We have shown that the structure of $\mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$ is determined by Theorems 6.7 and 6.11 only in terms of the structure codes (C, D).

Remark 6.12. *In [Y2, Y3], one of the authors has shown that for any Ising vector* $e \in V^\natural$*, we have no automorphism* $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(V^\natural)$ *such that* g *restricted on* $(V^\natural)^{\langle \tau_e \rangle}$ *is equal to* σ_e*. Thanks to Theorem 6.7, we can give a simpler proof of this. As shown in [DMZ], the moonshine VOA* $V^\natural$ *is framed. Take any Ising frame* $F = \mathrm{Vir}(e^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Vir}(e^{48})$ *of* $V^\natural$ *and set* $\xi = (10^{47}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{48}$*. Since* ξ *is odd, there is no extension of* $\sigma_\xi = \sigma_{e^1}$ *to* $\mathrm{Stab}_{V^\natural}^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$ *by Theorem 6.7. Since all the Ising vectors of* $V^\natural$ *are conjugate under* $\mathrm{Aut}(V^\natural) = \mathbb{M}$ *(cf. [C, M1]),* e *and* e^1 *are conjugate. Therefore, there is no extension of* σ_e *to* $V^\natural$*.*

At the end of this section, we give a brief description of the frame stabilizer $\mathrm{Stab}_V(F)$. Its structure is also discussed in [DGH]. It is clear that $\mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Stab}_V(F)$. Let $g \in \mathrm{Stab}_V(F)$. Then g induces a permutation $\mu_g \in \mathrm{S}_n$ on the set of Ising vectors $\{e^1, \ldots, e^n\}$ of F, namely $ge^i = e^{\mu_g(i)}$. Since g preserves the 1/16-word decomposition $V = \oplus_{\alpha \in D} V^\alpha$, it follows that $gV^\alpha = V^{\mu_g(\alpha)}$ with $\mu_g(\alpha) = (\alpha_{\mu_g(1)}, \ldots, \alpha_{\mu_g(n)})$. In particular, g restricted on V^0 defines an element of $\mathrm{Aut}(V^0) = \mathrm{Aut}(V_C)$ which is a lift of $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$. Therefore, every element of $\mathrm{Stab}_V(F)$ is a lift of $\mathrm{Aut}(C) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(D)$. Conversely, we know that for any $\mu \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$, there exists $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathrm{Aut}(V_C)$ such that $\tilde{\mu} e^i = e^{\mu(i)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ by Theorem 3.3 of [Sh]. It is shown in Lemma 3.15 of [SY] that if $\tilde{\mu}$ lifts to an element of $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ then $\{(V^\alpha)^{\tilde{\mu}} \mid \alpha \in D\}$ coincides with $\{V^\alpha \mid \alpha \in D\}$ as a set of inequivalent irreducible V_C-modules. Therefore, there exists a lift of $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathrm{Aut}(V_C) = \mathrm{Aut}(V^0)$ to an element of $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ if and only if the subgroup $\{V^\alpha \mid \alpha \in D\}$ of the group formed by all the simple current V_C-module in the fusion algebra is invariant under the conjugation action of $\tilde{\mu}$. If such a lift of $\tilde{\mu}$ exists, it is unique modulo $\mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$. For if $\tilde{\mu}$ and $\tilde{\mu}'$ are two lifts of μ, $\tilde{\mu}^{-1}\tilde{\mu}'$ fixes F pointwise, showing $\tilde{\mu}^{-1}\tilde{\mu}' \in \mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$. Thus, the factor group $\mathrm{Stab}_V(F)/\mathrm{Stab}_V^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(C) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ which gives a slight refinement of (3) of Theorem 2.8 in [DGH]. The V_C-module structure of $(V^\alpha)^{\tilde{\mu}}$ involves some extra information other than C and D, namely, if $V^\alpha \simeq M_C(\alpha, \gamma; \iota_\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in D$ then $(V^\alpha)^{\tilde{\mu}} \simeq M_C(\mu^{-1}\alpha, \gamma'; \iota_{\mu^{-1}\alpha})$ for some codeword $\gamma' \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, and this γ' depends not only on C and D but also on γ, ι_α and $\iota_{\mu^{-1}\alpha}$. We do not have a general result for the lifting property of $\mathrm{Aut}(C) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ at present.

7 4A-twisted orbifold construction

Let $V^\natural$ be the moonshine VOA constructed in [FLM]. In this section, we shall apply Theorem 6.7 to define a 4A-element of the Monster $\mathbb{M} = \mathrm{Aut}(V^\natural)$ and exhibit that the 4A-twisted orbifold construction of the moonshine VOA $V^\natural$ will be $V^\natural$ itself.

By [DGH, M3], we can take an Ising frame $F = \mathrm{Vir}(e^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Vir}(e^{48})$ of $V^\natural$ such that the associated structure codes $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ are as follows:

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}^\perp, \quad \mathcal{D} = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\{(1^{16}0^{32}), (0^{32}1^{16}), (\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) \mid \alpha \in \mathrm{RM}(1,4)\},$$

where $\mathrm{RM}(1,4) \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^{16}$ is the first order Reed-Muller code defined by the generator matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1111 & 1111 & 1111 & 1111 \\ 1111 & 1111 & 0000 & 0000 \\ 1111 & 0000 & 1111 & 0000 \\ 1100 & 1100 & 1100 & 1100 \\ 1010 & 1010 & 1010 & 1010 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{48} \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{16} \text{ are even and } \alpha + \beta + \gamma \in \mathrm{RM}(2,4)\}. \tag{7.1}$$

Remark 7.1. *The weight enumerator of* $\mathrm{RM}(1,4)$ *is* $X^{16} + 30X^8Y^8 + Y^{16}$.

Let $V^\natural = \oplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{D}} (V^\natural)^\alpha$ be the 1/16-word decomposition. Set

$$\mathcal{P} := \{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{48} \mid \alpha \cdot \xi \in \mathcal{C} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathcal{D}\}.$$

Then for each $\xi \in \mathcal{P}$, one can define an automorphism $\theta_\xi \in \mathrm{Stab}_{V^\natural}^{\mathrm{pt}}(F)$ such that $\theta_\xi|_{V^0} = \sigma_\xi$ by Theorem 6.7. Note also that $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}^\perp < \mathcal{P} < \mathcal{C}$ and $\sigma_{\xi^1} = \sigma_{\xi^2}$ if and only if $\xi^1 + \xi^2 \in \mathcal{C}^\perp = \mathcal{D}$.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ be defined as above. Then*

$$\mathcal{P} = \{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{48} \mid \alpha,\beta,\gamma \in \mathrm{RM}(2,4) \text{ and } \alpha+\beta+\gamma \in \mathrm{RM}(1,4)\},$$

where $\mathrm{RM}(2,4) = \mathrm{RM}(1,4)^{\perp} \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^{16}$ *is the second order Reed-Muller code of length 16.*

Proof: Set $E := \{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \mid \alpha,\beta,\gamma \in \mathrm{RM}(2,4)$ and $\alpha+\beta+\gamma \in \mathrm{RM}(1,4)\}$. We shall first show that $E \subset \mathcal{P}$. It is clear that if $d_1\cdot\xi$, $d_2\cdot\xi \in \mathcal{C}$ then $(d_1+d_2)\cdot\xi = d_1\cdot\xi + d_2\cdot\xi \in \mathcal{C}$. Thus, we only need to show that $d\cdot\xi \in \mathcal{C}$ for $\xi \in E$ and d in a generating set of $\mathcal{D}$.

Let $\xi = (\xi^1,\xi^2,\xi^3) \in E$ with each $\xi^i \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{16}$. Then $\xi^1,\xi^2,\xi^3 \in \mathrm{RM}(2,4)$. Hence, $\alpha\cdot\xi \in \mathcal{C}$ for $\alpha = (1^{16}0^{32})$, $(0^{16}1^{16}0^{16})$ and $(0^{32}1^{16}) \in \mathcal{D}$. Take any two codewords $\beta,\gamma \in \mathrm{RM}(1,4)$ with weight 8. Then the weight of $\beta\cdot\gamma$ is either 0, 4 or 8 by Remark 7.1. By the definition of E, we have $\xi^1+\xi^2+\xi^3 \in \mathrm{RM}(1,4)$. Therefore,

$$\langle \beta, \gamma\cdot(\xi^1+\xi^2+\xi^3)\rangle \equiv \mathrm{wt}(\beta\cdot\gamma\cdot(\xi^1+\xi^2+\xi^3)) \equiv 0 \mod 2$$

and hence $\gamma\cdot(\xi^1+\xi^2+\xi^3) \in \mathrm{RM}(1,4)^{\perp} = \mathrm{RM}(2,4)$. Since $\xi^i \in \mathrm{RM}(2,4) = \mathrm{RM}(1,4)^{\perp}$, all $\gamma\cdot\xi^i$, $i = 1,2,3$, are even codewords. Therefore, $(\gamma,\gamma,\gamma)\cdot\xi = (\gamma\cdot\xi^1, \gamma\cdot\xi^2, \gamma\cdot\xi^3) \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $\gamma \in \mathrm{RM}(1,4)$. As $\mathcal{D}$ is generated by the elements of the form: $(1^{16}0^{32})$, $(0^{16}1^{16}0^{16})$, $(0^{32}1^{16})$ and (γ,γ,γ) with $\gamma \in \mathrm{RM}(1,4)$, we have $E \subset \mathcal{P}$.

Conversely, assume $(\alpha^1,\alpha^2,\alpha^3) \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\alpha^i \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{16}$. Then one has $(\alpha^1,\alpha^2,\alpha^3)\cdot\beta \in \mathcal{C}$ for $\beta = (1^{16}0^{32})$, $(0^{16}1^{16}0^{16})$ and $(0^{32}1^{16}) \in \mathcal{D}$ so that $\alpha^i \in \mathrm{RM}(2,4)$ for $i = 1,2,3$. Moreover, for $(\gamma,\gamma,\gamma) \in \mathcal{D}$ with $\gamma \in \mathrm{RM}(1,4)$, $(\alpha^1,\alpha^2,\alpha^3)\cdot(\gamma,\gamma,\gamma) \in \mathcal{C}$ is an even codeword. Then it follows from (7.1) that $(\alpha^1+\alpha^2+\alpha^3)\cdot\gamma \in \mathrm{RM}(2,4)$ and thus $\alpha^1+\alpha^2+\alpha^3 \in \mathrm{RM}(1,4)$. Hence $E = \mathcal{P}$. ∎

Take

$$\xi = (1100\,0000\,1100\,0000\,0110\,0000\,0110\,0000\,1010\,0000\,1010\,0000) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{48}. \tag{7.2}$$

Then $\xi \in \mathcal{P}$ by Lemma 7.2. Set $\mathcal{D}^0 := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{D} \mid \mathrm{wt}(\alpha\cdot\xi) \equiv 0 \mod 4\}$ and $\mathcal{D}^1 := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{D} \mid \mathrm{wt}(\alpha\cdot\xi) \equiv 2 \mod 2\}$. It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{D}^0 = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\left\{ (1^{16}0^{32}),\ (0^{32}1^{16}),\ (\alpha,\alpha,\alpha) \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} \alpha = (1^{16}),\ (\{1^40^4\}^2), \\ (\{1^20^2\}^4) \text{ or } (\{10\}^8) \end{array} \right\}$$

and $\mathcal{D}^1 = (\{1^80^8\}^3) + \mathcal{D}^0$. Therefore, the index $[\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{D}^0]$ is 2 and in this case the involution $\sigma_\xi \in \mathrm{Aut}((V^\natural)^0)$ can be extended to an automorphism $\theta_\xi \in \mathrm{Stab}^{\mathrm{pt}}_{V^\natural}(F)$ of order 4 by Theorem 6.7. We also set $\mathcal{C}^0 := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{C} \mid \langle\alpha,\xi\rangle = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{C}^1 := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{C} \mid \langle\alpha,\xi\rangle = 1\}$. Let us consider a subgroup of $\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{C}^*$ defined by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}} := (\mathcal{D}^0 \times \{\pm 1\}) \sqcup \left(\mathcal{D}^1 \times \left\{\pm\sqrt{-1}\right\}\right). \tag{7.3}$$

For $(\alpha,u)\in\mathcal{D}\times\mathbb{C}^*$, set $(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u)}:=\{x\in(V^\natural)^\alpha \mid \theta_\xi x=ux\}$. Then we have a $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$-graded decomposition

$$V^\natural=\bigoplus_{(\alpha,u)\in\tilde{\mathcal{D}}}(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u)},\quad (V^\natural)^{(0,1)}=V_{\mathcal{C}^0},\quad (V^\natural)^{(0,-1)}=V_{\mathcal{C}^1}, \tag{7.4}$$

where $V_{\mathcal{C}^0}$ denotes the code VOA associated to $\mathcal{C}^0$ and $V_{\mathcal{C}^1}$ is its module. Since $(\mathcal{C}^0)_\alpha$ contains a self-dual subcode w.r.t. $\alpha\in\mathcal{D}$ by Lemma 6.5, all $(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u)}$, $(\alpha,u)\in\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$, are simple current $V_{\mathcal{C}^0}$-modules by Corollary 4.18. Therefore, $V^\natural$ is a $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$-graded simple current extension of a code VOA $(V^\natural)^{(0,1)}=V_{\mathcal{C}^0}$.

By direct computation, it is not difficult to obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 7.3. *For any non-zero $\alpha\in\mathcal{D}^0$, the subset $(\mathcal{C}^1)_\alpha$ is not empty. In other words, $[\mathcal{C}_\alpha,(\mathcal{C}^0)_\alpha]=2$.*

Remark 7.4. *For a general framed VOA with structure codes (C,D), it is possible that the set $(C^1)_\alpha$ is empty for some non-zero $\alpha\in D$. For example, we can take $D=\{(0^{16}),(1^8\,0^8),(0^8\,1^8),(1^{16})\}$, $C=D^\perp$, $\xi=(1^2\,0^{14})$ and $\alpha=(0^8\,1^8)$. Then, $C_\alpha=(C^0)_\alpha$ and $(C^1)_\alpha$ is empty. Note that (C,D) can be realized as the structure codes of the lattice VOA V_{E_8} (cf. [DGH]).*

Theorem 7.5. *θ_ξ is a 4A-element of the Monster.*

Proof: We shall compute the *McKay-Thompson series* of θ_ξ defined by

$$T_{\theta_\xi}(z):=\mathrm{tr}_{V^\natural}\,\theta_\xi\, q^{L(0)-1},\quad q=e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\,z}.$$

Recall the notion of the conformal character of a module $M=\oplus_{n\geq 0}M_{n+h}$ over a VOA V:

$$\mathrm{ch}_M(q):=\mathrm{tr}_M q^{L(0)-c/24}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_{n+h}q^{n+h-c/24}.$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}T_{\theta_\xi}(z)= &\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{D}^0}\mathrm{ch}_{(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,1)}}(q)-\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{D}^0}\mathrm{ch}_{(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,-1)}}(q)\\ &+\sqrt{-1}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{D}^1}\mathrm{ch}_{(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,\sqrt{-1})}}(q)-\sqrt{-1}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{D}^1}\mathrm{ch}_{(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,-\sqrt{-1})}}(q).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha\in\mathcal{D}^1$. Since $(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,\pm\sqrt{-1})}$ are dual to each other, their conformal characters are the same. Let $\alpha\in\mathcal{D}^0$ be a non-zero codeword. By Lemma 7.3, there exists a codeword in $(\mathcal{C}^1)_\alpha$. Then by Corollary 4.8 one sees that $(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,1)}$ and $(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,-1)}$ are isomorphic F-modules. Therefore, they have the same conformal characters. Then

$$\begin{aligned}T_{\theta_\xi}(z)&=\mathrm{ch}_{(V^\natural)^{(0,1)}}(q)-\mathrm{ch}_{(V^\natural)^{(0,-1)}}(q)\\ &=\mathrm{ch}_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}}(q)-\mathrm{ch}_{V_{\mathcal{C}^1}}(q)\\ &=2\mathrm{ch}_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}}(q)-\mathrm{ch}_{V_{\mathcal{C}}}(q).\end{aligned}$$

The conformal character of a code VOA can be easily computed. The following conformal characters are well-known (cf. [FFR]):

$$\mathrm{ch}_{L(1/2,0)}(q) \pm \mathrm{ch}_{L(1/2,1/2)}(q) = q^{-1/48} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 \pm q^{n+1/2}).$$

Since $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{C}^0 = (\mathcal{D} + \langle \xi \rangle)^{\perp}$, the weight enumerators of these codes are calculated by the MacWilliams identity [McS]:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathcal{C}}(x,y) &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} W_{\mathcal{D}}(x+y, x-y), \\ W_{\mathcal{C}^0}(x,y) &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D} + \langle \xi \rangle|} W_{\mathcal{D}+\langle \xi \rangle}(x+y, x-y), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathcal{D}}(x,y) &= x^{48} + 3x^{32}y^{16} + 120x^{24}y^{24} + 3x^{16}y^{32} + y^{48}, \\ W_{\mathcal{D}+\langle \xi \rangle}(x,y) &= x^{48} + 2x^{36}y^{12} + 3x^{32}y^{16} + 30x^{28}y^{20} + 184x^{24}y^{24} + 30x^{20}y^{28} \\ &\quad +3x^{16}y^{32} + 2x^{12}y^{36} + y^{48}. \end{aligned}$$

Now set

$$\begin{aligned} f(x,y) &:= W_{\mathcal{D}+\langle \xi \rangle}(x,y) - W_{\mathcal{D}}(x,y) \\ &= 2x^{36}y^{12} + 30x^{28}y^{20} + 64x^{24}y^{24} + 30x^{20}y^{28} + 2x^{12}y^{36}. \end{aligned}$$

Then one has

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\theta_\xi}(z) &= 2\mathrm{ch}_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}}(q) - \mathrm{ch}_{V_{\mathcal{C}}}(q) \\ &= \left[2W_{\mathcal{C}^0}(x,y) - W_{\mathcal{C}}(x,y)\right]_{x=\mathrm{ch}_{L(1/2,0)}(q),\ y=\mathrm{ch}_{L(1/2,1/2)}(q)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^7}\left[W_{\mathcal{D}+\langle \xi \rangle}(x+y,x-y) - W_{\mathcal{D}}(x+y,x-y)\right]_{\substack{x=\mathrm{ch}_{L(1/2,0)}(q), \\ y=\mathrm{ch}_{L(1/2,1/2)}(q)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^7} q^{-1} f\left(\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}(1+q^{n+1/2}), \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}(1-q^{n+1/2})\right) \\ &= q^{-1} + 276q + 2048q^2 + \cdots . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, θ_ξ is a 4A-element of the Monster by [ATLAS]. ∎

Next, we shall construct the irreducible 4A-twisted $V^\natural$-module. Let us consider irreducible $V_{\mathcal{C}^0}$-modules whose 1/16-word is ξ defined in (7.2).

Lemma 7.6. *(1) $(\mathcal{C}^0)_\xi$ is a self-dual subcode w.r.t. ξ.*
(2) For any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, the dual of $M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi, \gamma; \iota)$ is isomorphic to $M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi, \gamma + \kappa; \iota)$ with $\kappa = (\{10^7\}^2 0^{32}) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{48}$.

Proof: By a direct computation, one can show that $\mathcal{C}_\xi = (\mathcal{C}^0)_\xi$ is generated by the following generator matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
11000000 & 11000000 & 00000000 & 00000000 & 00000000 & 00000000\\
00000000 & 00000000 & 01100000 & 01100000 & 00000000 & 00000000\\
00000000 & 00000000 & 00000000 & 00000000 & 10100000 & 10100000\\
10000000 & 10000000 & 01000000 & 01000000 & 00000000 & 00000000\\
10000000 & 10000000 & 00000000 & 00000000 & 10000000 & 10000000\\
11000000 & 00000000 & 01100000 & 00000000 & 10100000 & 00000000
\end{bmatrix}$$

From this, it is easy to see that $(\mathcal{C}^0)_\xi$ is a self-dual code w.r.t. ξ. If we set

$$\kappa = (1000\,0000\,1000\,0000\,0000\,0000\,0000\,0000\,0000\,0000\,0000\,0000) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{48}, \tag{7.5}$$

then $\mathrm{supp}(\kappa) \subset \mathrm{supp}(\xi)$ and we have $(-1)^{\langle \alpha, \kappa \rangle} = (-1)^{\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)/2}$ for all $\alpha \in (\mathcal{C}^0)_\xi$. Therefore, the dual of $M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi, \gamma; \iota)^*$ is isomorphic to $M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi, \gamma + \kappa; \iota)$ by Proposition 4.11. ∎

By the lemma above, we know that $(\mathcal{C}^0)_\xi$ is self-dual w.r.t. ξ and thus $(\mathcal{C}^0)_\xi$ equals to its own radical. From now on, we shall fix a map $\iota : (\mathcal{C}^0)_\xi \to \mathbb{C}^*$ such that the section map $(\mathcal{C}^0)_\xi \ni \alpha \mapsto (\alpha, \iota(\alpha)) \in \pi_{\mathbb{C}^*}^{-1}(\mathcal{C}^0)$ is a group homomorphism. We shall simply denote $M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi, \gamma; \iota)$ by $M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi, \gamma)$ and set $W := M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi, 0)$.

Lemma 7.7. *All $(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u)} \boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} W$, $(\alpha, u) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$, are inequivalent irreducible $V_{\mathcal{C}^0}$-modules.*

Proof: Suppose $(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u)} \boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} W \simeq (V^\natural)^{(\beta,v)} \boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} W$ with $(\alpha, u), (\beta, v) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$. Since $((V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u)})^* \simeq (V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u)^{-1}} = (V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u^{-1})}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
W &= (V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u^{-1})} \boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} (V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u)} \boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} W\\
&= (V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u^{-1})} \boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} (V^\natural)^{(\beta,v)} \boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} W\\
&= (V^\natural)^{(\alpha+\beta,u^{-1}v)} \boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} W
\end{aligned}$$

in the fusion algebra. By considering 1/16-word decompositions, one has $\alpha = \beta$ and $u^{-1}v \in \{\pm 1\}$. Let $\delta \in \mathcal{C}$ be such that $\mathcal{C}^1 = \mathcal{C}^0 + \delta$. If $u^{-1}v = -1$, then $(V^\natural)^{(\alpha+\beta,u^{-1}v)} = (V^\natural)^{(0,-1)} = V_{\mathcal{C}^1} = M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0, \delta)$ so that by Lemma 4.14 one has

$$W = (V^\natural)^{(\alpha+\beta,u^{-1}v)} \underset{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}}{\boxtimes} W = M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0, \delta) \underset{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}}{\boxtimes} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi, 0) = M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi, \delta).$$

It is shown in Lemma 7.6 that $(\mathcal{C}^0)_\xi$ contains a self-dual subcode w.r.t. ξ. Then W is not isomorphic to $M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi, \delta)$ by Lemma 4.7 and we obtain a contradiction. Therefore, $u^{-1}v = 1$ and hence $(\alpha, u) = (\beta, v)$. This completes the proof. ∎

By Theorem 2.4 and the lemma above, the space

$$V^\natural(\theta_\xi) := V^\natural \underset{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}}{\boxtimes} W = \bigoplus_{(\alpha,u) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}} (V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u)} \underset{(V^\natural)^{(0,1)}}{\boxtimes} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi, 0) \tag{7.6}$$

carries a unique structure of an irreducible χ_W-twisted $V^\natural$-module for some $\chi_W \in (\tilde{\mathcal{D}})^* \subset \mathbb{M}$. It is clear that $\chi_W \in \mathrm{Stab}^{\mathrm{pt}}_{V^\natural}(F)$. Since the top weight of W is $3/4$, $V^\natural(\theta_\xi)$ is neither 2A-twisted nor 2B-twisted $V^\natural$-module so that χ_W is an element of $\mathbb{M}$ of order 4. Hence, there exists $\xi' \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\chi_W^2|_{(V^\natural)^0} = \sigma_{\xi'}$. By the construction of $V^\natural(\theta_\xi)$, we know that $\mathcal{C}^0 \subset \{\alpha \in \mathcal{C} \mid \langle \alpha, \xi' \rangle = 0\} \subsetneq \mathcal{C}$ so that $\sigma_\xi = \sigma_{\xi'}$ and $\chi_W = \theta_\xi \cdot \tau_\eta$ for some $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{48}$. Since the definition of θ_ξ is only unique up to a product of τ-involutions, we can take $\theta_\xi = \chi_W$. Note that the definition of $V^\natural(\theta_\xi)$ in (7.6) depends only on the decomposition of $V^\natural$ as a $V_{\mathcal{C}^0}$-module. Replacing θ_ξ by $\theta_\xi \tau_\beta$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{48}$ will only change the labeling of the $V_{\mathcal{C}^0}$-modules in (7.4) and does not affect the isotypical decomposition of $V^\natural$ as a $V_{\mathcal{C}^0}$-module. Thus, we have constructed the irreducible θ_ξ-twisted $V^\natural$-module.

Theorem 7.8. *$V^\natural(\theta_\xi)$ defined in (7.6) is an irreducible 4A-twisted module over $V^\natural$.*

Remark 7.9. *By Lemma 7.6 and Corollary 4.8, we see that the top weight of the 4A-twisted module is 3/4 and the dimension of the top level is 1.*

Let us consider the dual module W^* of W. It is clear that W and W^* are inequivalent since $\kappa \notin \mathcal{C}^0$. All $(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u)} \boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} W^*$, $(\alpha, u) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$, are inequivalent $V_{\mathcal{C}^0}$-modules, and so the space

$$V^\natural(\theta_\xi^3) := V^\natural \underset{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}}{\boxtimes} W^* = \bigoplus_{(\alpha,u)\in\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} (V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u)} \underset{(V^\natural)^{(0,1)}}{\boxtimes} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi, \kappa) \tag{7.7}$$

has a unique irreducible χ_{W^*}-twisted $V^\natural$-module structure with a linear character $\chi_{W^*} \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^*$ (cf. Theorem 2.4). It is also shown [DLM2] that the dual of χ_W-twisted module forms a χ_W^{-1}-twisted module. The dual $V^\natural$-module of $V^\natural(\theta_\xi)$ contains W^* as a $V_{\mathcal{C}^0}$-submodule, and $V^\natural(\theta_\xi^3)$ is uniquely determined by W^*, so χ_{W^*} is actually equal to $\theta_\xi^3 = \theta_\xi^{-1}$ by our choice of θ_ξ. Therefore, $V^\natural(\theta_\xi^3)$ is the irreducible θ_ξ^3-twisted $V^\natural$-module.

In order to perform the 4A-twisted orbifold construction of $V^\natural$, we classify the irreducible representations of $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$. By (7.4), the fixed point subalgebra $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$ is a framed VOA with structure codes $(\mathcal{C}^0, \mathcal{D}^0)$.

Proposition 7.10. *There are 16 inequivalent irreducible $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$-modules. Every irreducible $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$-module is a submodule of an irreducible θ_ξ^i-twisted $V^\natural$-module for $0 \le i \le 3$. Among them, 8 irreducible modules have integral top weights.*

Proof: Since $V^\natural$ and $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$ are simple current extensions of the code VOA $V_{\mathcal{C}^0}$, $V^\natural$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_4$-graded simple current extension of $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$ by Proposition 2.3. Then by Theorem 2.4, every irreducible $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$-module is a submodule of a θ_ξ^i-twisted module for $0 \le i \le 3$. It is shown in [DLM3] that $V^\natural$ has a unique irreducible θ_ξ^i-twisted module for $0 \le i \le 3$ as $V^\natural$ is holomorphic. Therefore, we only have to show that each irreducible θ_ξ^i-twisted $V^\natural$-module decomposes into a direct sum of 4 inequivalent irreducible $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$-submodules. Since $V^\natural(\theta_\xi)$ and $V^\natural(\theta_\xi^3)$ are dual to each other (cf. [DLM2]), we know each of them has four inequivalent irreducible $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$-submodules. So we shall consider the θ_ξ^2-twisted module. Let $\kappa\in\mathbb{Z}_2^{48}$ be defined as in (7.5). Then one can easily verify that $\theta_\xi^2=\tau_\kappa$. We consider an irreducible $V_{\mathcal{C}^0}$-module $M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa)$. We claim that $(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u)}\boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa)$, $(\alpha,u)\in\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$, are inequivalent irreducible $V_{\mathcal{C}^0}$-modules. The irreducibility of $(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u)}\boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa)$ is clear since all $(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u)}$ are simple current $V_{\mathcal{C}^0}$-modules. If $(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u)}\boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa)\simeq(V^\natural)^{(\beta,v)}\boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa)$, then by the 1/16-word decomposition, we have $\alpha=\beta$ and $u^{-1}v\in\{\pm1\}$. Let $\delta\in\mathbb{Z}_2^{48}$ such that $\mathcal{C}^1=\mathcal{C}^0+\delta$. If $u^{-1}v=-1$, then $(V^\natural)^{(\alpha+\beta,u^{-1}v)}=M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\delta)$ and one has

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa)&=(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u^{-1})}\boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}}(V^\natural)^{(\beta,v)}\boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa)\\
&=(V^\natural)^{(\alpha+\beta,u^{-1}v)}\boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa)\\
&=M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\delta)\boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa)\\
&=M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa+\delta).
\end{aligned}$$

But this is a contradiction by Lemma 4.7. Therefore, all $(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u)}\boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa)$, $(\alpha,u)\in\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$, are inequivalent irreducible $V_{\mathcal{C}^0}$-modules. Now by Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 4.14 the space

$$V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2):=\bigoplus_{(\alpha,u)\in\tilde{\mathcal{D}}}(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,u)}\underset{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}}{\boxtimes} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa)\tag{7.8}$$

forms an irreducible $\tau_\kappa=\theta_\xi^2$-twisted $V^\natural$-module. Thus $V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2)$ splits into four irreducible $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$-submodules as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2)=&\left\{\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{D}^0}(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,1)}\underset{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}}{\boxtimes} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa)\right\}\bigoplus\left\{\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{D}^0}(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,-1)}\underset{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}}{\boxtimes} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa)\right\}\\
&\bigoplus\left\{\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{D}^1}(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,\sqrt{-1})}\underset{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}}{\boxtimes} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa)\right\}\bigoplus\left\{\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathcal{D}^1}(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,-\sqrt{-1})}\underset{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}}{\boxtimes} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, all irreducible θ_ξ^i-twisted $V^\natural$-modules are direct sums of 4 inequivalent irreducible $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$-submodules and we have obtained 16 irreducible $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$-modules. It remains to show that these 16 modules are inequivalent. Since every irreducible $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$-module can be uniquely extended to a θ_ξ^i-twisted $V^\natural$-module by Theorem 2.4, these 16 irreducible modules are actually inequivalent.

Among these 16 irreducible $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$-modules, we have 4 modules having integral top weights from $V^\natural$, 2 from θ_ξ^2-twisted $V^\natural$-module, 1 from θ_ξ-twisted and 1 from θ_ξ^3-twisted modules, respectively. This completes the proof. ∎

We have also shown that every irreducible θ_ξ^i-twisted $V^\natural$-module has a $\mathbb{Z}_4$-grading which agrees with the action of θ_ξ on $V^\natural$. By this fact, we adopt the following notation.

For $u\in\mathbb{C}^*$ satisfying $u^4=1$, we set $V^\natural(1,u):=\{a\in V^\natural\mid\theta_\xi a=ua\}$. For $i=1$ or 3, we define $V^\natural(\theta_\xi^i,1)$ to be the unique irreducible $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$-submodule of $V^\natural(\theta_\xi^i)$ which has an integral top weight. They can be defined explicitly as follows.

$$V^\natural(\theta_\xi,1) := \bigoplus\nolimits_{\alpha\in\mathcal{D}^1}(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,-\sqrt{-1})}\boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi,0),$$

$$V^\natural(\theta_\xi^3,1) := \bigoplus\nolimits_{\alpha\in\mathcal{D}^1}(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,\sqrt{-1})}\boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi,\kappa).$$

For $i=2$, there are two irreducible $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$-submodules in $V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2)$ having integral top weights. We shall define

$$\begin{aligned} V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,1) &:= \bigoplus\nolimits_{\alpha\in\mathcal{D}^0}(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,-1)}\boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa),\\ V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,-1) &:= \bigoplus\nolimits_{\alpha\in\mathcal{D}^0}(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,1)}\boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa). \end{aligned} \tag{7.9}$$

In addition, we define

$$V^\natural(\theta_\xi^i,u) := V^\natural(1,u) \underset{(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}}{\boxtimes} V^\natural(\theta_\xi^i,1) \quad \text{for } 1\le i\le 3. \tag{7.10}$$

Set $G := \langle\theta_\xi\rangle\times\{u\in\mathbb{C}^*\mid u^4=1\}$. Then $\{V^\natural(g,u)|\,(g,u)\in G\}$ is the set of all inequivalent irreducible $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$-modules.

Proposition 7.11. *The fusion algebra associated to* $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$ *is isomorphic to the group algebra of* G*. The isomorphism is given by* $V^\natural(g,u)\mapsto(g,u)$*.*

Proof: Since the structure codes of $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$ is $(\mathcal{C}^0,\mathcal{D}^0)$, $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$ is a $\mathcal{D}^0$-graded simple current extension of $V_{\mathcal{C}^0}$. So we have the following fusion rules:

$$V^\natural(1,u) \underset{(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}}{\boxtimes} V^\natural(1,v) = V^\natural(1,uv) \quad \text{for } u,v\in\mathbb{C}^*,\ u^4=v^4=1.$$

Since all $V^\natural(g,u)$, $(g,u)\in G$, are $\mathcal{D}^0$-stable, we can use Proposition 2.5. The following fusion rules of $V_{\mathcal{C}^0}$-modules are already known:

$$\begin{aligned} &M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa)\boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa) = M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,0), \quad M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa)\boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi,0) = M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi,\kappa),\\ &M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi,0)\boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi,0) = M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa), \quad M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi,0)\boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi,\kappa) = M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the following fusion rules of $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$-modules:

$$\begin{aligned} &V^\natural(\theta^2,1)\boxtimes_{(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}} V^\natural(\theta^2,1) = V^\natural(1,1), \quad V^\natural(\theta^2,1)\boxtimes_{(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}} V^\natural(\theta,1) = V^\natural(\theta^3,1),\\ &V^\natural(\theta,1)\boxtimes_{(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}} V^\natural(\theta,1) = V^\natural(\theta^2,1), \quad\ V^\natural(\theta,1)\boxtimes_{(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}} V^\natural(\theta^3,1) = V^\natural(1,1). \end{aligned}$$

Since the fusion algebra is commutative and associative, the remaining fusion rules are deduced from the above and we can establish the isomorphism. ∎

A θ_ξ*-twisted orbifold construction* of $V^\natural$ refers to a construction of a $\mathbb{Z}_4$-graded (simple current) extension of the θ_ξ-fixed point subalgebra $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$ by using the irreducible submodules of $V^\natural(\theta_\xi^i)$ with integral weights. By Proposition 7.10, such modules are denoted by

$$V^\natural(1,\pm1),\quad V^\natural(1,\pm\sqrt{-1}),\quad V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,\pm1),\quad V^\natural(\theta_\xi,1)\quad\text{and}\quad V^\natural(\theta_\xi^3,1).$$

By the fusion rules in Proposition 7.11, there are three possible extensions of $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$, namely,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} V^\natural &=& V^\natural(1,1)\oplus V^\natural(1,-1)\oplus V^\natural(1,\sqrt{-1})\oplus V^\natural(1,-\sqrt{-1}),\\ V_{2B} &=& V^\natural(1,1)\oplus V^\natural(1,-1)\oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,1)\oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,-1),\\ V_{4A} &=& V^\natural(1,1)\oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi,1)\oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,1)\oplus V(\theta_\xi^3,1). \end{array} \tag{7.11}$$

Consider the fixed point subalgebra $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi^2\rangle} = V^\natural(1,1)\oplus V^\natural(1,-1)$. Since θ_ξ is a 4A-element of $\mathbb{M}$, its square θ_ξ^2 belongs to the 2B conjugacy class of $\mathbb{M}$ [ATLAS]. Therefore, by the original construction of the moonshine VOA in [FLM], the subalgebra $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi^2\rangle}$ is isomorphic to the fixed point subalgebra V_Λ^+ of the Leech lattice VOA V_Λ. It is shown in [D] that V_Λ^+ has four inequivalent irreducible modules which are denoted by $V_\Lambda^\pm$ and $V_\Lambda^{T\pm}$ in [FLM]. Since the top weights of irreducible V_Λ^+-modules belong to $\mathbb{Z}/2$, the inequivalent irreducible $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi^2\rangle}$-modules are given by the list below:

$$\begin{array}{ll} V^\natural(1,1)\oplus V^\natural(1,-1), & V^\natural(1,\sqrt{-1})\oplus V^\natural(1,-\sqrt{-1}),\\ V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,1)\oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,-1), & V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,\sqrt{-1})\oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,-\sqrt{-1}). \end{array} \tag{7.12}$$

It is shown in [H2] that there are two inequivalent simple extensions of V_Λ^+; one is the moonshine VOA $V^\natural = V_\Lambda^+\oplus V_\Lambda^{T+}$ and the other is $V_\Lambda = V_\Lambda^+\oplus V_\Lambda^-$. So we have the following isomorphisms:

$$V_\Lambda^+ \simeq V^\natural(1,1)\oplus V^\natural(1,-1),\quad V_\Lambda^{T+}\simeq V^\natural(1,\sqrt{-1})\oplus V^\natural(1,-\sqrt{-1}). \tag{7.13}$$

We shall prove that θ_ξ^2-twisted orbifold construction V_{2B} in (7.11) is isomorphic to the Leech lattice VOA V_Λ. For this, it is enough to show the following.

Lemma 7.12. *The top weight of $V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,-1)$ is 1 and the dimension of the top level is 24.*

Proof: Recall the 1/16-word decomposition (7.9) of $V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,-1)$ as a module over $V_{\mathcal{C}^0}$. It contains a $V_{\mathcal{C}^0}$-submodule

$$(V^\natural)^{(0,1)}\underset{V_{\mathcal{C}}}{\boxtimes} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa) = M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa) = V_{\mathcal{C}^0+\kappa}.$$

By a straightforward computation we see that there are exactly 24 weight two codewords in the coset $\mathcal{C}^0+\kappa$ and the support of each is one of the following:

$$\begin{array}{l} \{1,9\},\ \{2,10\},\ \{3,11\},\ \{4,12\},\ \{5,13\},\ \{6,14\},\ \{7,15\},\ \{8,16\},\\ \{17,25\},\{18,26\},\{19,27\},\{20,28\},\{21,29\},\{22,30\},\{23,31\},\{24,32\},\\ \{33,41\},\{34,42\},\{35,43\},\{36,44\},\{37,45\},\{38,46\},\{39,47\},\{40,48\}. \end{array} \tag{7.14}$$

Therefore, the top weight of $V^\natural(\theta^2,-1)$ is 1. Then by the list of irreducible V_Λ^+-modules in (7.12), the dimension of the top level of $V^\natural(\theta^2,-1)$ must be 24. Or, one can directly check that all $(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,1)} \boxtimes_{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(0,\kappa)$ has the top weight greater than 1 for any non-zero $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}^0$ by considering their F-module structures. ▮

Since the top weights of V_Λ^- and V_Λ^{T-} are 1 and $3/2$, we have the remaining isomorphisms as follows.

$$V_\Lambda^- \simeq V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2, 1) \oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2, -1), \quad V_\Lambda^{T-} \simeq V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2, \sqrt{-1}) \oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2, -\sqrt{-1}). \tag{7.15}$$

Therefore, the θ_ξ^2-twisted orbifold V_{2B} is isomorphic to V_Λ.

Remark 7.13. *One can identify V_{2B} with the Leech lattice VOA without the isomorphisms in* (7.13) *and* (7.15)*. For, V_{2B} can be defined as a framed VOA with structure codes $(\mathcal{C}^0 \sqcup \mathcal{C}^1 \sqcup (\mathcal{C}^0+\kappa) \sqcup (\mathcal{C}^1+\kappa), \mathcal{D}^0)$ by Theorem 5.11, which is holomorphic by Corollary 5.10. It follows from* (7.14) *that V_{2B} contains a free bosonic VOA associated to a vector space of rank 24. Then V_{2B} is isomorphic to a lattice VOA associated to an even unimodular lattice by [LiX]. Since the weight one subspace of V_{2B} is 24-dimensional, V_{2B} is actually isomorphic to the lattice VOA associated to the Leech lattice. Similarly, one can also show that $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle} = V^\natural(1,1)$ is isomorphic to a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-orbifold V_L^+ of a lattice VOA V_L for certain sublattice L of Λ. For, we know that $V^\natural(1,1) \oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,-1)$ forms a sub VOA of V_{2B} isomorphic to a lattice VOA again by [LiX]. Since $V^\natural(1,1)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-fixed point subalgebra of $V^\natural(1,1) \oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,-1)$ under an involution acting on $V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,-1)$ by -1, we have the isomorphism as claimed. This isomorphism is first pointed out by Shimakura from a different view point.*

Next we consider the proper θ_ξ-twisted orbifold construction V_{4A} in (7.11). Let $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^1$ be arbitrary. We can find the following $V_{\mathcal{C}^0}$-submodule in V_{4A}.

$$U := V_{\mathcal{C}^0} \oplus V_{\mathcal{C}^1+\kappa} \oplus \{(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,-\sqrt{-1})} \underset{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}}{\boxtimes} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi,0)\} \oplus \{(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,\sqrt{-1})} \underset{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}}{\boxtimes} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi,\kappa)\}.$$

Lemma 7.14. *There exists a unique structure of a framed VOA on U.*

Proof: Set $U^0 := V_{\mathcal{C}^0} \oplus V_{\mathcal{C}^1+\kappa}$ and

$$U^1 := \{(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,-\sqrt{-1})} \underset{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}}{\boxtimes} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi,0)\} \oplus \{(V^\natural)^{(\alpha,\sqrt{-1})} \underset{V_{\mathcal{C}^0}}{\boxtimes} M_{\mathcal{C}^0}(\xi,\kappa)\}.$$

Then U^0 is a code VOA associated to $\mathcal{C}^0 \sqcup (\mathcal{C}^1+\kappa)$ and U^1 is an irreducible U^0-module with the 1/16-word $\alpha+\xi$. Clearly the top weight of U^1 is integral. The dual code of $\mathcal{C}^0 \sqcup (\mathcal{C}^1+\kappa)$ is given by $\mathcal{D}^0 \sqcup (\mathcal{D}^1+\xi)$ and it is straightforward to verify that $\mathcal{D}^0 \sqcup (\mathcal{D}^1+\xi)$ is triply even, i.e., $\mathrm{wt}(\alpha)$ is divisible by 8 for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}^0 \sqcup (\mathcal{D}^1+\xi)$. Therefore, $(\mathcal{C}^0 \sqcup (\mathcal{C}^1+\kappa))_{\alpha+\xi}$ contains a doubly even self-dual subcode w.r.t. $\alpha+\xi$ by Remark 5.13. Then by Lemma 5.1, $U = U^0 \oplus U^1$ forms a framed VOA. ▮

By the lemma above, we can apply the extension property of simple current extensions in Theorem 2.7 to define a framed VOA structure on V_{4A} with structure codes $(\mathcal{C}^0 \sqcup (\mathcal{C}^1 + \kappa), \mathcal{D}^0 \sqcup (\mathcal{D}^1 + \xi))$. We know that V_{4A} is holomorphic by Corollary 5.10. We shall prove that V_{4A} is isomorphic to the moonshine VOA $V^\natural$. On $V_{2B} = V^\natural(1,1) \oplus V^\natural(1,-1) \oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2, 1) \oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2, -1)$, define $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathrm{Aut}(V_{2B})$ by

$$\psi_1 := \begin{cases} 1 \text{ on } V^\natural(1,1) \oplus V^\natural(1,-1), \\ -1 \text{ on } V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,1) \oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,-1), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\psi_2 := \begin{cases} 1 \text{ on } V^\natural(1,1) \oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,1), \\ -1 \text{ on } V^\natural(1,-1) \oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,-1). \end{cases}$$

Then both of ψ_1, ψ_2 are involutions on V_{2B} by the fusion rules in Proposition 7.11.

Lemma 7.15. *The fixed point subalgebras* $V_{2B}^{\langle\psi_1\rangle}$ *and* $V_{2B}^{\langle\psi_2\rangle}$ *are isomorphic to the* $\mathbb{Z}_2$*-orbifold subalgebra* V_Λ^+ *of the Leech lattice VOA.*

Proof: We have shown that V_{2B} is isomorphic to the Leech lattice VOA V_Λ. By (7.13), the fixed point subalgebra $V_{2B}^{\langle\psi_1\rangle}$ is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-orbifold V_Λ^+. So it remains to prove that $V_{2B}^{\langle\psi_2\rangle}$ is isomorphic to $V_{2B}^{\langle\psi_1\rangle}$. Since the weight one subspace $(V_{2B})_1$ of V_{2B} is a subspace of $V^\natural(\theta^2,-1)$ by Lemma 7.12, both ψ_1 and ψ_2 acts as -1 on $(V_{2B})_1$. The weight one subspace of V_{2B} generates a sub VOA isomorphic to the free bosonic VOA $M_{\mathbb{C}\Lambda}(0)$ associated to the linear space $\mathbb{C}\Lambda = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$. Since $\psi_1\psi_2^{-1}$ trivially acts on the weight one subspace of V_{2B}, $\psi_1\psi_2^{-1}$ commute with the action of $M_{\mathbb{C}\Lambda}(0)$ on V_{2B}. Therefore, $\psi_1\psi_2^{-1}$ is a linear character $\rho_h = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\, h_{(0)}) \in \mathrm{Aut}(V_{2B})$ induced by a weight one vector $h \in (V_{2B})_1$. Since $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = -1$ on the weight one subspace, we have $\psi_i \rho_h = \rho_{-h}\psi_i = \rho_h^{-1}\psi_i$ for $i = 0, 1$. Then $\psi_1 = \rho_h\psi_2 = \rho_{h/2}\rho_{h/2}\psi_2 = \rho_{h/2}\psi_2\rho_{h/2}^{-1}$ so that ψ_1 and ψ_2 are conjugate in $\mathrm{Aut}(V_{2B})$. From this we have the desired isomorphism $\rho_{h/2} : (V_{2B})^{\langle\psi_2\rangle} \xrightarrow{\sim} (V_{2B})^{\langle\psi_1\rangle}$. ∎

Corollary 7.16. *There exists* $\rho \in \mathrm{Aut}((V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle})$ *such that* $V^\natural(1,-1)^\rho \simeq V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2, 1)$.

Proof: Since $V^\natural(1,1) \subset \rho_{h/2}(V_{2B})^{\langle\psi_2\rangle} \cap (V_{2B})^{\langle\psi_2\rangle} = (V_{2B})^{\langle\psi_1\rangle} \cap (V_{2B})^{\langle\psi_2\rangle}$, $\rho_{h/2}$ keeps $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle} = V^\natural(1,1)$ invariant. Thus the restriction of $\rho_{h/2}$ on $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$ is the desired automorphism. ∎

By the classification of irreducible modules over V_Λ^+ and the fusion rules in Proposition 7.11, the irreducible untwisted $(V_{2B})^{\langle\psi_2\rangle}$-modules are as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ll} V_\Lambda^+ \simeq V^\natural(1,1) \oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,1), & V_\Lambda^{T+} \simeq V^\natural(\theta_\xi,1) \oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi^3,1), \\ V_\Lambda^- \simeq V^\natural(1,-1) \oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2,-1), & V_\Lambda^{T-} \simeq V^\natural(\theta_\xi,-1) \oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi^3,-1). \end{array}$$

Actually, the isomorphisms above are induced by $\rho \in \mathrm{Aut}((V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle})$ defined in Corollary 7.16. By the isomorphisms above, the space

$$V_{4A} = V^\natural(1,1) \oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi, 1) \oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi^2, 1) \oplus V^\natural(\theta_\xi^3, 1)$$

is a $\mathbb{Z}_4$-graded simple current extension of $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$ and isomorphic to $V^\natural = V_\Lambda^+ \oplus V_\Lambda^{T+}$ as a $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$-module. Since both V_{4A} and $V^\natural$ are simple current extensions of $(V^\natural)^{\langle\theta_\xi\rangle}$, these two VOA structures are isomorphic. Therefore, we have obtained our main result in this section.

Theorem 7.17. *The VOA V_{4A} obtained by the 4A-twisted orbifold construction of $V^\natural$ is isomorphic to $V^\natural$.*

References

[ATLAS] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker and R.A. Wilson, ATLAS of finite groups, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.

[ABD] T. Abe, G. Buhl and C. Dong, Rationality, regularity, and C_2-cofiniteness, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), 3391–3402.

[C] J.H. Conway, A simple construction for the Fischer-Griess monster group, *Invent. Math.* **79** (1985), 513–540.

[D] C. Dong, Representations of the moonshine module vertex operator algebra, in: *Mathematical aspects of conformal and topological field theories and quantum groups*, Proc. Joint Summer Research Conference, Mount Holyoke, 1992, ed. P. Sally, M. Flato, J. Lepowsky, N. Reshetikhin and G. Zuckerman, Contemporary Math. **175**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1994, 27–36.

[DGH] C. Dong, R.L. Griess and G. Höhn, Framed vertex operator algebras, codes and the moonshine module, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **193** (1998), 407–448.

[DGL] C. Dong, R.L. Griess and C.H. Lam, Uniqueness results for the moonshine vertex operator algebra, to appear in *Amer. J. Math.*; `math.QA/0506321`.

[DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, Generalized vertex algebras and relative vertex operators, Progress in Math. **112**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.

[DLM1] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Some twisted sectors for the moonshine module, Moonshine, the Monster, and related topics (South Hadley, MA, 1994), 25–43, Contemp. Math., **193**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

[DLM2] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras, *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998), 571–600.

[DLM3] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Modular-invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory and generalized moonshine, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **214** (2000), 1–56.

[DM1] C. Dong and G. Mason, On quantum Galois theory, *Duke Math. J.* **86** (1997), 305–321.

[DM2] C. Dong and G. Mason, Rational vertex operator algebras and the effective central charge, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **56** (2004), 2989–3008.

[DMZ] C. Dong, G. Mason and Y. Zhu, Discrete series of the Virasoro algebra and the moonshine module, Proc. Symp. Pure. Math., American Math. Soc. **56** II (1994), 295–316.

[FFR] A. J. Feingold, I. B. Frenkel and J. F.X. Ries, Spinor construction of vertex operator algebras, triality, and $E_8^{(1)}$, *Contemp. Math.* **121** (1991).

[FHL] I. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **104**, 1993.

[FLM] I.B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster, Academic Press, New York, 1988.

[FZ] I.B. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representation of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123–168.

[GKO] P. Goddard, A. Kent and D. Olive, Unitary representations of the Virasoro and super-Virasoro algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **103** (1986), 105–119.

[Go] Daniel Gorenstein, Finite groups, Harper & Row, Publishers, New York-London, 1968.

[H1] Y.-Z. Huang, Virasoro vertex operator algebras, (non-meromorphic) operator product expansion and the tensor product theory, *J. Algebra* **182** (1996), 201–234.

[H2] Y.-Z. Huang, A nonmeromorphic extension of the moonshine module vertex operator algebra, in "Moonshine, the Monster and Related Topics, Proc. Joint Summer Research Conference, Mount Holyoke, 1994" (C. Dong and G. Mason, Eds.), Contemporary Math., pp. 123–148, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

[H3] Y.-Z. Huang, Differential equations and intertwining operators, *Comm. Contemp. Math.* **7** (2005), 375–400.

[HL] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, A theory of tensor products for module categories for a vertex operator algebra, III, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra.* **100** (1995), 141-171.

[L1] C.H. Lam, Twisted representations of code vertex operator algebras, *J. Algebra* **217** (1999), 275–299.

[L2] C.H. Lam, Some twisted module for framed vertex operator algebras, *J. Algebra* **231** (2000), 331–341.

[L3] C.H. Lam, Induced modules for orbifold vertex operator algebras, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **53** (2001), 541–557.

[LSY] C.H. Lam, S. Sakuma and H. Yamauchi, Ising vectors and automorphism groups of commutant subalgebras related to root systems, to appear in *Math. Z.*

[LY] C.H. Lam and H. Yamauchi, A characterization of the Moonshine vertex operator algebra by means of Virasoro frames, preprint; `math.QA/0609718`.

[LYY1] C.H. Lam, H. Yamada and H. Yamauchi, Vertex operator algebras, extended E_8-diagram, and McKay's observation on the Monster simple group, to appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*

[LYY2] C.H. Lam, H. Yamada and H. Yamauchi, McKay's observation and vertex operator algebras generated by two conformal vectors of central charge $1/2$, *Internat. Math. Res. Papers* **3** (2005), 117–181.

[Li1] H. Li, Symmetric invariant bilinear forms on vertex operator algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **96** (1994), 279–297.

[Li2] H. Li, Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **109** (1996), 143–195.

[LiX] H. Li and X. Xu, A characterization of vertex algebras associated to even lattices, *J. Algebra* **173** (1995), 253–270.

[McS] F.J. MacWilliams and N.J.A Sloane, The theory of error-correcting codes, North-Holland, 1998.

[McST] F.J. MacWilliams, N.J.A Sloane and J.G. Thompson, Good self-dual codes exist, *Discrete Mathematics* **3** (1972), 153–162.

[M1] M. Miyamoto, Griess algebras and conformal vectors in vertex operator algebras, *J. Algebra* **179** (1996), 528–548.

[M2] M. Miyamoto, Representation theory of code vertex operator algebras, *J. Algebra* **201** (1998), 115-150.

[M3] M. Miyamoto, A new construction of the moonshine vertex operator algebra over the real number field, *Ann. of Math* **159** (2004), 535–596.

[R] M. Rosellen, *A course in Vertex Algebra*, `math.QA/0607270`.

[SY] S. Sakuma and H. Yamauchi, Vertex operator algebra with two Miyamoto involutions generating S_3, *J. Algebra* **267** (2003), 272–297.

[Sh] H. Shimakura, Automorphism group of the vertex operator algebra V_L^+ for an even lattice L without roots, *J. Algebra* **280** (2004), 29–57.

[Y1] H. Yamauchi, Module categories of simple current extensions of vertex operator algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **189** (2004), 315–328.

[Y2] H. Yamauchi, A theory of simple current extensions of vertex operator algebras and applications to the moonshine vertex operator algebra, Ph.D. thesis, University of Tsukuba, 2004.; available on the author's web site: `http://www.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~yamauchi`

[Y3] H. Yamauchi, 2A-orbifold construction and the baby-monster vertex operator superalgebra, *J. Algebra* **284** (2005), 645–668.

[Z] Y.Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 237–302.